

1350-1352 Webster Street

Servicing Report

Project Location: 1350-1352 Webster Street, London, ON

Prepared for: North Development Corp. 128 Johnston Avenue, Cambridge, ON

Prepared by: MTE Consultants Inc. 123 St. George Street London, ON N6A 3A1

June 4, 2024

MTE File No.: 53653-200



Engineers, Scientists, Surveyors.



Contents

| 1.0 | Introduction1 |
|-----|---------------------------------|
| 1.1 | Background Documents1 |
| 2.0 | Water1 |
| 2.1 | General1 |
| 2.2 | Design Flows1 |
| 2. | .2.1 Domestic Flow |
| 2. | .2.2 Quality Turnover4 |
| 2. | .2.3 Fire Demand |
| 3.0 | Sanitary5 |
| 3.1 | General5 |
| 3.2 | Design Flow6 |
| 4.0 | Stormwater |
| 4.1 | General6 |
| 4.2 | Quantity Control |
| 4. | .2.1 Proposed Area Breakdown |
| 4. | .2.2 Proposed Quantity Controls |
| 4. | .2.3 Quality Control |
| 5.0 | External Servicing |
| 6.0 | Erosion and Sediment Controls11 |
| 6.1 | Erosion Risk Assessment11 |
| 6.2 | Erosion and Sediment Controls11 |
| 7.0 | Conclusions12 |

Table of Figures

| Figure 1 - Site Location | 2 |
|-------------------------------|----|
| Figure 2 - Water Servicing | 3 |
| Figure 3 - Sanitary Servicing | 7 |
| Figure 4 - Storm Servicing | 10 |

Appendices

- Appendix A Proposed Site Plan
- Appendix B Water Servicing Information
- Appendix C Sanitary Servicing Information
- Appendix D Storm Servicing Information

1.0 INTRODUCTION

MTE Consultants Inc. (MTE) was retained by North Development Corp. to complete a Servicing Report for the property at 1350-1352 Webster Street. The proposed development is a small residential development approximately 1.24 Ha in size.

The site is located to the southeast of the intersection of Jensen Road and Webster in the City of London. The property is bounded to the north existing residential development including two stub streets (Croatia Road and Sandra Road), to the south by an existing medium density development, and to the west and east by existing single family residential lots. The site location is illustrated on **Figure 1**.

This report details the municipal servicing (water, sanitary, storm) for the proposed development. A copy of the proposed site plan is included in **Appendix 'A'**.

1.1 Background Documents

The following background materials were reviewed and referenced for the subject development:

- Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Townhouse Development 1350-1352 Webster Street, London (LDS, September 2023).
- Creek View Subdivision Phase 3 Final Design Drawings (MTE, Approved 2018)

2.0 WATER

2.1 General

Water servicing is available from the existing 150mm watermain stubs located on Croatia Road and Sandra Road. It is intended to extend a stub into the development to provide service.

Based on information obtained from the city, the site lies within the City's Low-Level System and has an HGL of 301.8masl.

A hydrant flow test was obtained from the City. The test was completed at an existing hydrant at the intersection of Jensen Rd. and Webster Street. The test indicated that the existing watermain network is able to supply 73.2 L/s at a residual pressure of 275 kPa. Refer to **Appendix 'B'** for the hydrant flow test report. A conceptual layout of the internal distribution system is illustrated on **Figure 2**.

2.2 Design Flows

2.2.1 Domestic Flow

Grades across the property generally range from 271.6 (SW) – 268.8masl (NE). Based on the boundary condition HGL, it is expected that static pressure at the site will generally exceed 296.1 kPa (43.0 psi).

Based on the proposed Site Plan for the development, 56 medium density units are proposed within the building. Based on the City's typical unit population for medium density housing (2.4ppu) and design consumption rate (255 L/cap/day) it is anticipated that the average demand for the site will be approximately:

56units x 2.4ppu x 255L/p/d / 86400s/d = 0.40 L/s.

MTE Consultants | 53653-200 | 1350-1352 Webster Street | June 4, 2024





CAD: P: \P\53653\200\FIGURES\53653-200-FIGURE

Plot Date: May 23, 2024 — 2:29 PM

The 150mm watermain will be extended into the site to provide service. For the purposes of this report it is assumed that the first 30m of watermain feeding into the site will be upsized to 200mm with the remainder of the internal network being 150mm. Utilizing the City's peak hour demand factor (7.8), the peak domestic demand for the building is anticipated to be 3.1 L/s (7.8 x 0.40L/s = 3.1L/s)

Based on the size of the available service, the expected peak demand can be supplied through the service at a velocity of:

 $3.1 \text{ L/s} / (\text{Pi} * (150 \text{ mm}/2000)^2) = 0.2 \text{ m/s} (\text{Less than max } 1.5 \text{ m/s allowable})$

Given the maximum length through the proposed internal distribution network (140m of 150mm service and 30m of 200mm service), the headloss through the service pipe was calculated using the Hazen Williams equation:

$$\begin{array}{ll} h_{f} = 10.7 \; x \; (Q \; / \; C)^{1.852} \; x \; L_{p} \; / \; D^{4.87} \\ \mbox{Where:} & h_{f} = \mbox{Headloss (m)} \\ Q = \mbox{Flow rate in } m^{3} \! / \! s \; (0.0031) \\ C = \mbox{Hazen Williams 'C' coefficient } (100 \; / \; 110) \\ L_{p} = \mbox{Length of Pipe in } m \; (140 \; / \; 30) \\ D = \mbox{Inside diameter of the pipe in } m \; (0.150 \; / \; 200) \\ h_{f\;(150)} = 10.7 \; x \; (0.0031 \; / \; 100)^{1.852} \; x \; 140 \; / \; 0.150^{4.87} = 0.0689 m \; (0.675 \; kPa) \\ \end{array}$$

 $h_{f(200)} = 10.7 \text{ x} (0.0031 / 100)^{1.852} \text{ x} 30 / 0.200^{4.87} = 0.0030 \text{ m} (0.030 \text{ kPa})$

Therefore, the headloss across the pipe during the peak hour scenario is 0.70 kPa and the required domestic flow can be provided at the building with a residual pressure of approximately 295.4 kPa which is within the City's preferred operating range for domestic scenarios (275-550 kPa).

As the peak hour scenario is the worst case scenario in terms of velocity and pressure for domestic usage, it is concluded that the proposed service can adequately supply all the building's domestic needs.

2.2.2 Quality Turnover

Based on the information provided above, the daily water usage for the site is estimated to be:

0.4 L/s x 86400 s/d = 34.6 m³

Given the anticipated size and total length of the proposed internal piping, the total volume of water contained in the service will be:

Pi x (150mm/2000)^2 x 337m = 6.0 m³

Pi x (200mm/2000)^2 x 30m = 0.9 m³

For a total of 6.9m³. As the volume of water contained in the service connection is less than the daily usage volume it is concluded that the entire volume of water in the connection will be replaced daily. Thus, the residency time in the service will not exceed the maximum allowable time of 72 hours and no water quality issues are expected.

2.2.3 Fire Demand

The maximum height of the proposed buildings is 3 stories and the maximum footprint is approximately $480m^2$. Since none of the proposed buildings is higher than 3 stories or greater than $600m^2$ in area, the buildings are not subject to the OBC fire flow requirements. The minimum OBC requirement for fire flow in structures that meet the OBC criteria for protection is 45 L/s and increases in 15 L/s increments. Considering that the minimum OBC requirement is 45 L/s and the proposed buildings do not meet the threshold for that requirement, a flow of 40 L/s is considered to be adequate for the proposed site. Allowing for the max day demand of 1.4 L/s (0.4 L/s x 3.5), the total flow of 41.4L/s is accounted for.

Based on the size of the proposed service, the fire demand can be conveyed by the service at a velocity of 2.3 m/s which subceeds the maximum allowable velocity of 2.4 m/s.

Given the maximum length of the proposed water service, the headloss through the service pipe was calculated using the Hazen Williams equation:

 $h_f = 10.7 \text{ x} (Q / C)^{1.852} \text{ x} L_p / D^{4.87}$

Where:

Q = Flow rate in m³/s (0.0414)

 $h_f = Headloss (m)$

C = Hazen Williams 'C' coefficient (100 / 110)

 L_p = Length of Pipe in m (140 / 30)

D = Inside diameter of the pipe in m (0.150 / 0.200)

 $h_{f(150)} = 10.7 \text{ x} (0.0414 / 100)^{1.852} \text{ x} 140 / 0.150^{4.87} = 8.3490 \text{ m} (81.853 \text{ kPa})$

 $h_{f(200)} = 10.7 \text{ x} (0.0414 / 100)^{1.852} \text{ x} 30 / 0.150^{4.87} = 0.3694 \text{m} (3.622 \text{ kPa})$

Therefore, the headloss across the pipe during the fire flow scenario is 85.5 kPa and the required fire flow can be provided at the internal hydrant with a residual pressure of approximately 210.6 kPa which is above the minimum operating pressure for fireflow scenarios (140 kPa).

As per the hydrant flow test provided by the City, the local watermains are able to provide the required flow rate with a residual pressure greater than the minimum allowable 140 kPa.

3.0 SANITARY

3.1 General

Sanitary servicing is proposed to be provided by connection to the existing 200mm sanitary sewers on Croatia Road and Sandra Road. 150mm service connections are proposed to service the site.

As per City record Dwg 11457, the site was included in the design of the Jensen Road sanitary sewer system assuming a population of 112 persons for the site. The design sheets for the Croatia Road and Sandra Road sanitary sewers each allowed for a population of 20 persons from the area of the proposed site. Refer to **Appendix 'C'** for the record drawings.

3.2 Design Flow

The design of the Jensen Road sewers was completed in the early 1990's at which time a daily consumption rate of 365 L/p/d was used for design. Therefore, the peak flow from the site used in the design of the local sewers was:

112 persons x 365 L/day x 4.23 / 86,400 s/day = 2.0 L/s

Using the City's current standard consumption rate of 255 L/person/day and the Harmon Peaking Factor, a peak sanitary flow of:

135 persons x 230 L/day x 4.21 / 86,400 s/day = 1.51 L/s

Is expected from the site.

The sanitary sewers on Croatia Road and Sandra Road currently serve only 14 single family units each which would produce a peak flow of approximately 0.6 L/s using current design standards. Both local sanitary sewers have capacity to convey up to 22 L/s, thus, no capacity issues are expected on the adjacent stub streets.

As the design sanitary flow rate used for the Jensen Street sewers exceeds the actual anticipated sanitary flow rate, no negative downstream impacts are anticipated. Conceptual sanitary servicing is illustrated on **Figure 3**.

4.0 STORMWATER

4.1 General

As per City record drawing 15791, 0.79 ha of the site was included in the design of the SWM infrastructure on Croatia Road and Sandra Road with a runoff coefficient of 0.5. The design sheets for the area show that flow rates of 49 and 34 L/s were accounted for in the design of the sewers.

The site lies within the Central London subwatershed. Runoff from the site will travel north to Jensen Road, then west to Highbury Ave. before jogging north and discharging to the Meander Creek which is tributary to the Thames River. As per the City's design requirements, the SWM targets for the subwatershed are to control post-development flows to predevelopment levels for all storm events up to the 100 Year storm, to safely convey the major storm event and to not exceed the capacity of the stormwater conveyance system. A Normal Level of quality control is to be provided.

4.2 Quantity Control

4.2.1 Proposed Area Breakdown

The proposed site is approximately 1.24 ha in size. Based on area take offs completed by MTE the surface area usages for the site are as follows:

| Roof Area: | 3,809 m² |
|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Asphalt / Parking: | 3,305 m ² |
| Other Impervious: | 1,779 m ² |
| Grass / Plantings: | 3,519 <u>m²</u> |
| Total: | 12,412 m ² |

Thus the site is approximately 72% impervious which corresponds to a runoff coefficient of approximately 0.70. As the proposed area and runoff coefficient exceed those used in the design of the storm sewers, runoff from the site must be controlled to the allowable flow rate. As noted previously, the downstream sewers were designed to accommodate flow rates of 34 and 49 L/s.

4.2.2 Proposed Quantity Controls

Quantity control for the site is intended to be provided via orifice plates set upstream of the existing stubs. Overland flow will generally be directed toward Sandra Road, given the proposed site plan and existing topographical relief it is assumed that opportunities for surface ponding will be limited and the majority of storage required for the site will need to be provided below grade.

Orifices will be sized to control release rates to the allowable rates as per the storm sewer design resulting in a total allowable release rate of 83 L/s (34 + 49 = 83).

A modified rational method analysis was completed to determine the amount of storage required to attenuate runoff to the desired flow rate. The analysis showed that 282m³ of storage would be required. Calculations are provided in **Appendix D**.

Storage will be provided within the proposed sewers, subsurface chambers, and by surface ponding within the proposed parking areas and drive aisles. The design of the storage facilities will be provided at the detailed design stage via the SPA process. Surface ponding within the parking / driving areas will be limited to a maximum depth of 0.3m in keeping with the City's guidelines. Conceptual storm servicing is illustrated on **Figure 4**, record drawings are included in **Appendix D**.

It is noted that the site lies within an area identified as a significant groundwater recharge area and highly vulnerable aquifer. The geotechnical report for the site identified that the underlying soils were sandy with factored infiltration rates ranging from 19-69 mm/hr. Groundwater elevations were measured to vary between 2-3m below ground surface. Thus, it may be feasible to implement infiltration measures within the site.

4.2.3 Quality Control

Quality control will be provided by an Oil Grit Separator unit (OGS) sized to provide a normal level of control for the site. Based on the site characteristics, an ADS FD-4HC (or approved equivalent) can provide the needed quality control level.

It is anticipated that minor system flow from the entire site can be directed to a single OGS unit which will outlet via the existing storm sewer on Sandra Road. A preliminary Sizing report is provided in **Appendix D**.

MTE Consultants | 53653-200 | 1350-1352 Webster Street | June 4, 2024

5.0 EXTERNAL SERVICING

The proposed development will leave two (2) low density residential lots immediately to the south. These two residential lots are identified as municipal addresses 1344 & 1346 Webster Street. It is anticipated that at some point in the future these lots may be developed. These lots are being considered in a general sense to ensure that they will not be cut off from any municipal services (water, sanitary, storm).

<u>Water</u>

There is an existing 150mm watermain on Webster Street which can service the proposed development. A flow test or other form of water distribution analysis should be performed to ensure the water supply is sufficient at the time of development.

Sanitary

As per City record drawing 11,457 it appears that area A4, which includes the proposed development as well as 1344-1346 Webster Street, was accounted for in the design of the Jensen Street sanitary sewer and the Webster Street sewer as well. The lots were accounted for in the design of the Webster Street sewer assuming a population density of 200 ppha which is adequate to cover the current zoning of the lots (R1-6).

As adequate provision has been made for the future development of these lots in the Webster Street sewer, these lots are not intended to be serviced through the proposed site. The invert of the existing sanitary sewer on webster Street (~265.6 masl) is approximately 3.2m lower than the expected minimum elevation of the lots in the south/east corner (~268.8). Thus, it is anticipated that future development of the lots to the south can be serviced via the Webster Street sanitary sewer via gravity.

<u>Storm</u>

There is no storm sewer available on Webster Street. A new storm sewer could be extended to service the properties from the existing storm MH at the intersection of Webster Steet and Bently Drive. Alternatively, given the underlying soils will likely be relatively conducive to infiltration, on-site infiltration measures could be proposed for the minor rainfall events with the majors being directed out to the ROW.





6.0 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS

6.1 Erosion Risk Assessment

Given that the site is 1.24 ha in size and does not discharge runoff to a natural heritage feature, an Erosion Risk Assessment (ERA) is not required.

6.2 Erosion and Sediment Controls

Erosion and Sediment Control measures will be prepared for the site giving due consideration to the risk of erosion and the sensitivity of the downstream receiver. The details and locations of the proposed erosion/sediment control devices will be fully detailed at time of SPA. The erosion/sediment control devices may include such measures as:

Erosion Controls:

• Stabilize all disturbed areas after construction or where work will not take place for 30 days. Stabilization will consist of broadcast seeding during the spring, summer or fall.

Sediment Controls:

- Provide light or heavy duty silt fence around the site
- Storm Drain Inlet Protection (Straw Bales, Silt Sacks at inlets)
- Mud Mat
- Perform street sweeping on existing streets as necessary to keep the existing streets cleaned of debris. Dispose of sediment and debris at approved locations.

As much as possible / practical, the measures shall be fully installed prior to any construction activity such as topsoil stripping and earth grading, with the remaining measures implemented in good order.

7.0 CONCLUSIONS

The proposed development is a medium density residential development located in London Ontario.

Water is available from the existing 150mm watermain on Sandra Road. The available service Is sufficiently sized to provide water for domestic and fire suppression purposes.

Wastewater from the site can be directed to the existing 200mm sanitary sewers on Croatia Road and Sandra Road.

All of the stormwater management controls and minor/major flow patterns have already been established via the storm design(s) previously completed for the neighbourhood and the City's current design standards. Stormwater infrastructure will be implemented on-site via permanent private infrastructure to control flow to the capacity of the existing storm sewers on Croatia Road and Sandra Road.

All of which is respectfully submitted,

MTE Consultants Inc.



Joshua Monster, P.Eng. Design Engineer 519-743-6500 ext. 555 jmonster@mte85.com

JJM:xxx

M:\53653\200\Reports\FSR\Report Documents\53653-200 Site Servicing Report.docx



Proposed Site Plan







| LEGEND | |
|--|----------------------------|
| IRON BAR | |
| PROPERTY LINE | |
| MAN DOOR ENTRANCE / EXIT. | • |
| 6.Dm WIDE FIRE ROUTE | |
| FIRE HYDRANT | - + - _{FH} |
| STOP SIGN | ● _{SS} |
| NO PARKING FIRE ROUTE SIGNAGE | ● ^{FR} |
| STREET LIGHT (REFER TO SITE LIGHTING PLAN) | •\ |
| BARRIER FREE PARKING SIGN | BF. |
| | |



Water Servicing Information



WATER SUPPLY DEPARTMENT FLOW TESTS

| DATE: | Aug 24 - 15 | | FLOW TEST No | | 15-47 | | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------|------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| TIME: | 11:00 | | HYDRANT ID | | | | | | | |
| OPERATOR: | D Prentice | CHLO | CHLORINE RESIDUAL mg/L | | | | | | | |
| OPERATOR: | TAllen | WATER QUALITY | POOR | GOOD | EXCELLENT | | | | | |
| REQUESTED BY: | DMA | AFTER TEST | | | V | | | | | |
| LOCATION: | 1375 Webster St. | TIME USED F | Smin | | | | | | | |

| | | | FLOW HYDRAN | IT | | RESIDUAL | HYDRANT |
|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| TEST NUMBER | STATIC PRESSURE P S I | OUTLET SIZE IN | PITOT READING P S I | INDIVIDUAL FLOW USGPM | TOTAL FLOW USGPM | RESIDUAL PRESSURE P S I | STATIC PRESSURE P S I. |
| 1 | 47 | 2.5 | 30 | 920 | 920 | 42 | 45 |
| 2 | | 2-5 | 12 | 580 580 | 1160 | 40 | |
| 3 | | | - | | | | |



Information contained in this report is representative of flows and pressure losses at the time of the test and depends on reservoir levels, pump operation and customer water demand. Results will vary throughout the day and time of year. Available pressure at other times should be based on a design hydraulic grade line for the pressure zone in which the hydrants are located. By issuing this information report, neither the City nor any of its employees makes any warranty, express or implied, concerning the location, type or extent of services described in this report. Furthermore, neither the City nor any of its employees shall be liable in any manner for any personal injury or property damage or loss of any kind arising from or connected with this information or incomplete information.



Sanitary Servicing Information





| RESIDE AREA BA 60 PEO 82 PEO | ESIDENTIAL POPULATION DENSITIES PROJECT JENSEN ROAD SUBDIMISION ESIDENTIAL POPULATION DENSITIES PROJECT JENSEN ROAD SUBDIMISION 0º PEDRLE PER RORDS HECTARE SINIALE FAMILY 4 PEOPLE PER LOT 12 BEDRLE PER NET HECTARE SINIALE FAMILY 4 PEOPLE PER LOT 12 PEOPLE PER NET HECTARE SINIALE FAMILY 4 PEOPLE PER LOT 12 PEOPLE PER NET HECTARE SANIATRY AREA SANIATRY AREA 12 PEOPLE PER NET HECTARE 4 PEOPLE PER UNT CITY ENGINEERS DEPARTMENT 0 ME BEDROOM 2.5 PEOPLE PER UNT SANITARY AREA - ONE BEDROOM 3.5 PEOPLE PER UNT SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET 0 ARE - TWO BEDROOM 3.5 PEOPLE PER UNT DATE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|------|-------|-----------------|------------|-------|-------|-----|---------------|--------|-----------|-----------|---------|--------|--------------|------|--------|--------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|------|--------------|
| SEWER LOCATION AREA | | | | | | | | | P | OPULAT | ON | | SEV | AGE FL | .ow | | SEW | ER DES | GN | | | | PROFILE | | |
| AREA No. | STREET | FROM | то | Net or Gross | DIMENSIONS | A A | ≦^A | PER | No of Lobs | PER | A POP. | ₹ POP. | INFILT. | SEWAGE | TOTAL 1/s | SIZE | s × | n | CAP 1/s | Velocity M/s | LOSSES IN MH | FALL IN SEWER | LENGTH | U.S. | ELEV. D.S |
| A2 | CROATIA ROAD | S1 | EX MH | G | | 0.467 | | - | 156 | â | 17 | 20 | MI | NIM | UM | | | | | | | | | | |
| A1 | CROATIA ROAD | | | G | | 0.792 | 1.259 | - | 14 | 4 | 56 | 76 | D | SIG | N | 200 | 1.35 | 0.013 | 38 | 1.21 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A4 | SANDRA ROAD | S2 | EX MH | G | | 0.322 | | - | IS D | 8 | 12 | 20 | CR | ITE | RIA | | | | | | | | | | |
| A3 | SANDRA ROAD | | | G | | 0.792 | 1.114 | - | 68.6 | i i | 48 | 88 | A | PPL | Y | 200 | 1.00 | 0.013 | 33 | 1.06 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| RUNOFF COEFFICIE PARKS & PLAYGROUN RESIDENTIAL - SINGL - ROWH - APART COMMERCIAL & INDUS DENSELY BULL, PAVE | <u>int''C'</u> DS E & Semi DUSING Ments Itrial D | 0.20 0.50 & 0.55 0.65 0.65 - 0.70 0.90 & 0.70 0.90 | 5 | | | | | | | | CIT CITY E STORM | Y OF NGINEER: SEWER | FLOW Q = 2.78 ACI FLONDON WHERE Q = PEAK FLOW IN LITRES PER SECOND (I/o) A AREA IN HECTARES (I/o) ERS DEPARTMENT C = RUNRET COEFFICIENT ER DESIGN SHEET I = RANRALL INTERSTY IN MILLIMETRES PER HOUR (mm/hr) RETURN PERIODYEARS | | | | | | r) | PROJECT FILE No _ DRAINAGE DESIGNED DATEFE | JENSEN ROAD SUBDIVISION 91094 SHEET. OF AREA BY LGWS CHECKED BY BRUARY 1993 | | | OF | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--|-------------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|--|-------|--|---|------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------|-------|
| SEWER | LOCATION | | AREA | | TOTAL | | | A | хC | | | RAINF | UL INTE | INSITY | 0 | | | | | 5 | EWER D | ESIGN | | | | | | | |
| STREET | FROM | то | AREA NUMBER | AREA (ha) | AREA (ha) | RUNOFT COEFF.C | NOR. A x C | TOTAL SectionAuC | TOTAL Lot Acc | Server Auc | 10TAL 2.78 ALC | Time Entry Sect. | ACCUM. | interestly 7 (mm/hr) | (1/s) | PPE SIZE (mm) | ST. | | SLOPE | - | n | Actual Cap | VELOCITY M/s | LENGTH M | TIME OF FLOW(Min) | LOSSES N MH (M) | FALL IN SEWER()4) | U.S. | ELEV. |
| CROATIA ROAD | F2 | F1 | D3 | 0.467 | 0.467 | 0.50 | 0.234 | 0.234 | - | 0.234 | 0.650 | - | 19.00 | 76 | 49 | 300 | 0.42 | | | | 0.013 | 62 | 0.90 | 17.0 | 0.31 | | | | |
| CROATIA ROAD | F1 | R2 | - | - | 0.467 | - | - | - | - | 0.234 | 0.650 | 0.31 | 19.31 | - | 49 | 300 | 1.20 | | | | 0.013 | 105 | Q/A=0.90 | 40.6 | 0.75 | | | | |
| CROATIA ROAD | R2 | R1 | D1 | 0.792 | 1.259 | 0.50 | 0.396 | 0.630 | - | 0.630 | 1.751 | 0.75 | 20.06 | 74 | 130 | 300 | 1.80 | | | | 0.013 | 130 | 1.85 | 72.34 | 0.65 | | | | |
| | R1 | EX MH | - | - | 1.259 | - | - | - | - | 0.630 | 1.751 | 0.65 | 20.71 | - | 130 | 300 | 12.00 | | | | 0.013 | 345 | 4.8 | 12.75 | 0.04 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SANDRA ROAD | F3 | R4 | D4 | 0.322 | 0.322 | 0.50 | 0.161 | 0.161 | - | 0.161 | 0.448 | - | 19.00 | 76 | 34 | 300 | 0.60 | | | | 0.013 | 75 | Q/A=0.90 | 36.5 | 0.68 | | | | |
| SANDRA ROAD | R4 | R3 | D2 | 0.802 | 1.124 | 0.50 | 0.401 | 0.562 | - | 0.562 | 1.562 | 0.68 | 19.68 | 75 | 117 | 300 | 1.50 | | | | 0.013 | 120 | 1.70 | 79.20 | 0.78 | | | | |
| SANDRA ROAD | R3 | EX MH | - | - | 1.124 | - | - | - | - | 0.562 | 1.562 | 0.78 | 20.46 | - | 117 | 300 | 12.00 | | | | 0.013 | 345 | 4.8 | 13.25 | 0.05 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | T | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |





STORM SEWER AREA PLAN & DESIGN SHEET

PLAN FILE NO. 15,791

| | | | | | | 110 Mar 14 | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|-----------------|----|---------------------------|------------|-----|----------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|-----------------|-----|
| AS CONSTRUCTED NOTES | AS CONSTRUCTED SERVICES | COMPLETION | | No | REVISIONS | DATE | BY | 2 | ENGINEER'S STAMP | | | SCALE | П |
| SEE DRAWING NO. FOR SANITAR | ARY SEWERS, PDC's & MH's | MAY 1994 | DESIGN LGWS | 1 | AS SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL | MAR 31/93 | GS | S | POFESSION | | CORPORATION OF THE | | Í. |
| FURTHER DETAIL STORM | M SEWERS, PDC's, CB's & MH's | MAY 1994 | DRAWN CD | 2 | FOR CITY APPROVAL | MAY 6/93 | GS | development (| | | CITY OF LONDON | 1:1000 | Ĺ |
| SEWER DESIGN; TRANSITION WATERN | RMAIN & WSC's | MAY 1994 | CHECKED RWC | 3 | ROADWORKS | MAR 28/94 | GS | aevelopment ment | | A strange atta | | 10.0 - 0 20.0 - | i. |
| GRANUL | ULAR BASE | MAY 1994 | APPROVED | 4 | AS CONSTRUCTED | FEB /99 | EBW | Consulting Civil Engineers | SALE BALWSZOL (B) | | | 20.0 m | í. |
| ELEVATION 271.339m CURB | & GUTTER | SEPT 1994 | DATE MARCH 1993 | | | | | and Planners | Controller ~ | | DIVISION HEAD | HORIZONTAL | 1 |
| SIDEWA | VALKS | JULY 1998 | F BK 551 | | | | | Jondon Ontario NGB 175 | 3 X 167 199 . | Contraction of the local division of the loc | | m o m | i i |
| PAVING | IG - BASE | SEPT 1994 | | | | | | Phone (519)672-8310 | CLACE OF ONTAN | | | VERTICAL | i. |
| | - SURFACE | JULY 1998 | 91094A1 | | | | | Fox (519)672-4182 | UE OF U | | CITY ENGINEER | | i. |





Storm Servicing Information





SWM Calculations

| DATE: JOB NO.: | May 22, 2024 53653-200 |
|-------------------|---|
| Client: | North Development Corp |
| Project: | 1350-1352 Webster Street Residential Site |
| Location: | London, Ontario |

PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

TOTAL PRE-DEVELOPMENT AREA (A1)

| | Area (m ²) | | С | A*C | | |
|--|------------------------|-------|-----|--------|---|-------------------|
| Total Site Area: | 7900.000 | | | | Paturn Pariod (years) | |
| Building Area: | 0.00 | | 0.9 | 0 | Return Feriou (years) | A |
| Concrete/Asphalt: | 3385.00 | | 0.9 | 3046.5 | 25mm | 538.850 |
| Landscaped/Open: | 4515.00 | | 0.2 | 903 | 2 | 754.360 |
| Totals: | 7900.00 | | | 3949.5 | 2** | 1290.000 |
| C _{eq} = Sum(A*C)/Sum(A) = | 0.50 | | | | 5 | 1183.740 |
| | | | | | 10 | 1574.382 |
| | | | | | 25 | 2019.372 |
| 5 Year Design Flows | | | | | 50 | 2270.665 |
| C = | . 0.50 | | | | 100 | 2619.363 |
| **Time to concentration t_c = | [_] 19.00 | min | | | 250 | 3048.220 |
| Intensity, i (@ t _c) = | - 75.62 | mm/hr | | | *Intensity i=A/(t+B)^C (mm/hr) | |
| Post Development Flow, $Q_r = 2.78 ^{\circ}\text{C}^{\circ}\text{i}^{\circ}\text{A} =$ | 83.03 | l/s | | | * Refer to the City of London Design Sp | pecification & Re |
| | | | | | **City's Former Storm Sewer Design C | urve (2-Year Ma |
| 100 Year Design Flows | | | | | 5 | , |
| C = | - 0.50 | | | | | |
| **Time to concentration t_c = | - 19.00 | min | | | | |
| Intensity, i (@ t_c) = | = 131.48 | mm/hr | | | | |
| Post Development Flow, $Q_r = 2.78 \text{ *C}^{+1}\text{ A} =$ | = 144.36 | l/s | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS | | | | | | |
| POST-DEVELOPMENT CONTROLLED CATCHMENT A1 | | | | | | |
| | Area (m²) | | С | A*C | | |
| Total Site Area: | 12412.000 | | | | | |
| Building Area: | 3809.00 | | 0.9 | 3428.1 | | |
| Concrete/Asphalt: | 5084.00 | | 0.9 | 4575.6 | | |
| Landscaped/Open: | 3519.00 | | 0.2 | 703.8 | | |
| Totals: | 12412.00 | | | 8707.5 | | |
| $C_{eq} = Sum(A*C)/Sum(A) =$ | 0.70 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

CITY OF LONDON - 3 HOUR CHICAGO RAINFALL DISTRIBUTION PARAMETERS*

A,B,C Parameters B 6.331 6.011 8.500 7.641 9.052 9.824 9.984 10.500 10.030

C 0.809 0.810 0.860 0.838 0.860 0.875 0.876 0.876 0.884 0.888

& Requirments Manual (DS&RM), Section 6. MacLaren Storm Curve)

FLOW RESTRICTOR CALCULATIONS

Orifice diameter is based on Bernoulli's equation, $Q=C_d*A*(2gH)^0.5$

| Rearranging, A= Q/[C _d *(2gH)^0.5], where: | | |
|---|---------|------------------|
| Required Restriction Flow Rate, Q = | 83.03 | l/s |
| Orifice Coefficient, C _d = | 0.63 | |
| Gravitational Acceleration, g = | 9.81 | m/s ² |
| Top of Flooding = | 269.10 | m |
| Orifice Invert = | 266.90 | m |
| Hydralic Head on Orifice, H = | 2.200 | m |
| Required Cross-Sectional Area, A = | 0.02010 | m ² |
| Required Diameter, d = ((4*A)/pi)^0.5 = | 0.159 | m |
| Minimum orifice diameter = | 75 | mm |
| Therefore, Use Orfice Restrictor = | 159.00 | mm |
| Max Flow Rate, Q = | 82.18 | |

RAINFALL DATA

Г

STORAGE CALCULATIONS

| Rainfall Data - London Rainfall Intensity Duration | |
|--|--|
|--|--|

2YR Storm Event

| Duration | Intensity "i" |
|----------|---------------|
| (min.) | (mm/hr) |
| 5 | 108.07 |
| 10 | 79.80 |
| 15 | 64.03 |
| 30 | 41.39 |
| 60 | 25.33 |
| 120 | 15.01 |
| 180 | 10.95 |

| 100 Yr Stm Event | | | |
|------------------|---------------|--|--|
| | | | |
| Duration | Intensity "i" | | |
| (min.) | (mm/hr) | | |
| 5 | 232.24 | | |
| 10 | 181.39 | | |
| 15 | 149.56 | | |
| 30 | 99.36 | | |
| 60 | 60.87 | | |
| 120 | 35.32 | | |
| 180 | 25.28 | | |

| Inflow, Q _i | Volume In | Orifice Restrictor Outflow, | Surface Outflow | Allowable Release, | Volume Out | Difference/ |
|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|-------------------|
| 2.78*C*i*A | Qt*t*60/1000 | Q _o | Q _o | Q _o | Q _o *t*60/1000 | Storage |
| (l/s) | (m ³) | (I/s) | (I/s) | (I/s) | (m ³) | (m ³) |
| 261.03 | 78.31 | 83.03 | 0.00 | 83.03 | 24.91 | 53.40 |
| 192.75 | 115.65 | 83.03 | 0.00 | 83.03 | 49.82 | 65.83 |
| 154.66 | 139.20 | 83.03 | 0.00 | 83.03 | 74.73 | 64.47 |
| 99.97 | 179.94 | 83.03 | 0.00 | 83.03 | 149.45 | 30.49 |
| 61.19 | 220.28 | 83.03 | 0.00 | 83.03 | 298.91 | -78.63 |
| 36.24 | 260.95 | 83.03 | 0.00 | 83.03 | 597.81 | -336.86 |
| 26.44 | 285.54 | 83.03 | 0.00 | 83.03 | 896.72 | -611.18 |
| | | | | | Max. Storage Volume (m ³) = | 65.83 |

| | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | |
|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|-------------------|
| Inflow, Q _i | Volume In | Orifice Restrictor Outflow, | Surface Outflow | Allowable Release, | Volume Out | Difference/ |
| 2.78*C*i*A | Qt*t*60/1000 | Q _o | Q _o | Q _o | Q _o *t*60/1000 | Storage |
| (I/s) | (m ³) | (I/s) | (I/s) | (I/s) | (m ³) | (m ³) |
| 560.95 | 168.29 | 83.03 | 0.00 | 83.03 | 24.91 | 143.38 |
| 438.12 | 262.87 | 83.03 | 0.00 | 83.03 | 49.82 | 213.05 |
| 361.24 | 325.12 | 83.03 | 0.00 | 83.03 | 74.73 | 250.39 |
| 239.99 | 431.98 | 83.03 | 0.00 | 83.03 | 149.45 | 282.53 |
| 147.02 | 529.28 | 83.03 | 0.00 | 83.03 | 298.91 | 230.37 |
| 85.31 | 614.20 | 83.03 | 0.00 | 83.03 | 597.81 | 16.39 |
| 61.06 | 659.44 | 83.03 | 0.00 | 83.03 | 896.72 | -237.28 |
| | | | | | Max. Storage Volume (m ³) = | 282.53 |



ADS OGS Sizing Summary

| Project Name: | 1350 Webster St. | | |
|----------------------|------------------|--------|-------------------------|
| Consulting Engineer: | MTE | | |
| Location: | London, ON | | |
| Sizing Completed By: | C. Neath | Email: | cody.neath@ads-pipe.com |

| Treatment Requirements | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Treatment Goal: | Enhanced (MOE) | | |
| Selected Parameters: | 80% TSS 90% Volume | | |
| Selected Unit: | FD-4HC | | |

| Summary of Results | | | | |
|--------------------|-------------|----------------|--|--|
| Model | TSS Removal | Volume Treated | | |
| FD-4HC | 85.0% | >90% | | |
| FD-5HC | 89.0% | >90% | | |
| FD-6HC | 92.0% | >90% | | |
| FD-8HC | 95.0% | >90% | | |
| FD-10HC | 97.0% | >90% | | |

| FD-4HC Specification | | | | |
|--|----------|--|--|--|
| Unit Diameter (A): | 1,200 mm | | | |
| Inlet Pipe Diameter (B): | 300 mm | | | |
| Outlet Pipe Diameter (C): | 300 mm | | | |
| Height, T/G to Outlet Invert (D): | 2000 mm | | | |
| Height, Outlet Invert to Sump (E): | 1515 mm | | | |
| Sediment Storage Capacity (F): | 0.78 m³ | | | |
| Oil Storage Capacity (G): | 723 L | | | |
| Recommended Sediment Depth for Maintenance: | 440 mm | | | |
| Max. Pipe Diameter: | 600 mm | | | |
| Peak Flow Capacity: | 510 L/s | | | |

| Site Elevations: | | | |
|------------------------|--------|--|--|
| Rim Elevation: | 100.00 | | |
| Inlet Pipe Elevation: | 98, | | |
| Outlet Pipe Elevation: | 98.00 | | |

| Site Area: | 1.24 ha |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| % Impervious: | |
| Rational C: | 0.71 |
| Rainfall Station: | London Intl Airport, ON |
| Particle Size Distribution: | Fine |
| Peak Flowrate: | 34 L/s |



Notes:

Removal efficiencies are based on NJDEP Test Protocols and independently verified.

All units supplied by ADS have numerous local, provincial, and international certifications (copies of which can be provided upon request). The design engineer is responsible for ensuring compliance with applicable regulations.



Net Annual Removal Efficiency Summary: FD-4HC

| Rainfall Intensity ⁽¹⁾ | Fraction of Rainfall ⁽¹⁾ | FD-4HC Removal Efficiency ⁽²⁾ | Weighted Net-Annual Removal Efficiency |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|
| mm/hr | % | % | % |
| 0.50 | 0.2% | 100.0% | 0.2% |
| 1.00 | 13.7% | 95.7% | 13.1% |
| 1.50 | 17.3% | 92.1% | 16.0% |
| 2.00 | 13.5% | 89.7% | 12.1% |
| 2.50 | 2.7% | 87.9% | 2.4% |
| 3.00 | 2.3% | 86.4% | 1.9% |
| 3.50 | 8.5% | 85.2% | 7.3% |
| 4.00 | 4.7% | 84.1% | 3.9% |
| 4.50 | 1.5% | 83.2% | 1.2% |
| 5.00 | 5.2% | 82.4% | 4.3% |
| 6.00 | 4.1% | 81.0% | 3.3% |
| 7.00 | 4.4% | 79.9% | 3.5% |
| 8.00 | 3.3% | 78.9% | 2.6% |
| 9.00 | 2.4% | 78.0% | 1.9% |
| 10.00 | 2.3% | 77.2% | 1.8% |
| 20.00 | 9.2% | 72.4% | 6.6% |
| 30.00 | 2.5% | 69.7% | 1.7% |
| 40.00 | 1.1% | 67.9% | 0.7% |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | 84.7% | | |
| | >90% | | |

Notes:

- (1) Rainfall Data: 1960:2002, HLY03, London AP, ONT, 6144475.
- (2) Based on third party verified data and appoximating the removal of a PSD similar to the STC Fine distribution
- (3) Rainfall adjusted to 5 min peak intensity based on hourly average.



| RESIDENTIAL POPULATION DENSITIES AREA BASIS 4 PEOPLE 00 PEDPLE PER SINALE FAMILY 4 PEOPLE 02 PEOPLE PER SINALE FAMILY 4 PEOPLE 02 PEOPLE PEOPLE SINALE FAMILY 4 PEOPLE 02 PEOPLE PER NET HECHARE APARTIMENTS BACHELOR 1.5 PEOPLE - TWO BERROOM 3.5 PEOPL - TWO ENCODENT APARTIMENTS | | | | | | | PEOPLE PER LOT CITY OF LONDON PEOPLE PER UNT CITY ENGINEERS DEPARTMENT Software PER UNT SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET | | | | | | | | | | | PROJECT JENSEN ROAD SUBDIVISION FILE No <u>91094</u> SHEET OF SANITARY AREA DESIGNED BY <u>LGWS</u> CHECKED BY DATE FEBRUARY 1993 | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|------|-------|-----------------|------------|----------|---|------------|---------------|-----|------|-----------|-------------|--------|--------------|--------------|------|---|------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|--------|------|--------------|
| | SEWER LOCATION | | | | | | | POPULATION | | | | | SEWAGE FLOW | | | SEWER DESIGN | | | | | PROFILE | | | | |
| AREA No. | STREET | FROM | то | Net or Gross | DIMENSIONS | AA Ma | ≦^ ha | PER | No of Lots | PER | POP. | ₹ POP. | INFILT. | SEWAGE | TOTAL 1/s | SIZE | S X | n | CAP I∕s | Velocity M/s | LOSSES IN MH | FALL IN SEWER | LENGTH | U.S. | ELEV. D.S |
| A2 | CROATIA ROAD | S1 | EX MH | G | | 0.467 | | - | ist. | â | 17 | 20 | MI | NIM | UM | | | | | | | | | | |
| A1 | CROATIA ROAD | | | G | | 0.792 | 1.259 | - | 14 | 4 | 56 | 76 | D | ESIG | N | 200 | 1.35 | 0.013 | 38 | 1.21 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A4 | SANDRA ROAD | S2 | EX MH | G | | 0.322 | | - | IS D | 1 | 12 | 20 | CR | ITE | RIA | | | | | | | | | | |
| A3 | SANDRA ROAD | | | G | | 0.792 | 1.114 | - | 63.6 | | 48 | 88 | A | PPL | Y | 200 | 1.00 | 0.013 | 33 | 1.06 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| RUNOFF COEFFICIE PARKS & PLAYGROUN RESIDENTIAL - SINGLI - ROWKK - APARTI COMMERCIAL & INDUS DENSELY BULT, PAVEI | | | CITY OF LONDON CITY ENGINEERS DEPARTMENT STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET | | | | | | | | ELOW O - 2.78 ACI WHERE Q - PEAR FLOW IN LITRES PER SECOND (V/s) A - AREA IN HECTARES (hc) C = RUNOFE COEFFICIENT IN MILLIMETRES PER HOUR (mm/hr) I = RUNNIE INTENSITY IN MILLIMETRES PER HOUR (mm/hr) RETURN PERIOD YEARS | | | | | | | | | | PROJECT JENSEN ROAD SUBDIVISION FILE No. <u>91094</u> SHEET. OF DRAINGE AREA DESCRIED BY <u>LOWS</u> CHECKED BY DATE FEBRUARY 1993 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|-------|---|--------------|-------|----------------|---------------|---------------------|---------|------------|---|---------------------|--------|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|--|-------|--|--|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------|-----|--|--|--|
| SEWER | SEWER LOCATION | | | AREA | | | AREA | | AREA | | AREA | | AREA | | AREA | | A x C | | | | RAINFALL INTENSITY | | | SEWER DESIGN | | | | | | | | |
| STREET | FROM | то | AREA NUMBER | AREA (ho) | (ha) | RUNOFT COEFF.C | NCR. A x C | TOTAL SectionAuC | LOT ALC | Server Auc | TOTAL 2.78 Auc | Time Entry Sect. | ACCUM. | Internetty T (mm/hr) | (1/3) | SIZE | ST. | | SLOPE | | n | Actual Cap. 1/s | VELOCITY M/s | LENGTH M | TIME OF FLOW(Min) | LOSSES IN MH (M) | FALL IN SEWER(M) | U.S. | D.S | | | |
| CROATIA ROAD | F2 | F1 | D3 | 0.467 | 0.467 | 0.50 | 0.234 | 0.234 | - | 0.234 | 0.650 | - | 19.00 | 76 | 49 | 300 | 0.42 | | | | 0.013 | 62 | 0.90 | 17.0 | 0.31 | | | | | | | |
| CROATIA ROAD | F1 | R2 | - | - | 0.467 | - | - | - | - | 0.234 | 0.650 | 0.31 | 19.31 | - | 40 | 300 | 1.20 | | | | 0.013 | 105 | Q/A=0.90 | 40.6 | 0.75 | | | | | | | |
| CROATIA ROAD | R2 | R1 | D1 | 0.792 | 1.259 | 0.50 | 0.396 | 0.630 | - | 0.630 | 1.751 | 0.75 | 20.06 | 74 | 130 | 300 | 1.80 | | | | 0.013 | 130 | 1.85 | 72.34 | 0.65 | | | | | | | |
| | R1 | EX MH | - | - | 1.259 | - | - | - | - | 0.630 | 1.751 | 0.65 | 20.71 | - | 130 | 300 | 12.00 | | | | 0.013 | 345 | 4.8 | 12.75 | 0.04 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SANDRA ROAD | F3 | R4 | D4 | 0.322 | 0.322 | 0.50 | 0.161 | 0.161 | - | 0.161 | 0.448 | - | 19.00 | 76 | 34 | 300 | 0.60 | | | | 0.013 | 75 | Q/A=0.90 | 36.5 | 0.68 | | | | | | | |
| SANDRA ROAD | R4 | R3 | D2 | 0.802 | 1.124 | 0.50 | 0.401 | 0.562 | - | 0.562 | 1.562 | 0.68 | 19.68 | 75 | 117 | 300 | 1.50 | | | | 0.013 | 120 | 1.70 | 79.20 | 0.78 | | | | | | | |
| SANDRA ROAD | R3 | EX MH | | - | 1.124 | - | - | - | - | 0.562 | 1.562 | 0.78 | 20.46 | - | 117 | 300 | 12.00 | | | | 0.013 | 345 | 4.8 | 13.25 | 0.05 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |





| | | PROPOSED MULTI-FAMILY RE | SIDENTIAL | | SANDRA ROAD F3 R4 U4 U. SANDRA ROAD R4 R3 D2 0. SANDRA ROAD R3 EX MH - | 22 0.322 0.30 0.16 022 1.124 0.50 0.40 - 1.124 | 1 0.161 - 0.161 0.445 - 19.00 76 1 0.562 - 0.562 1.562 0.68 19.86 75 0.562 1.562 0.78 20.46 - | 34 300 0.60 443 300 1.50 117 300 12.00 | 0.013 75 0/0-36 36.5 0.013 120 1.70 79.20 0.013 345 4.8 13.25 | | |
|---|---|---|--|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| WEBSTER STREET | L O T L O T D3 0.467Hox0.50 =0.234 AC | 3 2 JENSEN RO 3 14 13 D1 0.792Hax0.50 =0.396 11 13 12 11 10 3739-300 ST-648X 9 9 9 11 10 9 9 12 11 10 9 9 13 12 11 10 9 9 14 13 12 11 10 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | AD B B B C C C C C C C C C C C C C | FUTURE SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL | NDTE: THE 250 WATERMAIN ON JENSEN ROAD EAST FROM HIGHBURY AVENUE TO THE EASTERLY UNIT OF THE JENSEN ROAD SUBBONSION MUST BE INSTALLED PRIOR DUBONSION. | WEBSTER STREET | PLAN 33R-13218 FT 1 FT 2 FT 3 FT 2 7.6-300 ST FT 2 FT 3 FT 2 1000 ST FT 2 10 | PLAN 33R-12 PT 3 PT 4 PT 7 PT 6 NSEN ROAD 74-300 ST 15002 2000 FT 1300 ST 15002 2000 FT 137-30 15002 13 16 20 13 16 20 13 16 20 13 16 20 10 19 20 30 10 19 20 30 10 19 20 30 10 19 20 30 10 19 20 30 10 19 20 30 10 19 20 30 20 30 20 30 20 30 20 30 20 30 30 30 10 19 20 30 10 19 20 30 10 19 20 30 10 19 20 30 < | PT 7 PT 8 PT 9 ²⁰ PT 10 PT 11 3 | FUDRE SINGLE FAILY RESIDENTIAL (S.D.) - DENOTES SEMI- (S.D.) - DENOTES SEMI- (3) - DENOTES PLAN | -DETACHED LOT NUMBER OF DRAWING |
| AS CONSTRUCTED NOTES | AS CONSTRUCTED SERVICES SANITARY SEWERS, PDC's & MH's | COMPLETION N MAY 1994 DESIGN LOWS 1 | REVISIONS DA AS SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL NAD | TE BY | | | CORPORATION OF THE | SCALE | JOE PERAIC LIMITED | PLAN 33M-315, 33R-12999 | PROJECT NO. |
| EE DRAWING NO. FOR URTHER DETAIL | STORM SEWERS, PDC's, CB's & MH's | MAY 1994 DRAWN CD 2 | FOR CITY APPROVAL MAX | 6/93 GS | development | | CITY OF LONDON | 1:1000 | JENSEN ROAD SUBDI | VISION | 91094 |
| EWER DESIGN; TRANSITION NDTH OR AS NOTED | WATERMAIN & WSC's GRANULAR BASE | MAY 1994 CHECKED RWC 3 MAY 1994 APPROVED 4 | ROADWORKS MAR | 28/94 GS | | | | 10.0 m 0 20.0 m | MASTER PLAN OF S | SERVICES | SHEET NO. |
| REFERENCE B.M. NO. S207 ELEVATION 271.339m | CURB & GUTTER | SEPT 1994 DATE MARCH 1993 | | LOW | Consulting Civil Engineers engineering | ") (166) | | HORIZONTAL | SANITARY SEWED A | REA DIAN & DESIGN SHEET | 1 |
| | SIDEWALKS | JULY 1998 F BK 551 | | | S61 Dufferin Avenue (London) Limited | | | m o m | SANTAN SEWER A | THAN & DESIGN SHEET | · |
| | PAVING - BASE | SEPT 1994 | | | Phone (519)672-8310 Fax (519)672-4182 | | CITY ENGINEER | VERTICAL | STORM SEWER ARE | A PLAN & DESIGN SHEET | PLAN FILE NO. 15 79 |
| | - SURFACE | JULY 1998 91094A1 | | | | | CALL ENGINEER | | | | 15,79 |

