

City of London



Stoneybrook Neighbourhood Profile (1996-2016)


For further information contact:

Policy and Planning Support

Email: neighbourhoods@london.ca

Date Created: Jan. 2014

Neighbourhood Profile -Stoneybrook Planning District



Acknowledgment:

Profiles for all 42 neighbourhoods across the city were compiled by the Policy and Planning Division (Dr. Momodou Jeng, Laure Eldik, Randy MacTaggart, and Anna Oliveira). Thank you to Planning Services for providing the 2016 census data that informed the development of this work.


Cautionary Notes:


- 1. Comparability of Data: caution should be used when comparing NHS data (2011) to long-form census data (1996, 2001, 2006 and 2016), especially when it comes to data for small geographies due to a difference in data collection methodologies employed by Statistics Canada.
- 2. Percentage Totals: some differences in the percentage totals (not adding up to 100%) are due to rounding and sampling errors, low response rates, and data suppression related to confidentiality rules adopted by Statistics Canada.
- 3. As a % of Neighbourhood/As a % of Active Labour Force: some differences in percentage totals (not adding up to 100%) are based on the data being compared to the overall population of the neighbourhood or the overall active labour force as opposed to the actual number of respondents to the census question (e.g. population aged 15 years and over).
- **4. Changes from Previous Neighbourhood Profiles:** some revisions and omissions of data in the current Neighbourhood Profiles are based on the way in which data was aggregated by Statistics Canada (e.g. marital status) or questions not asked in the 2016 census (e.g. religion).

Data Sources:

- 1. 1996, 2001, 2006, 2011 and 2016 Census Data and 2011 NHS data, Statistics Canada, Ottawa.
- 2. Customized City of London Planning District data extracted and tabulated by the City of London Planning Urban Design and Geographic Information Systems Division and the City of London Policy and Planning Support Division.

Legend:






Population Characteristics & Age Distribution

Stoneybook Neighbourhood	1996	2001	2006	2011 2016			om Previous 011 to 2016)
Total Population	7,445	7,270	7,075	6,850	6,660	1	-3%
Female	3,815	3,690	3,640	3,500	3,475	1	-1%
Male	3,630	3,580	3,435	3,350	3,180	1	-5%

Age Structure	1996	2001	2006	2011	2016	Change From Previous Period (2011 to 2016)		As a % of Neighbourhood
0 to 19	2,000	1,940	1,765	1,575	1,485	1	-6%	22%
0 to 4	375	320	260	235	275	1	17%	4%
5 to 9	545	480	415	340	440	1	29%	7%
10 to 14	515	590	555	455	330	1	-27%	5%
15 to 19	565	550	535	545	450	1	-17%	7%
20 to 44	2,315	2,015	1,965	1,675	1,735	1	4%	26%
45 to 64	2,250	2,175	2,075	2,225	1,905	1	-14%	29%
65+	870	1,145	1,250	1,375	1,535	1	12%	23%
Median Age	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	46.6	46.3			

2016 Census Age Cohort Breakout	Male	Female	Total	As a % of Neighbourhood
0 to 4	130	135	265	4%
5 to 9	200	240	440	7%
10 to 14	170	155	325	5%
15 to 19	240	215	455	7%
20 to 24	190	195	385	6%
25 to 29	175	165	340	5%
30 to 34	115	135	250	4%
35 to 39	210	220	430	6%
40 to 44	155	165	320	5%
45 to 49	185	235	420	6%
50 to 54	300	280	580	9%
55 to 59	200	240	440	7%
60 to 64	205	255	460	7%
65 to 69	190	235	425	6%
70 to 74	150	250	400	6%
75 to 79	180	170	350	5%
80 to 84	120	105	225	3%
85+	55	80	135	2%
Total	3,170	3,475	6,645 ¹	


¹ There is likely to be some differences due to rounding and sampling errors.



Marital Status	1996	2001	2006	2011	2016	Previou	e From s Period o 2016)	As a % of Neighbourhood
Single	1,445	1,340	1,470	1,400	1,285	↓	-8%	19%
Married	4,035	3,845	3,685	3,525	3,270	1	-7%	49%
Common-Law	40	155	210	255	385	1	51%	6%
Divorced	180	250	295	235	225	1	-4%	3%
Separated	85	115	85	110	135	1	23%	2%
Widowed	260	330	300	300	325	1	8%	5%
Citizenship, Immigration& Ethnic Identity	1996	2001	2006	2011	2016	Change Previous (2011 to	Period	As a % of Neighbourhood
Citizenship								
Canadian Citizens	7,250	7,035	6,920	6,630	6,470	1	-2%	97%
Non-Canadian Citizens	190	230	155	215	185	1	-14%	3%
Immigration Status								
Canadian Born Population	6,320	6,120	6,010	5,545	5,415	1	-2%	81%
Foreign Born Population	1,115	1,130	1,050	1,300	1,215	1	-7%	18%
Visible Minority Population	130	220	345	280	630	1	125%	9%
Indigenous Identity Population	15	50	50	125	75	1	-40%	1%

City of London


Family Composition	1996	2001	2006	2011	2016	Change From Previous Period (2011 to 2016)		As a % of Census Families
Number of Census Families	2,225	2,210	2,165	2,110	2,060	→	-2%	
Family Size - 2 Persons	875	980	995	1,010	1,010	_		49%
Family Size - 3 Persons	480	455	415	425	435	↑	2%	21%
Family Size - 4 Persons	615	495	505	485	460	→	-5%	22%
Family Size - ≥ 5 Persons	255	285	250	180	145	\	-19%	7%
Census Family - Average Size	3.1	3.1	3	2.9	2.9			



Family Structure & Number of Children	1996	2001	2006	2011	2016		om Previous 111 to 2016)
Couples (Married or Common Law) ²	2,020	1,995	1,930	1,875	1,805	1	-4%
Without Children	800	880	850	885	900	1	2%
With Children	1,220	1,130	1,080	995	905	1	-9%
Lone Parent Families	200	210	195	235	250	1	6%
1 Child	75	105	145	130	105	1	-19%
2 Children	75	90	60	85	110	1	29%
≥ 3 Children	40	15	15	15	25	1	67%
Male Headed	40	20	30	55	65	1	18%
Female Headed	160	190	195	175	185	1	6%

² 2016 Census combines data for Married or Common Law couples without/with Children.

Educational Attainment by Credential	1996	2001	2006	2011	2016	Change From Previous Period (2011 to 2016)		As a % of Neighbourhood
No Certificate, Diploma or Degree	N.A.	N.A.	340	795	565	1	-29%	8%
High School Diploma or Equivalent	N.A.	N.A.	1,140	1,640	1,335	\	-19%	20%
Apprenticeship, or Trade Certificate	205	460	340	270	345	1	28%	5%
College Certificate or Diploma	N.A.	N.A.	1,120	1,205	1,355	↑	12%	20%
University Certificate or Degree	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	205	80	4	-61%	1%
University - Bachelor's Degree	N.A.	N.A.	1,005	995	1,175	↑	18%	18%
University Degree above bachelor level (MA or PhD)	N.A.	N.A.	395	740	140	4	-81%	2%




Housing by Structure Type & Tenure	1996	2001	2006	2011	2016	Previou	e From s Period o 2016)	As a % of Total Occupied Dwellings
Total Occupied Dwellings	2,555	2,585	2,540	2,575	2,575			
Single-Detached	2,310	2,350	2,325	2,350	2,365	1	1%	92%
Semi-Detached	70	75	80	75	85	1	13%	3%
Row House	165	150	110	105	100	1	-5%	4%
Duplex	10	10	25	30	20	1	-33%	1%
Apartment < 5 Storeys	0	0	0	5	10	1	100%	<1%
Apartment ≥ 5 Storeys	0	0	0	0	0	_	_	0%
Number of Owned Dwellings	2,465	2,530	2,485	2,505	2,490	1	-1%	
Owned as a % of Total	97%	98%	98%	97%	97%			
Number of Rented Dwellings	65	55	50	75	90	1	25%	
Rented as a % of Total	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%		_	
Average # of Rooms	8.50	8.60	9	8.5	8.5	_		
Average Gross Rent	\$829	\$1,265	\$1,039	\$1,144	\$1,333	1	17%	
Average Value of Dwelling	\$178,679	\$179,875	\$249,189	\$296,307	\$320,178	1	8%	

Labour Market Indicators	1996	2001	2006	2011	2016	Previou	je From is Period to 2016)	As a % of Neighbourhood
Total Labour Force ≥ 15 years	4,090	3,925	3,915	3,670	3,435	1	-6%	52%
Female	1,980	1,865	1,935	1,815	1,745	1	-4%	26%
Male	2,110	2,060	1,980	1,860	1,690	1	-9%	25%
Unemployment Rate	6.4%	6.0%	4.0%	6.7%	6.1%	•	L	
Female	7.3%	5.1%	3.9%	7.2%	7.2%	•	↓	
Male	5.7%	5.8%	4.3%	6.2%	4.7%	•	↓	
Employment Rate	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	62.3%	57.4%	•	↓	
Participation Rate	68%	67%	67%	66.4%	61.1%	•	↓	
Female	64%	61%	65%	59.4%	59.4%	_		
Male	73%	73%	70%	66.4%	63.1%	-		


Income Characteristics of Economically Active Population ³	1996	2001	2006	2011	2016	Change From Previous Period (2011 to 2016)	
Individual Income						,	•
Average Income	\$35,087	\$40,801	\$46,489	\$47,686	\$54,415	1	14%
Median Income	\$30,930	\$34,984	\$39,105	\$39,024	\$44,736	↑	15%
Income by Gender							
Average Income – Male	\$45,455	\$52,548	\$57,540	\$56,662	\$64,185	↑	13%
Average Income- Female	\$24,720	\$29,054	\$35,438	\$39,447	\$45,779	↑	16%
Median Income – Male	\$42,005	\$44,364	\$47,530	\$47,199	\$52,201	↑	11%
Median Income - Female	\$19,855	\$25,604	\$30,680	\$32,878	\$38,240	↑	16%
Household Income							
Average Household Income	\$78,317.	\$88,616	\$103,252	\$102,672	\$114,169	↑	11%
Median Household Income	\$71,709	\$77,858	\$88,116	\$93,795	\$94,787	↑	1%
Family Income				ı			
Average Family Income	\$82,717	\$94,031	\$110,455	\$112,752	\$126,954	↑	13%
Median Family Income	\$75,877	\$83,789	\$98,385	\$102,214	\$106,459	↑	4%
Lone-Parent Families							
Average Family Income	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	\$68,049	\$91,451	↑	34%
Median Family Income	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	\$63,603	\$77,368	↑	22%

³ Data Note: Income figures based on previous reporting year and reflect gross earnings (1995, 2000, 2005, 2010 & 2015).




Labour Force by National Occupational Classification	1996	2001	2006	2011	2016	Change From Previous Period (2011 to 2016)		As a % of Stoneybrook Active Labour Force
Management	500	470	455	395	360	1	-9%	10%
Business, Finance and Administration	685	810	855	515	595	1	16%	17%
Natural and Applied Science Fields	195	240	235	265	220	1	-17%	6%
Health Occupations	405	395	300	345	325	1	-6%	9%
Education, Law and Social, Community and Government Services	560	465	605	580	620	↑	7%	18%
Art, Culture, recreation, and Sport	130	130	125	180	105	1	-42%	3%
Sales and Service Sector	1,075	800	865	840	760	\	-10%	22%
Trades, Transport and Equipment Operators	275	400	315	365	295	\	-19%	9%
Natural Resources and Agriculture	N.A	N.A.	25	30	20	1	-33%	1%
Manufacturing and Utilities	N.A.	N.A.	195	90	80	1	-11%	2%

Employment Trends by Occupation Breakout - 2016



Class of Worker	1996	2001	2006	2011	2016	Change From Previous Period		As a % of Neighbourhood
Employee	3,680	3,410	3,510	3,115	2,975	1	-4%	45%
Female	1,810	1,700	1,820	1,575	1,560	1	-1%	23%
Male	1,870	1,710	1,690	1,545	1,420	1	-8%	21%
Self-Employed	535	465	435	480	410	1	-15%	6%
Female	160	150	110	195	155	1	-21%	2%
Male	375	325	305	285	255	1	-11%	4%

Employment Status	1996	2001	2006	2011	2016	Change From Previous Period (2011 to 2016)		As a % of Stoneybrook Active Labour Force
Worked Full-Time in 2015	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	2,485	1,985	\	-20%	54%
Worked Part-Time in 2015	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	1,045	1,690	1	62%	46%



Mode of Transport to Work	1996	2001	2006	2011	2016	Change Previous (2011 to		As a % of Stoneybrook Active Labour Force
Automobile - As a Driver	2,930	2,795	2,800	2,630	2,405	1	-9%	70%
Car-Pool	295	340	290	225	195	\	-13%	6%
Public Transportation	120	145	180	125	170	1	36%	5%
Walked or Bicycled	165	120	200	175	165	\	-6%	5%
Other Modes	20	10	10	15	20	1	33%	1%


Place of Work	1996	2001	2006	2011	2016	Change Previous (2011 to	Period	As a % of Neighbourhood
Worked at Home	270	290	285	250	245	→	-2%	4%
Worked at a Fixed Address	3,375	3,260	3,235	2,925	2,685	→	-8%	40%
No Fixed Workplace Address	165	140	165	245	270	↑	10%	4%
Worked within Municipality	2,975	1,505	2,890	N.A.	2,410	N.A	٦.	36%
Work in a different Municipality	255	325	340	N.A.	200	N.A	٦.	3%
Worked outside Canada	25	25	10	10	25	↑	150%	<1%

Mobility/Migration Status	1996	2001	2006	2011	2016	Previou	e From s Period to 2016)	As a % of Neighbourhood
Lived at the same address 5 years ago	4,990	5,130	5,005	5,080	4,755	4	-6%	71%
Moved sometime within the last 5 years	2,070	1,810	1,800	1,570	1,630	1	4%	24%
Internal Migrants	515	450	395	455	300	1	-34%	5%
- Intra-provincial	465	415	395	405	220	1	-46%	3%
- Inter-provincial	45	35	0	50	80	1	60%	1%
External Migrants	60	30	90	100	105	1	5%	2%
Lived at the same address 1 years ago	6,815	6,735	6,425	6,370	6,075	1	-5%	91%
Moved sometime within the last year	590	480	600	450	540	1	20%	8%
Internal Migrants	135	105	140	80	100	1	25%	2%
- Intra-provincial	115	80	110	60	85	1	42%	1%
- Inter-provincial	20	25	30	20	20			0%
External Migrants	30	10	0	15	55	1	267%	1%

Place of Birth by Region	2011	2016	Change From Previous Period (2011 to 2016)		As a % of Neighbourhood
Total Immigrant Population	1,300	1,215	\	-7%	18%
North America (US only)	50	95	↑	90%	1%
Africa	90	40	1	-56%	1%
Asia	305	330	↑	8%	5%
Caribbean	N.A.	N.A.	N	.A.	N.A.
Central and South America	N.A.	55		N.A.	1%
Oceania and other places of birth	N.A.	N.A.	N.A	A .	N.A.
Europe	790	685	1	-13%	10%
Recent Immigrants	140	85	1	-39%	1%
North America (US only)	0	0		_	0%
Africa	60	0	1	-100%	0%
Asia	40	65	1	63%	1%
Caribbean	0	N.A.	N	I.A.	N.A.
Central and South America	0	10	^		<1%
Oceania and other places of birth	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.		N.A.
Europe	N.A.	10	N.A.		<1%

Immigration by Place of Birth 2011	2011	As a % of Neighbourhood 2011	Immigration by Place of Birth 2016	2016	As a % of Neighbourhood 2016
1. United Kingdom	295	4%	1. United Kingdom	260	4%
2. Greece	95	1%	2. China	110	2%
3. China	90	1%	3. United States	95	1%
4. Egypt	80	1%	4. Italy	60	1%
5. Viet Nam	75	1%	5. Poland	60	1%
6. Netherlands	60	1%	6. Netherlands	55	1%
7. Portugal	60	1%	7. Philippines	50	1%
8. Ireland	55	<1%	8. Germany	40	1%
9. India	50	<1%	9. India	40	1%
10. Germany	45	<1%	10. Viet Nam	30	<1%

Period of Immigration	1996	2001	2006	2011	2016	Previou	e From s Period to 2016)	As a % of Neighbourhood
Before 1981	N.A.	N.A.	775	740	650	→	-12%	10%
1981 to 1990	N.A.	N.A.	90	170	155	→	-9%	2%
1991 to 2000	N.A.	N.A.	120	110	145	*	32%	2%
2001 to 2010	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	285	180	+	-37%	3%
2011 to 2016	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	85	١	1.A.	1%



Language	1996	2001	2006	2011	2016	Change From Previous Period (2011 to 2016)		As a % of Neighbourhood	
		Kno	wledge of (Official Lan	guages				
English Only	6,925	6,730	6,510	6,315	6,255	→	-1%	9%	
French Only	0	0	0	5	0	→	-100%	0%	
English and French	460	520	560	480	350	+	-27%	1%	
Neither English or French	55	10	10	25	55	1	120%	0%	
		Langua	age Spoken	Most Ofter	n at Home				
English	7,075	6,845	6,820	6,455	6,195	\downarrow	-4%	9%	
French	10	20	55	20	15	Ψ	-25%	<1%	
Non-Official Language	300	50	170	265	285	↑	8%	<1%	
	Mother Tongue ⁴								
English	6,590	6,335	6,265	5,870	5,645	1	-4%	8%	
French	60	90	120	70	45	4	-36%	<1%	
Non-Official Language	765	800	670	800	895	↑	12%	1%	

⁴ Statistics Canada defines 'mother tongue' as the first language learned in childhood and still understood by the individual at the time of census reporting.

Top Non-Official Languages Spoken At Home⁵	2016	As a % of Neighbourhood
Mandarin	75	1%
Italian	30	<1%
Romanian	20	<1%
Arabic	15	<1%
vietnamese	15	<1%
Czech	15	<1%
Persian (Farsi)	15	<1%
Japanese	15	<1%

⁵ Data Note: The 2011 Neighbourhood profiles data reported on languages was discontinued in 2016; therefore, cannot be compared to 2016 data.