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1.1 Master Plan Overview

The City of London offers high quality parks, recreation programs, sport services, and facilities that engage residents and visitors of all ages and abilities. Parks, recreation, and sport play a significant role in community building through the facilitation of active and passive activities, opportunities for structured and spontaneous play, strengthening of neighbourhood connections, and more.

Planning ahead is critical. As London grows and changes, the interests of residents also change. With 2009 being the last detailed review of the Parks and Recreation Master Plan (an interim update was prepared in 2017), London developed this updated plan to set a course for the future.

The 2019 Parks and Recreation Master Plan provides an overall vision, direction, and guidance for making decisions about parks, recreation programs, sport services, and facilities. It is informed by public input and is aligned to strategies and best practices, trends, demographic shifts, and growth forecasts. The Master Plan has a timeframe of ten years (2019 to 2028), although it is informed by a longer-term outlook for major capital projects (to 2039).

1.2 Planning is Vital to our Success

With a population of 409,000, increasing to 489,700 by 2039, London is a growing city with a strong parks, recreation, and sport system(1). New demands are continually placed on this system and there is a need to evaluate municipal investment opportunities and set priorities for the future. Investing in the right services, at the right times and in the right places benefits everyone. This plan represents a unique opportunity for the City and its partners to direct their focus to priority areas that will make a powerful impact in the lives of London’s diverse residents and their neighbourhoods.

A Master Plan helps to identify broad needs and strategies based on best practices, public input, and local demand factors. Evidence-based decisions are crucial to making the best use of public funds and this Plan seeks to identify the most pressing objectives and opportunities for achieving them. In doing so, the Plan prepares the City in its search for external funding, partnerships, and alignment with related initiatives.

Using this Master Plan

At a high-level, the Master Plan will guide the City’s planning and future budgeting, including alignment with the City’s 2018 Development Charges Background Study. It will also be relevant for the day-to-day operations of several City of London Service Areas, including: Parks and Recreation; Neighbourhood, Children, and Fire Services; Planning Services; and, Finance and Corporate Services.

(1) City of London, Finance Department, 2018. Forecasted population includes a Census undercount of 2.7%.
1.3 Parks, Recreation, and Sport Help us Achieve More

Parks, recreation, and sports services provide places for people of all ages and abilities to be active and learn new skills, connect with one another, share their interests, exchange ideas, and experience diversity. This helps to build a sense of belonging and form the heart of a community.

Parks, recreation, and sport also contribute to larger outcomes in the city, such as engaging children in active play, decreasing childhood obesity, poverty reduction, improved mental health, city building and the creation of healthy and safe neighbourhoods, economic health, sport tourism initiatives, connecting with and developing an appreciation of nature, cultural prosperity, and more.

This Plan aims to improve the quality of life for all Londoners through the provision of parks, recreation programs, sport services, and facilities that are welcoming and accessible for all. The Plan addresses barriers to access and aligns parks, recreation programs, sport services, and facilities with the evolving interests and requirements of Londoners.

1.4 How this Plan will Make a Difference

The audience for this Master Plan is broad. For the City, it will be an essential tool to inform planning and decision-making on areas of investment, partnerships, funding, park and facility design, programming, service delivery, and policy development. The Plan is also intended to serve as a resource for other facility and service providers, potential partners, facility funders, and others interested and/or involved in the delivery of City parks, recreation, and sport services.

The Plan outlines leading practices in parks, recreation, and sport. More importantly, it contains a series of recommendations, some of which refer to discrete projects or actions and others that provide ongoing and incremental guidance. Full implementation of the Plan will require ongoing community engagement, flexibility in approach, partnerships, and funding from a variety of sources.
1.5 The Plan is Built on Extensive Consultation and Research

The Master Plan is a strategy that guides the provision and management of parks, recreation programs, sport services, and facilities. It is informed by public input, strategies, and best practices, trends, demographic shifts and growth forecasts. It is also influenced by several overarching plans and technical studies. The key findings and implications from these inputs are summarized in Section 2.

The areas that are directly guided by this plan include:

a. **Programs**, such as aquatic, sport, fitness, wellness, arts/crafts, dance/music, leadership, and general interest programs provided by the City and other providers.

b. **Services**, such as operations and maintenance, public engagement, sport tourism, financial assistance programs, implementing policies, and more.

c. **Recreation and Sport Facilities**, such as community centres, arenas, pools, sports fields, playgrounds, and more.

d. **Parks and Civic Spaces**, such as major parks, connected green spaces, neighbourhood parks, gardens, and civic squares.

The scope of parks, recreation, and sport is quite broad and many aspects of the Plan touch on topics that are related to other initiatives, plans, and strategic documents. Aligning this Master Plan with related initiatives is critical to implementing Council’s Strategic Plan, maximizing resources, and achieving common outcomes.

Some amenities were not included in the scope of this Master Plan as they are addressed in other strategic documents, such as:

a. **Parkland Dedication**, which is guided by the London Plan and Parkland Conveyance and Levy By-law.

b. **Cycling and Bike Lanes**, which are addressed in the Cycling Master Plan and London Plan.

c. **Environmentally Significant Areas**, which are addressed through the London Plan policies and technical recommendations within Conservation Master Plans.

d. **Arts, Culture, and Heritage**, which is guided by the City’s Cultural Prosperity Plan, Music, Entertainment and Culture District Study, and related reports. The “culture portfolio” was recently transferred to the City’s Parks and Recreation Service Area.

Alignment with these and other related strategic documents is a key focus of this Parks and Recreation Master Plan.

### Developing the Master Plan

1. **Research and Consultation**: Public input from this phase is documented in the “What we’ve Heard so Far” Report

2. **Development of Recommendations and Strategies**: This phase included the preparation of the draft Master Plan

3. **Testing the Master Plan and Finalization**: The draft Plan was shared with the public and stakeholders prior to finalization

A glossary of terms is available at www.london.ca/residents/recreation
Section 2: MASTER PLAN BUILDING BLOCKS

This section provides an overview of the City of London and its recent accomplishments as guided by past Master Plans. Summaries of key Master Plan building blocks are also provided, including demographics and growth, market trends, background studies, and community input. The City’s broader strategic framework, service area strategies, and relevant Provincial/National planning initiatives are also summarized to promote alignment.

2.1 What makes London Unique

The City of London is located in the heart of Southwestern Ontario and exists as a separated city within Middlesex County. With a population of over 409,000, London is the 11th largest municipality in Canada. The city is home to Western University, Fanshawe College, and teaching hospitals, making it a regional centre for health services and education.

With a rich history and diversified economy, the city offers a vibrant lifestyle for people of all ages. London offers a multitude of leisure options for residents and visitors alike, including active living, unstructured activities, sports, nature appreciation, and opportunities for civic engagement and social connectivity such as festivals and events. The City’s programs offer affordable and accessible opportunities to try new activities, build skills and physical literacy, and connect with others. In 2018, the City had nearly 72,500 registered program participants and over 2.5 million visits to its community centres.

London is known as the “Forest City” and is centred at the forks of the Thames River, a Canadian Heritage River. The city is home to hundreds of parks to meet the interests of all residents, including accessible playgrounds, sports parks, community gardens, woodlands, and the Thames Valley Parkway pathway system. A broad range of facilities are also available, such as arenas, community centres, pools, golf courses, community sites, and specialty parks and attractions (including Springbank Park and Storybook Gardens).

London is home to several semi-professional sports teams (including one of the oldest professional soccer franchises in North America – London City Soccer Club) and Labatt Memorial Park (the world’s oldest baseball ground). In the past, the city has hosted several pre-eminent events, such as the Ontario and Canada Summer Games, the World Figure Skating Championships, and the Juno Awards. Every year, London attracts world-class tournaments,
including the London Blizzard Invitational (the world’s largest and longest-running sledge hockey tournament) and the London Devilettes Hockey Tournament (the world’s largest girls hockey tournament).

The City is committed to enhancing social equity through leading-edge programs and services that encourage broad participation and access for all residents. For example, a recent focus has been placed on providing service to under-represented populations, guided by initiatives such as the Child and Youth Agenda, Age Friendly London Action Plan, Community Diversity and Inclusion Strategy, and Strengthening Neighbourhoods Strategy. These initiatives have led to, or lent support to, several innovative practices and programs including (but not limited to):

• Play Your Way fund, a subsidy program offering over $1 million annually in financial support to assist over 12,500 Londoners to participate in City programs and admissions to pools and Storybook Gardens;
• Free playground programs generate over 18,400 visits per summer at 39 sites;
• Neighbourhood Decision-Making (resident-driven projects) and the “NeighbourGood London” program (event and program assistance, including information sharing and equipment lending);
• Family Centres, a network of neighbourhood-based locations offering programs and services that support children, youth, and families; working with organizations such as the Huff N’ Puff Senior Fitness Association, one of the largest such associations in Canada.

• Act-i-Pass and Healthy Eating/Healthy Physical Activity initiatives aimed at increasing healthy eating and healthy physical activity;
• Healthy Homes Program, a sport programs for homeless populations;
• The “Camps on TRACKS” training module for camp staff to better support campers with special needs (a best practice being adopted by many municipalities across Ontario);
• Community donations – many from local sports organizations – and volunteer efforts (for every $1 of City investment, $8 - $10 was leveraged in cash, in-kind, and volunteer time donations to community projects on public property through initiatives such as Adopt-A-Park, and more); and,
• London was designated as Canada’s first Age Friendly community - the City continues to engage a very active seniors’ community by working with organizations such as the Huff N’ Puff Senior Fitness Association, one of the largest such associations in Canada.

The City has also proven itself as a leader in creating accessible spaces and inclusive programs. For example, London was one of the first municipalities to create Facility Accessibility Design Standards; these technical standards have been adopted (or adapted) by more than 50 jurisdictions across Canada and the United States. The City also developed North America’s first accessible golf course (Parkside 9).
Working Together

The City recognizes that community partnerships are vital to achieving a better London. The City frequently works with organizations such as the London Public Library, YMCA of Southwestern Ontario, schools, non-profit organizations, and the private sector through co-located facilities and service agreements. For example, the City established a joint venture management agreement with the Western Fair Association to develop and operate the Western Fair Sports Centre, which is a key component of the local arena supply and serves as a regional tournament centre. In addition, Western University and Fanshawe College provide a wide array of recreational resources for student and community use (the latter offers the largest college recreation program in Ontario).

The City of London is also proud of its commitment to innovative designs and environmental sustainability. Key initiatives include (but are not limited to):

• Many of London’s newer municipal facilities are green municipal building projects;

• The City and two community partners established the Million Tree Challenge to inspire Londoners to plant one million trees within the “Forest City”, placing London amongst much larger global cities that are participating in this initiative; the City’s Tree Planting Strategy outlines a long-term plan to increase London’s tree canopy from 24% to 34%;

• London has the lowest park maintenance operating cost per capita amongst its municipal benchmark comparators, reflecting a concerted effort to appropriately manage resources;

• Adopt-a-Park, Adopt-a-Pond, and Adopt-a-Street programs that empower community groups and businesses to be active stewards;

• London is the first municipality in the Province to have a city-wide invasive plant species management plan with a priority on addressing phragmites;

• A growing focus is being placed on urban food and agriculture, guided by the Urban Agriculture Strategy and the London Plan;

• The City is a leader in habitat protection, enhancement, and creation for pollinator species; and,

• The City is unique in that it has a program for the acquisition and management of woodlots.
2.2 Delivery of Parks, Recreation, and Sport Services

Parks, recreation, and sport are essential public services. The delivery system in London is comprised of providers representing the municipal, volunteer and non-profit, education, and commercial sectors.

The City of London (municipal sector) is the only provider with a mandate to oversee the needs of the entire community and is thus the primary provider of community services and facilities. Funding is predominantly derived from a mixture of growth-related charges, user fees, and taxation. The City’s primary focus is on facilitating activities and experiences that enhance community wellbeing along with an emphasis on affordable opportunities for inclusive parks, recreation, and sport activities.

To facilitate this, the City provides an extensive supply of parks, open spaces, trails and pathways, recreation facilities, and community spaces, all of which are designed, managed, maintained, and programmed by a multi-disciplinary staff team with unique skills and responsibilities.

A focus is placed on accessible, quality spaces for community recreation programs, activities, and neighbourhood gatherings. The City matches the needs and wants of residents and organizations with the availability of resources in a fair and equitable manner. Community and neighbourhood development, along with services for children, youth, and older adults are also growing areas of the City’s delivery system.

The City works collaboratively with others to ensure that gaps are identified and filled. Agreements and partnerships with other sectors help to enhance access to quality services for London residents.

Several municipal service areas and divisions were engaged in the development of this Master Plan, including those listed below that will be directly influenced by the plan and its directions.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Area</th>
<th>Division affected by Master Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parks and Recreation</td>
<td>Aquatics, Arenas and Attractions; Culture, Special Events, and Sports Services; Business Solutions and Customer Service; Parks Planning and Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbourhood, Children, and Fire Services</td>
<td>Service London; Neighbourhood Operations; Neighbourhood Strategic Initiatives and Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Services</td>
<td>Long Range Planning and Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Engineering Services</td>
<td>Urban Forestry Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance and Corporate Services</td>
<td>Corporate Asset Management; Development Finance; Facilities Design, Construction and Maintenance; Financial Business Support; Financial Planning and Policy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3 Building on the 2009 Master Plan – Key Accomplishments

The 2009 Parks and Recreation Strategic Master Plan has served as a guiding document for parks, recreation programs, sport services, and facilities over the past ten years, until an Interim Update was prepared in 2017 with the goal of identifying short-term priorities prior to a comprehensive update in 2019. The 2009 Plan focused on the ongoing management and development of London’s parks, recreation, and sport system to make sure that the needs of residents were met and to position the City to respond to growth. Many of the best practices identified in the Plan remain pertinent today.

A Strong Commitment to Implementation

The 2009 Plan identified 182 recommendations that addressed indoor and outdoor recreation and community facilities, parks planning and design, sports services, community programs, special events, Storybook Gardens, and more. To date, 97% of the recommendations put forward in the 2009 Strategic Master Plan have been completed or are underway. This illustrates the City’s commitment to continuous improvement, as well as the need to chart a new course for the next ten years.

This Master Plan builds upon the successes of the 2009 Parks and Recreation Strategic Master Plan and 2017 Interim Update. The following are notable achievements and initiatives accomplished by the City of London (often in partnership with the community) over the past ten years.

The City of London has also made several improvements to the way it delivers services, often in partnership with other service providers. Recent accomplishments include (but are not limited to):

- Increased programming in neighbourhoods, including the introduction of more no- or low-cost programs and drop-in activities;
- Established 7 Senior Satellites;
- Supported the development and implementation of the London’s Strengthening Neighbourhoods Strategy (2017-20) with a focus on neighbourhood-driven activities and decision making;
- Introduced staff training programs on inclusion, diversity, and accessibility;
- Initiated changes to the way in which facilities are allocated and scheduled, with a view toward maximizing capacity;
- Collaborated with various cultural groups to enhance services to newcomer groups and produce new program opportunities, such as badminton, indoor cricket, ageless grace, fitness classes delivered in Spanish, etc.;
- Supported the development and implementation of an Age Friendly London Action Plan (2017-20) and Network and established 7 Family Centres across the City;
- Provided support for London’s Child and Youth Agenda (2017-21) and Network;
- Spearheaded new communications vehicles, including social media sites, Play Your Way online newsletter, etc.;
- Updated pricing and allocation policies that have maximized facility and sport field usage;
- Prepared the London Plan (London’s Official Plan), which includes a new parkland policy framework and classifications (currently under appeal), the City has also initiated work on a new Parkland Conveyance and Levy By-law;
- Initiated business improvements to golf courses and Storybook Gardens; and,
- Completed a master plan for a portion of the Thames River (One River Environmental Assessment).

In relation to infrastructure, the City has recently:

- Developed many new parks facilities, including (but not limited to) soccer and multi-use fields (including two artificial turf), ball diamonds, fieldhouses, a cricket pitch, spray pads, sport courts, skateboard parks, off-leash dog parks, and more;
- Completed one of the three main Thames Valley Parkway gaps linking Kiwanis Park and the neighbourhoods around it to the rest of the city;
- Invested in repairs to facilities at the end of their lifecycles, including (but not limited to): community centres (Byron Optimist, Boyle Memorial, Carling Heights Optimist,
• (cont’d) Medway, and South London); pools (Canada Games Aquatic Centre and outdoor pools such as Westminster, Southcrest, and Byron); arenas (Argyle, Oakridge, Stronach, Medway, and Nichols); and, dozens of playgrounds;

• Developed several new community centres, including:
  • Springbank Gardens Community Centre (2010);
  • Stoney Creek Community Centre, YMCA and Library (2011);
  • Bostwick Community Centre, YMCA and Library (2018); and,
  • East Community Centre (2019).

• Supported the development of the BMO Centre (indoor sports facility) by London Optimist Sports Centre (opened in 2011 and expanded in 2017);

• Completed major upgrades to approximately twelve (12) parks and collaborated with local organizations to improve sports fields, including adding lights, and new fencing;

• Assumed over ninety (90) new parks (the majority of which are open space and woodland parks) and added more than 260 hectares of new land to the inventory;

• Adopted new accessibility standards for facilities and programs including building 7 accessible community gardens;

• Constructed over forty (40) kilometres of recreational pathways to the network – one of the best systems in Canada; and,

• The private sector has developed several new commercial recreation venues and activities (indoor sports, adult leagues, entertainment complexes, etc.), although one prominent baseball facility has recently closed to accommodate residential development.

Building on these accomplishments, an updated strategy is needed to reflect current conditions and ensure alignment with contemporary priorities and strategies.
2.4 London’s Socio-Demographic Profile and Growth Outlook

Understanding who lives in the city and how the population is expected to change in the future is vital to parks, recreation, and sport planning. This section draws from Census data, City of London population projections, and secondary research sources.

Population and Geographic Distribution

The estimated 2019 population for the City of London is 409,000. By 2039, London is projected to add another 80,700 residents, reaching a population of 489,700. This represents an increase of 20%, continuing the city’s past pattern of strong growth and suggesting that the parks, recreation, and sport needs of residents will also increase over time.

Figure 1: Historical and Forecasted Population Growth

Note: Forecasted population includes a Census undercount of 2.7%.
The Master Plan recognizes that the City’s land use policies will increasingly emphasize growth within the Primary Transit Area, as directed by the London Plan (the City’s new Official Plan, which is partially under appeal at this time). To enable the City to meet its intensification targets, infill growth will become more prominent and structured along a series of nodes and corridors within the Primary Transit Area. Due to the strength of residential growth in greenfield areas, the proportion of the city’s population living within the Primary Transit Area will decrease from 53% in 2019 to 48% in 2039. Nevertheless, the population within the Primary Transit Area is projected to grow by 8%, with limited opportunities for new parks and open spaces.

Table 2: Population Distribution by Primary Transit Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2029</th>
<th>2034</th>
<th>2039</th>
<th>Growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary Transit Area</td>
<td>216,200</td>
<td>221,400</td>
<td>226,600</td>
<td>229,800</td>
<td>232,900</td>
<td>16,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Areas</td>
<td>192,800</td>
<td>213,700</td>
<td>231,500</td>
<td>245,300</td>
<td>256,800</td>
<td>64,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>409,000</td>
<td>435,100</td>
<td>458,100</td>
<td>475,100</td>
<td>489,700</td>
<td>80,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: City of London, Finance Department. Forecasted population includes a Census undercount of 2.7%. Rounded to the nearest 100.
Figure 2 illustrates the distribution of population growth during the 2019-2039 planning period. Growth is expected to occur throughout London, with continued greenfield/suburban growth being more common in the short-term due to previous planning approvals. Over time, residential development will transition towards higher-density growth within the Primary Transit Area. Generally speaking, facility and service gaps will be most prominent in areas with increasing population density (e.g., downtown and primary transit area) and developing areas of London (e.g., outer edges). In these areas, existing amenities and programs may face increased pressure and use until such time as new parks, facilities, or partnerships can be developed.

Building on the approach used in previous master plans, the city has been divided into five geographic “Plan Areas” to enable research and comparisons at the district-level. These plan areas are consistent with those used by the City in its recent Neighbourhood-Decision Making initiative that essentially divide the city into quadrants with a central core. The plan areas take into consideration population distribution and resident-identified neighbourhoods.

Figure 3 and Table 3 illustrate the Plan Area boundaries.
# Table 3: General Boundaries of Plan Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Area</th>
<th>Northern Boundary</th>
<th>Eastern Boundary</th>
<th>Southern Boundary</th>
<th>Western Boundary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>Northern City Limits</td>
<td>Richmond Street, Thames River</td>
<td>Oxford Street West, Thames River</td>
<td>Western City Limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>Northern City Limits</td>
<td>Eastern City Limits</td>
<td>CP Railway, Oxford Street East</td>
<td>Richmond Street, Thames River, Adelaide Street North</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Oxford Street West, Thames River, CP Railway</td>
<td>Highbury Avenue North</td>
<td>Commissioners Road West</td>
<td>Thames River, Wharncliffe Road S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>Oxford Street East, Commissioners Road East</td>
<td>Eastern City Limits</td>
<td>Southern City Limits</td>
<td>Wellington Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>Thames River, Commissioners Road West</td>
<td>Wellington Road</td>
<td>Southern City Limits</td>
<td>Western City Limits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Table 4: Population Distribution by Plan Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Area</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2029</th>
<th>2034</th>
<th>2039</th>
<th>Growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>86,900</td>
<td>94,100</td>
<td>98,000</td>
<td>99,400</td>
<td>100,500</td>
<td>13,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>77,700</td>
<td>82,300</td>
<td>87,800</td>
<td>92,300</td>
<td>94,500</td>
<td>16,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>72,400</td>
<td>75,400</td>
<td>77,800</td>
<td>80,200</td>
<td>81,900</td>
<td>9,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>70,900</td>
<td>74,900</td>
<td>78,600</td>
<td>80,600</td>
<td>81,900</td>
<td>10,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>101,100</td>
<td>108,400</td>
<td>115,800</td>
<td>122,600</td>
<td>130,900</td>
<td>29,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>409,000</td>
<td>435,100</td>
<td>458,100</td>
<td>475,100</td>
<td>489,700</td>
<td>80,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: City of London, Finance Department. Forecasted population includes a Census undercount of 2.7%. Rounded to the nearest 100.

Each plan area has a population that currently ranges between approximately 70,000 and 100,000 residents. Over the planning period, each plan area is projected to experience some degree of population growth. The majority of growth is expected to occur in Southwest London (30%) and Northeast London (22%) given the large supply of undeveloped residential greenfield space. In terms of population size, Southwest London will continue to be the largest area by 2039 (130,900 residents) followed by the Northwest London (100,500 residents).
Preparing for London’s Changing Urban Form

The City needs to plan for appropriate parks, recreation programs, sport services, and facilities within all areas of London. A continued shift towards medium- and high-density residential development will place stress on many of London’s existing parks, recreation programs, sport services, and facilities. This will require a greater emphasis on partnerships and innovation in the design and delivery of parks, recreation, and sport facilities, such as applying progressive design standards and seeking new ways to provide services. As higher densities can result in a loss of personal space and growing feelings of isolation, opportunities for publicly-accessible spaces, neighbourhood hubs, and engaging activities should be sought.

Population by Age

London’s population is aging as the leading edge of the baby boom generation is now just over 70 years old. The 2016 Census reported an average age of 40.5 years for London residents and there are now more residents age 60 and over than residents age 19 and younger.

London’s age cohort forecasts suggest continued changes in age composition over the next twenty-plus years. Between 2021 and 2041, London’s senior population (age 70+) is forecast to experience the most growth (increasing by 67%), followed by adults (age 35 to 54 years, which generally represent the baby boom echo). Modest growth is forecast for the child and youth populations, which have traditionally been the primary users of parks, recreation, and sport services. Moving forward, demand can be anticipated for amenities and programs serving all ages, including a range of active pursuits (e.g., playgrounds, swimming, sports, pickleball, etc.), and social and passive activities (e.g., community gardens, trails and pathways, comfort amenities, etc.).
Table 5: Age Cohort Forecast, 2021 to 2041

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Groups</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2041</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-School and Children (0 – 9 yrs)</td>
<td>44,300</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth (10 – 19 yrs)</td>
<td>48,000</td>
<td>54,600</td>
<td>6,600</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young Adults (20 – 34 yrs)</td>
<td>91,400</td>
<td>97,300</td>
<td>5,800</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults (35 – 54 yrs)</td>
<td>103,700</td>
<td>132,700</td>
<td>29,000</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older Adults (55 – 69 yrs)</td>
<td>77,900</td>
<td>76,300</td>
<td>-1,600</td>
<td>-2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seniors (70+ yrs)</td>
<td>53,500</td>
<td>89,500</td>
<td>36,000</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>418,800</td>
<td>495,200</td>
<td>76,400</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


An analysis of the distribution of London’s age cohorts by Plan area (2016 Census) indicates that:

- The highest proportions of **pre-school and school-age children (ages 0 – 9 years)** are located in London’s outer neighbourhoods, including the city’s newest residential communities;
- The largest concentrations of **youth (ages 10 – 19 years)** can be found in established neighbourhoods within Northwest, Southwest, and Southeast London;
- Proportionally, many **young adults (ages 20 – 34 years)** reside in Central London, as well as in portions of Northwest and Northeast London, which is likely influenced by post-secondary and rental housing opportunities;
- The distribution of **adults (ages 35 – 54 years) and older adults (ages 55 – 69 years)** is relatively balanced across all Plan Areas; and,
- High concentrations of **seniors (ages 70+)** can be found in pockets within each Plan Area, partially influenced by the location of seniors’ group housing developments.
Other Socio-Demographic Considerations

Socio-demographic factors such as income and diversity are also known to influence interest and participation in parks, recreation, and sport opportunities. Described below, these trends suggest an increasing need for accessible, affordable, and age friendly spaces and services.

Nearly one-fifth (19%) of London residents reside in low-income households, higher than the Provincial average (14%). Populations that are most vulnerable include: children and youth; older adults; lone parent families; newcomers; ethno-cultural and ethno-racial groups; persons with disabilities; Indigenous peoples; Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Trans, Queer, Two-Spirit, plus (LGBTQ2S+); and, women. To encourage broad participation, the City and its partners have made substantial efforts to offer low- and no-cost programming within neighbourhoods and to provide financial assistance through programs such as the Play Your Way fund. These efforts demonstrate a commitment to promoting access for all.

Over one-fifth (22%) of London’s population are immigrants, lower than the Provincial average (29%). One-quarter (26%) of this population arrived in Canada between 2006 and 2016. One-tenth (10%) of London’s residents speak a non-official language at home – the most common being Arabic, Chinese (Cantonese and Mandarin), Spanish, and Polish. London’s Newcomer Strategy highlights the importance of attracting immigrants and newcomers to sustain overall growth within the city.

As immigration rates continue to rise, there will be greater demand for activities, and services that appeal to the diversity in the population. Some notable examples include cricket, badminton, and picnicking, although the range of specific requests will be much broader and may include interventions such as providing materials in multiple languages and curtains to restrict viewing during women-only swim times.
2.5 Leading Parks, Recreation, and Sport Trends

Trends in parks, recreation, and sport are constantly evolving due to socio-demographic shifts, activity preferences, evolving lifestyles, land use patterns, and new outlooks regarding personal wellbeing. As trends are not static, the City and other providers must continue to engage the community, monitor needs, and respond to changing interests over time.

At right are several “mega-trends” that are broad, system-wide directions or movements affecting society and spanning various sectors. Many of these will have widespread effects on the future of parks, recreation, and sports. Some, such as urbanization and population aging, are already starting to impact what and how facilities and services are being delivered. Others, such as climate change and physical inactivity, require a longer-term perspective but are equally important.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Value Proposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Recognizing the Benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Environmental Awareness &amp; Connecting with Nature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Changing Economic &amp; Fiscal Landscape</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic &amp; Socio-Economic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Urbanization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Changing Demographics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Immigration &amp; Diversity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Affordability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participation &amp; Interests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Sedentary Lifestyles &amp; Physical Inactivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Demand for Active Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The Changing Face of Sport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Elite Training, Competition &amp; Sport-Friendly Facilities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Park and Facility Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Multi-Use Parks &amp; Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Renewing &amp; Repurposing Aging Infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Variation in Park Usage &amp; Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Focus on Design &amp; Function</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Delivery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Partnerships &amp; Community Hubs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Supporting Volunteerism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Customer-driven Models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• New Technologies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Informed by these mega-trends, summarized below are several key findings that have influenced the development of this Master Plan. This list is not intended to be exhaustive, rather it contains specific subjects that were identified time and again through the research and consultation phase.

a) More attention is being paid to personal wellness, but physical activity levels remain low.

Low physical activity levels have been a concern in Canada for some time, which has created a renewed focus on physical literacy, healthy behaviours, and personal wellness, particularly among children. Enhancing convenience, affordability, and ease of participation for people of all ages and abilities can help reduce barriers. A variety of strategies are required to offer choice and convenience in participating in physical activity.

The City of London uses several practices and programs to address access and inclusion for all. For example, the City has expanded unstructured activities, which are lower cost, easier to fit into busy schedules, and improve physical and/or mental health. The ACT-i-Pass card also provides grade 5 students in London with free access to recreation programs during the school year. Furthermore, exposure to nature and access to outdoor play space for active play help to strengthen individuals and communities. These and similar initiatives have been identified through the Neighbourhood Decision-Making program and are a growing component of municipal investment.

b) Interest in parks, recreation, and sport interests has diversified and expectations are growing.

Generations ago, children and youth sports (mainly hockey and baseball) dominated the recreation landscape and municipal facility and service delivery followed suit. Today, new activities and markets are prevalent, challenging municipalities to keep pace. The examples are widespread - from pickleball to cricket, community gardens to disc golf, neighbourhood hubs to year-round athletic facilities, innovative play and more. The trend toward increased specialization in sport is also stretching the limits of municipal service delivery. In response, the market share held by other sectors is growing.

The City of London frequently introduces new activities and spaces within its parks, recreation, and sport system to address changing community trends. This is often done in partnership with others as the City cannot respond to the full range of needs on its own. Where possible, the City also encourages multi-use amenities, flexible spaces, and defined levels of service to maximize access and resources.

c) The population is aging and becoming more diverse.

Demographic shifts are occurring throughout North America, most notably increases in the number of older adults and newcomers, coupled with steady to declining birth rates. As covered earlier, these trends are impacting the demand for, and delivery of parks, recreation, and sport services. Generally speaking, vulnerable populations have fewer opportunities for leisure participation due to the barriers they face, such as cost, transportation, time, health, etc.

The City of London has been a leader in the development of barrier-free spaces and accessible program opportunities, which has strengthened the ability to serve residents of all ages and backgrounds. Other examples include ongoing engagement through initiatives such as Age Friendly London, Child and Youth Agenda, various advisory committees, and community outreach forums. As the population grows and evolves, a continued focus should be placed on inclusive opportunities that reflect an increasingly multicultural, diverse, and urbanized community.
d) There is growing demand for healthy, complete communities that promote social interaction.

On the whole, people are spending more time in their neighbourhoods, increasing the importance of equitable access to quality leisure opportunities. Leading this trend is increased interest in active living, social connectedness, and placemaking, all of which are influenced by an aging and increasingly diverse population.

The City of London is readying itself for urban intensification through policies and projects that support a wider range of public spaces and amenities, such as trails/pathways, seating, shade, washrooms, urban parks in dense areas (including privately owned public spaces), event spaces, neighbourhood hubs, and more. Social issues such as drug abuse and homelessness are also increasingly impacting the use of parks and community facilities, leading the City to seek new strategies in service delivery and design.

e) Aging and outdated infrastructure create financial and other challenges.

Most Canadian municipalities are faced with rising infrastructure deficits. The growing stock of aging facilities contributes to this as many were built in the late 1960s or 1970s through Centennial-era or lottery-funded grant programs. Many of these facilities contain outmoded designs and features that are not barrier-free or able to meet contemporary needs.

By comparison, the City of London has addressed infrastructure renewal through proactive planning and strategic investments in existing, replacement, and new facilities. The City continues to evaluate opportunities to optimize existing parks and facilities and orient them to community needs. Decisions regarding facility renewal and repurposing can be complex and met with substantial community interest.

f) Partnerships bring resources together to achieve more.

Municipalities play a key role in providing parks, recreation and sport facilities, programs, and services. However, many of these opportunities would not be possible without the dedication of partners such as conservation authorities, community organizations, sports groups, agencies, private entities, and more. Partnerships with external organizations to fund, develop, and/or operate services and facilities are becoming more common as the range of community needs expands.

The City of London values its many partners, which help to extend the reach and impact of programs and services. The City frequently evaluates new opportunities to collaborate with others in response to demonstrated community needs.
2.6 City of London’s Strategic Plan and Related Strategies

Parks, recreation, and sport contribute to the success of many city-wide and community-specific priorities. This Master Plan reflects the planning context and aligns with the directions of relevant City of London strategies, particularly those that share a common vision to enhance the wellbeing of individuals, communities, and the natural and built environments.

The Parks and Recreation Master Plan is a key strategic driver of strategies noted in the Strategic Plan for the City of London. The Strategic Plan identifies City Council’s vision, mission, values, and strategic areas of focus. It sets the course of City Council and Administration as they work to deliver on the goals for the next four years. Through the multi-year budget process, Council’s Strategic Plan is put into action, with details about accountability, pacing, and resourcing. To learn more about Council’s Strategic Plan, please visit www.london.ca

Among others, the Master Plan has been influenced by the following Council-endorsed strategies. These documents are broad in scope and include information regarding the city’s growth, program and service delivery, infrastructure development, policies, and other strategic directions.

- The London Plan (Official Plan)
- London Strengthening Neighbourhoods Strategy
- Age Friendly London Action Plan
- London’s Child and Youth Agenda
- London ON Bikes Cycling Master Plan
- London’s Cultural Prosperity Plan
- Thames Valley Corridor Plan
- One River Environmental Assessment and related reports
- London for All: A Roadmap to end Poverty
- Community Diversity & Inclusion Strategy
- A variety of topic-specific policies, business plans, and implementation strategies
2.7 Alignment with Provincial and National Initiatives

In the past few years, the Provincial and Federal Governments – often in association with the non-profit sector – have undertaken significant work focused on parks, recreation, and sport and the importance of participation in physical and leisure activities. These initiatives include a blend of policies, guidelines, and best practices based on accepted norms and research. In doing so, they allow municipalities and stakeholders to speak a common language and work together to achieve common goals.

Key sector-specific Provincial- and National-level initiatives that have influenced the Master Plan include:

- Parks for All (2017)
- Ontario Trails Strategy (2017)
- Game ON – The Ontario Government’s Sport Plan (2015)
- Canadian Sport for Life – various documents; e.g., Active for Life, Long-term Athlete Development, etc. (2014-2018)
- Canadian Sports Policy (2012)
- Active Canada 20/20 (2012)
- Ontario Healthy Kids Strategy (2012)
- Charter for Recreation and Parks in Ontario (2009) (4)
- CycleON – Ontario’s Cycling Strategy (2013)
- CycleON Action Plan 2.0 (2018)

One report – the Framework for Recreation in Canada 2015: Pathways to Wellbeing – has particular relevance to this Master Plan as it is the overarching guideline designed to support coordinated policies and practices in recreation and related sectors throughout Canada. The Framework for Recreation in Canada (FRC) was endorsed by the Federation of Canadian Municipalities in September 2016. Specifically, the FRC:

- Presents a renewed definition of recreation and explores the challenges and benefits of recreation today;
- Provides the rationale for investing in an evolved recreation strategy and describes the need for collaboration with other initiatives in a variety of sectors; and,
- Describes a new vision for recreation in Canada and suggests common ways of thinking about the renewal of recreation based on clear goals and underlying values and principles. The vision is “a Canada in which everyone is engaged in meaningful, accessible recreation experiences that foster: individual wellbeing; community wellbeing; and, the wellbeing of our natural and built environments."

The goals of this City of London Parks and Recreation Master Plan (see Section 3.2) are aligned with the goals of the Framework for Recreation in Canada.

Figure 6: Goals of the Framework for Recreation in Canada 2015

(4) The City of London committed to this vision by endorsing the Charter in 2010.
2.8 What We’ve Heard – Community Consultation

Engagement with residents, stakeholders, agencies, partners, advisory committees, City staff, and City officials was critical to understanding current issues and future opportunities for enhancing the parks, recreation, and sport system in London. Directed by a comprehensive community engagement strategy, the process generated awareness and understanding while ensuring that all stakeholders had an opportunity to be heard, including underrepresented populations.

Londoners are passionate and engaged when it comes to parks, recreation, and sport. The community’s response to the Master Plan process was outstanding, with over 2,500 residents providing input. The engagement program incorporated a series of structured and unstructured opportunities to identify issues and ideas, both in-person and remotely. The first consultation phase occurred during Spring and Summer 2018 and helped to identify preliminary needs and ideas.

Primary engagement tactics included:
• Community Survey (2,159 completed online and paper) advertised widely across the city;
• Online Engagement through the City’s “Get Involved” and City websites, as well as written submissions to the project email;
• Stakeholder Workshops (3 sessions with over 50 representatives) with local user groups, service clubs, neighbourhood associations, and organizations to learn more about their concerns and needs;
• Targeted Focus Groups (6 sessions with 85 representatives) to allow for deeper engagement with partners that provide services to those identified as priority, such as Indigenous populations, newcomer groups, youth, older adults, persons with disabilities, and low-income residents;
• Agency and Partner Interviews (12 sessions) with selected organizations that provide services to London residents and/or have notable relationships with the City;
• Child’s Voice Drawing Submissions (117) that identified how children like to play;
• Advisory Committee Presentations (10 committees) to gather input; and,
• Internal Engagement to solicit input from City staff and officials.

The Master Plan’s communication and engagement initiatives provided valuable insights into the current state of London’s parks, recreation, and sport system. The following findings represent opinions shared by many residents and stakeholders. They have been taken into consideration within this Master Plan, along with other building blocks such as demographics, market conditions and trends, regional considerations, financial capabilities.
The City offers a wide variety of high quality and responsive parks, facilities, and services.

1. Londoners expressed high levels of satisfaction with parks, recreation, and sport services, programs, and facilities. According to the community survey: indoor recreation facilities, active parks, passive parks, trails, and pathways all received satisfaction levels of 86% or higher.

2. The City and community partners provide access to high quality and affordable programs, parks, and facilities. Most partners and community organizations have excellent relationships with the City and want to do more.

3. Residents indicated that there are many opportunities to participate in sports and active pursuits. This is vital for physical activity and physical literacy for people of all ages, abilities, and income levels.

4. Residents indicated that there are numerous opportunities to access and connect with the natural environment (which promotes mental, physical, social, and cultural health for individuals and communities).

5. Organizations indicated that the City is progressive, responsive to community requests, and open to trying new things. One example is the City’s efforts to develop strong neighbourhoods and enhance a sense of community.

Access, equity and inclusion are key considerations for service delivery.

6. Additional low- and no-cost activities and facility rentals were requested. Affordability challenges are the greatest for under-represented and marginalized groups, such as the homeless, low-income populations, newcomers to Canada, Indigenous populations, and persons with disabilities.

7. The City’s Play Your Way financial assistance program is well used and needed. However, some groups found that it was difficult to navigate the system and felt that some people are falling through the cracks.

8. Participants felt that it is vital that the City continue to offer accessible and inclusive spaces, amenities, and services. A wide variety of requests were received in this regard, both general and specific, including the establishment of a public inventory of accessible spaces and services.

9. Program locations that meet accessibility design standards, provide accessible equipment, and are accessible by public transit and active transportation were identified as key considerations.

We can achieve more by working together.

10. Greater communication and awareness were requested by many. A multi-faceted strategy is required as different groups rely on different forms of communication.

11. Many community organizations expressed interest in working with the City to fill gaps in programs and services. Regular and consistent communication with a wide range of service providers is critical.

London’s population and urban form are changing and parks, facilities, and services must keep pace.

12. London’s population is aging and becoming more diverse. This is leading to new demands, including more community events, unstructured activities, and sports (pickleball, cricket, etc.).

13. With growing diversity (ethnicity, income, abilities, etc.) comes the need for strategies to promote inclusion, safety, and welcoming environments. Examples include training, messaging, communication.

14. There is a need to acknowledge the traditional territories of Indigenous populations and build strong relationships to accommodate their unique needs within the parks, recreation, and sport system.

15. Continued education was requested around park use/rules, City initiatives, benefits of physical activity, etc. Public involvement helps to elevate sense of community and pride.
Parks and open spaces provide tremendous benefits and should be a focus of future investment.

16. Comfort amenities in parks – such as shade, seating, and washrooms – were a common request. These amenities help to support greater use by people of all ages and respond to the trend in unstructured park use. A significant number of the Neighbourhood Decision Making Program idea submissions focused on these park support amenities.

17. The variety of park types and designs is changing. For example, suggestions were received to reimagine Neighbourhood Parks with age friendly and accessible amenities and a variety and higher standard of play equipment (including challenging play).

18. There are growing concerns over safety within the city’s parks and trails system. Common requests included the separation of pedestrians and cyclists along the Thames Valley Parkway, addressing the issue of needles, litter, and vandalism within parks, and responding to concerns over urban wildlife management.

19. Many participants suggested that a greater emphasis be placed on healthy food and urban agriculture, such as the expansion of community gardens and promotion of naturally growing foods.

20. More can be done to promote the environmental and social benefits of preserving, managing, and enjoying natural areas. The Parks and Recreation Master Plan is not the place to include overarching policy relating to the Environmentally Significant Areas. Clarity in terminology and alignment with existing policy will be important.

Population growth and evolving interests are impacting demand for recreation and community services and facilities.

21. Strong support was expressed for multi-use parks and facilities, specifically for a

21. future community centre development in Northwest London. Additional large gymnasiums that can accommodate a variety of sports (including pickleball) were requested.

22. Infrastructure renewal is a growing concern in London. Specifically, there is uncertainty over the future of older arenas (e.g., Silverwood Arena, Farquharson Arena, etc.), though demand was expressed for accommodating other community-building and sport opportunities within repurposed facilities.

23. Several groups articulated a desire for new or improved sports fields, such as additional ball diamonds (with consideration to geographic distribution), cricket fields, multi-use turf fields, and fieldhouse amenities at sports parks.

24. Many requests were received for more spray pads throughout the city. Some concern was expressed over the phasing out of wading pools.

25. While there is growing interest in new park amenities, many – such as BMX/skateboard parks, off-leash parks, outdoor sport courts, lighting, etc. – can be met with community opposition due to site selection challenges.

Interest in sport is growing and additional support was requested.

26. Several organizations expressed interest in new and improved facilities to meet year-round sport training and competition needs. One example was an expansion to the Canada Games Aquatic Centre.

27. There were suggestions for the City to support athlete development to a greater degree than it has in the past. Examples include working with community partners to provide indoor and outdoor track and field venues, as well as a curling facility.

28. To better support sport tourism, it was suggested that the City work with organizations to design new parks and facilities that are “competition-ready”.

21. Strong support was expressed for multi-use parks and facilities, specifically for a
Section 3: STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK

This section identifies the strategic framework that will guide the Master Plan’s implementation. In creating this framework, the principles, and overarching strategies established in the 2009 Master Plan and 2017 Interim Update have been revisited through the lens of updated consultation and research.

3.1 The Case for Investing in Parks, Recreation, and Sport

There is ample evidence that points to the tremendous benefits associated with parks and open space and participation in recreation and sport. In short, parks, recreation, and sport opportunities enrich quality of life and strengthen personal and community wellbeing. Everyone in London benefits from parks, recreation, and sport.

The Cost of Physical Inactivity

Similar to Provincial and National averages, 42% of adults (18 years and over) and 35% of youth (12 to 17 years old) in the London Census Metropolitan Area do not achieve the Canadian Physical Activity Guidelines.

The 2018 ParticipACTION Report Card of Physical Activity for Children and Youth in Canada gives the following grades:
- overall physical activity (D+)
- active play and leisure activities (D)
- organized sport participation (B)

As of 2009, physical inactivity cost Canadian taxpayers $6.8 billion a year, representing nearly 4% of total health care costs.

The Strategic Plan for the City of London identifies five strategic areas of focus. The benefits of parks, recreation, and sport – as identified through a review of relevant research sources – are identified below according to these focus areas.
Strengthening our Community
Parks, recreation, and sport:
• improves physical and mental health by keeping people active, healthy and engaged
• addresses sedentary behaviours and contributes to disease prevention and obesity reduction
• builds confidence, learning, and cognitive skills by engaging children in active play
• removes barriers to access recreation, sport, leisure and leadership for hard to reach populations and delivers accessible opportunities for persons with disabilities
• provides families and neighbourhoods with safe and welcoming places to interact together
• supports athletes in their quest to be the best they can be
• offers social respite from everyday pressures
• contributes to skill development, lifelong learning, and the training of future leaders
• creates a sense of belonging and enhances understanding and respect for different cultures

Building a Sustainable City
Parks, recreation, and sport:
• plays a key role in maintaining healthy, strong, and vibrant communities
• enhances social connections and vibrancy within neighbourhoods
• presents the community with affordable and inclusive spaces for gathering and celebrating
• generates community pride by inspiring residents to invest their time and energy in their city
• offers active transportation choices that are safe, convenient, and enjoyable for pedestrians and cyclists
• deepens our appreciation of and connection with the natural environment
• contributes to ecological health and climate change mitigation through the thoughtful management of open space and natural features

Growing our Economy
Parks, recreation, and sport:
• increases property values through the availability of nearby amenities
• supports tourism and generates economic benefits
• creates a competitive advantage for the city in terms of attraction and retention

Creating a Safe London for Women and Girls
Parks, recreation, and sport:
• Provides opportunities for women and girls to participate safely in parks, recreation, and sport
• Contributes to outcomes of providing safe spaces and places for women and girls

Leading in Public Service
Parks, recreation, and sport:
• contributes to larger outcomes in the city, such as economic vitality, public health, poverty reduction, and environmental resiliency
• creates new and/or enhanced opportunities for residents and neighbourhood groups to participate and engage in identifying program and service needs and to build capacity
3.2 A Vision for Parks, Recreation, and Sport in London

Guiding principles, each with a series of directions, were prepared for the 2009 Parks and Recreation Strategic Master Plan. Since that time, several key reports and policies have been developed that offer additional direction to the planning, design, and delivery of parks, recreation, and sport services. This includes (but is not limited to) Council’s Strategic Plan, London Plan, and “A Framework for Recreation in Canada”. As a result, this framework has been revisited.

The strategic framework for this Master Plan contains an overall **vision**, which is supported by **goals** and **strategic directions**. This framework is an important tool that fundamentally expresses how the City will approach decision-making and investment over the next ten years and beyond. Collectively, it articulates the vision and direction to which the City and community aspire, strengthens the City’s ongoing commitment, and directs future investment. Everything the City does should further the vision and one or more of the goals.

The Master Plan also contains detailed **recommendations** that will assist the City and the community to achieve the vision. The recommendations build upon the strong core of services currently provided by the City and others. Strategic directions and recommendations can be found in Sections 4 to 8, with additional recommendations relative to implementation and budgeting in Section 9.

**Figure 7: Strategic Framework for the Parks and Recreation Master Plan**

**Vision** (adapted from the London Plan and Framework for Recreation in Canada)

In London, all residents – regardless of age, ability, culture, gender, income, or where they live – have the opportunity to participate and share in meaningful and accessible parks, recreation, and sport experiences.

A glossary of terms is available at www.london.ca/residents/recreation
Table 6: Parks and Recreation Master Plan Goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 1: ACTIVE LIVING</th>
<th>We will support and promote opportunities for active living. This will be achieved through unstructured and structured experiences that encourage regular physical activity and healthy aging.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal 2: INCLUSION AND ACCESS</td>
<td>We will remove barriers to participation by adopting a model of “access for all”. This will be achieved by welcoming and including all residents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 3: CONNECTING PEOPLE AND NATURE</td>
<td>We will strengthen residents’ connections with their neighbourhoods and nature. This will be achieved through public awareness, neighbourhood-driven activities and decision-making, and opportunities to animate and enjoy London’s outdoor spaces and places.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 4: SUPPORTIVE ENVIRONMENTS</td>
<td>We will invest strategically in parks, recreation and sport infrastructure to support the Master Plan goals. This will be achieved by responding to demonstrated community needs through the thoughtful design, provision, and management of parks, facilities, and spaces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 5: RECREATION CAPACITY</td>
<td>We will deliver exceptional parks, recreation, and sport services. This will be achieved through the use of effective and responsive practices, partnerships, innovation, leadership, and accountability at all levels.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The goals of this Parks and Recreation Master Plan are aligned with the Framework for Recreation in Canada (2015).
Section 4: ACTIVE LIVING

This section contains analysis and recommendations relating to programs and activities.

Goal 1: ACTIVE LIVING

We will support and promote opportunities for active living. This will be achieved through unstructured and structured experiences that encourage regular physical activity and healthy aging.

Strategic Directions:

a. Foster active living through structured and unstructured activities that improve physical, mental, and social wellbeing.

b. Make parks and facilities walkable and accessible by residents through active transportation and connections to public transit.

c. Support programming that encourages introductory skill development, interaction, and community building.

The City of London strives to provide parks, recreation, and sport programs that introduce residents to new activities, encourage physical activity, and strengthen physical literacy. By facilitating access to high quality programs and spaces, the City of London can make sure that residents have access to the services they need to make healthy choices that will enhance individual and community wellbeing. The review of City programs and services revealed a high level of satisfaction with the quality and variety of these opportunities.

Sharpening our Focus

The following primary areas require continued focus for the duration of the Parks and Recreation Master Plan:

a. Increasing the frequency, duration, and intensity of physical activity for the general population; and,

b. Increasing participation and offering a broad range of programs and services to all residents.

Making the case for an aligned and collective approach to maximizing the intensity, duration, and frequency of physical activity through introductory programming will serve residents well. Making physical activity the easy choice should be the continued focus of the City and all related stakeholders.
Physical Activity

There is an increasing body of knowledge that supports the personal and societal benefits of participation in active endeavours including all forms of physical activity. It is well known that combining regular physical activity with proper dietary habits helps to form healthy lifestyles that contribute to a host of physical, social, and physiological benefits. Recreation and sport participation and physical activity are increasingly seen as health promotion tools in the fight against some of the most significant illnesses of the 21st century like heart disease, obesity, and diabetes.

Consistent with Canadian Sport for Life Model (CS4L), recreation providers play an integral role in developing physical literacy in children and youth, as well as promoting lifelong physical activity for all residents. Because many different institutions, agencies, and groups are involved in delivering sports and physical activity programs, CS4L recommends that recreational, educational, and sport clubs coordinate their efforts to prepare residents to have the skills to be more active in a safe manner. Physical literacy is integrated into programs and activities for all ages. Under the CS4L framework, recreation providers work together with organizations to introduce and promote physical literacy for all ages and abilities.

The goal of municipal recreation providers is to introduce various leisure pursuits to promote exposure to physical activity and sports, creative pursuits, and general interest programs. This in turn exposes residents to various opportunities generally at an introductory level and encourages people to try new activities and reap the benefits of participation. A review of the City of London’s Recreation Guide found that there is a broad range of opportunities for all age groups and abilities.

Staff regularly review registration statistics, reassess programs with low registration, and add new programs and opportunities to respond to trends and community needs. For example, the development of the Act-i-Pass program with other community recreation providers offers free activities for students in Grade 5 to promote engagement in recreation. The creativity in developing new programs is what program staff pride themselves in. The range of programs offered in London is intentional. An emphasis is placed on programs and clinics on the environment, science, and technology to respond to a growing need to ensure that young people are exposed to broader opportunities, can think more globally, and consider careers in these areas.

The Master Plan’s public engagement process yielded suggestions for additional drop-in programs, a greater variety of activities for older adults and seniors, adult sport leagues, and opportunities to connect with nature and optimize park use year-round. There were also suggestions for intergenerational opportunities and opportunities to increase physical literacy through the built environment. Recreation practitioners need to be nimble to respond to emerging needs and address critical social issues. City of London staff remain vigilant in determining new interests and monitoring participation rates in the range of opportunities by age group.

It is important to annually assess the number of people participating in recreational pursuits by age group, often referred to as the penetration or participation rate. This is typically measured by determining the percentage of the population (or age subset) that is registered in direct programs and engaged in casual pursuits. Staff have measured the location of program participants (to determine how far participants travel to engage in recreation pursuits) as well as participation in some sports and seniors’ activities. These data are intended to provide a high-level benchmark as some residents register in more than one program in each year. While there is no industry benchmark as each community is different, measuring participation rates year-to-year provides useful indicators locally and may identify gaps. The goal is to attain as much participation as possible given facility space and fiscal sustainability goals. All efforts must be taken to increase this participation rate to ensure that the health outcomes within the general population are positive ones.

Other methods may also be used to determine the effectiveness of the parks, recreation, and sport programs and services. Understanding quality assurance measures, legislative compliance, and participant satisfaction levels will offer a broader view. Once the rates are understood, staff can develop realistic and achievable targets in increasing participation. The City is encouraged to work with other providers to understand participation figures and the degree to which the participation rate extends beyond City-delivered programs.
Casual and unstructured opportunities are proving to be the most popular. Walking, hiking, cycling throughout the city, dropping into a community centre to play in the gym, and using park spaces to master new skills on one’s own time are popular in terms of participation. The City of London has recognized this trend and offers the loan of large-scale games for residents to use for family picnics and gatherings. Many municipalities are also increasing daytime and unstructured use of gymnasiums by allowing residents to use them through independent or self-organized play outside of program times. Other promising examples that help to keep residents outside and active longer include sand/dirt hills that allow children and the general public to climb, build, and explore, as well as supports that can be used for slacklines (balancing sports).

Active Living

Active living is critical to individual and community wellbeing – it reduces the risk of chronic disease, enhances physical and mental health, and brings residents together. It is about working together to create places where active transportation, physical activities, and play are integral parts of everyday life.

Accessibility and affordability are essential to providing opportunities to be active in neighbourhoods and on the way to work, school, or play. To achieve maximum benefit, active living should be integrated into daily routines, such as walking to the store or bus stop or taking the stairs. Supporting safe, connected, and healthy neighbourhoods requires collaboration with internal and external stakeholders.

The City is mindful that policy decisions, community design, service delivery, and education initiatives impact public health and peoples’ abilities to make active lifestyle choices. Convenient, safe, and connected walking and cycling routes are at the core of promoting active living. Aside from specific infrastructure for cyclists and pedestrians, the way neighborhoods and communities are built affects walkability and levels of activity. The notion of making “the healthy choice the easy choice” is supported by several City of London plans and strategies, such as the Age Friendly Action Plan, London ON Bikes Cycling Master Plan, London’s Child and Youth Agenda, London Strengthening Neighbourhoods Strategy, and more.

Note: See Section 6 “Connecting People and Nature” for more on recreational trails and pathways, which are key components of London’s active transportation system.

Note: For definitions of Park types refer to the London Plan - Parks and Recreation, for Natural Heritage definitions refer to The London Plan – Environmental Policies. Further definitions are available in By-Law No. PR-2, A By-law relating to use, protection and regulation of Public Parks and Recreation Areas in the City of London.

Active Aging

The City of London will experience an increase in the number of older adults (55 years and older) over the course of the next two decades. It is anticipated that the number of older adults will increase by 34,400 residents between 2021 and 2041. While the City’s population will also rise during this time period, older adults will account for about 45% of the growth.

The current service delivery approach for older adult services includes a “hub and spoke” model of facilities, anchored by two seniors’ community centres and supported by seniors’ satellites across the city. The model includes programming and casual opportunities offered directly by the City, as well programs and services offered by older adult clubs. Demand will likely increase as the population expands and the City will need to be prepared. In 2017, older adults registered in 13,500 program opportunities of the 16,500 opportunities offered (with an 82% fill rate). Public spaces provided to older adult clubs may also need to be reviewed as demand changes.
Physical Activity, Active Living, and Active Aging

1. Continue to embrace a **strength based service delivery and program role** that maximizes access by leveraging contributions from the full range of providers. Programs provided by the City of London will continue to emphasize physical activity and physical literacy for residents of all ages and abilities through registered and drop in opportunities.

2. Expand the variety, frequency, location, and promotion of **drop in programs** through the use of community centres, neighbourhood locations, and parks. Develop a strategy to identify, administer, and evaluate drop in programming that responds to changing demographics and diversity.

3. Offer more **family recreation opportunities** to meet the needs of newcomers and minority groups (including more intergenerational opportunities and options for children ages 0-2 years) and to help foster lifelong participation.

4. Work with the Child and Youth Network priority area leads to explore options for integrating **physical literacy and new physical activity elements** into the built environment, such as incorporating literacy decals, murals, etc. into community centres.

5. Explore how to best meet the increasing demands and unique needs of **older adults**. Meet with partners such as the Huff N’ Puff Seniors Fitness Association and other organizations to explore needs/plans moving forward, including the exploration of a **therapeutic line of programming** with community partners.

6. Continue to review **program participation data** to make informed decisions about program development by age group and location through the establishment of participation targets.

7. **Work together with other service providers and stakeholders** to understand and address overall participation rates and gaps in parks, recreation, and sport pursuits in London.
Section 5: INCLUSION AND ACCESS

This section contains analysis and recommendations to access and equity.

Goal 2: INCLUSION & ACCESS

We will remove barriers to participation by adopting a model of “access for all”. This will be achieved by welcoming and including all residents.

Strategic Directions:

a. Work collaboratively with populations that face constraints to participation – such as (but not limited to) Indigenous peoples, newcomers to Canada, residents with low income backgrounds, LGBTQ2S+ community, females, and persons with disabilities – to reduce and remove barriers.
b. Support diversity and inclusion by evaluating proposals, policies, and actions through an equity and gender lens.
c. Provide, promote, and enhance subsidy programs that improve affordability for all.
d. Increase the range of low- and no-cost programs within the city.
e. Promote the use of parks and public spaces.
f. Promote the use of trails and pathways in a way that protects significant features and functions.
g. Implement age friendly design standards and planning strategies that improve accessibility for all.

The City plays an active and important role in providing community access to parks, recreation, and sport opportunities and is committed to initiatives and community-based projects that are consistent with its vision, mission, and values.
Providing Access for All

Increased access to parks, recreation, and sport services improves individual, social, and economic wellbeing. The City is committed to providing:

- A full range of opportunities that reduce barriers and support all Londoners to feel engaged and involved in our community.
- Access to programs and activities for persons of all ages and abilities.

Services and spaces that are accessible to diverse communities, and people of all ages and abilities will create healthier individuals and a stronger community. The City strives to offer programs, parks, and facilities that can be used by all, but challenges still exist. Common barriers include costs, transportation, design and built environment, communication and awareness, and need for child care.

“It’s all about Participation!” These are the first words articulated in London’s Recreation Guide. This paragraph is followed by information on the Financial Assistance Policy to ensure that finances are not a barrier to participation in recreation programs and services. This is a clear demonstration that the City places importance on including all residents in a broad range of inclusive parks, recreation, and sport services.

It is vital that all residents can make easy choices to join in casual or formal activities and reap the benefits of participation. The simple – but big – idea is to reduce barriers that residents may face in accessing parks, recreation, and sport opportunities. In the past, this emphasis on barrier reduction has largely focussed on residents from low income backgrounds and persons with disabilities. Efforts to implement barrier-free park and facility designs, translate materials and signage into predominant languages, provide program assistance to residents with disabilities, deliver specialized programming, and offer recreation subsidy programs for low income residents are proving to be successful.

Residents expect exceptional customer service within universally barrier-free facilities. Accessible spaces and affordable services enable people to maintain or improve their health and wellbeing through all of their life stages. This is an increasingly important consideration given health trends that indicate an aging population, decreased mobility, and increased physical and mental illnesses.
Municipalities and other providers are now expanding their efforts to include other under-represented populations to ensure full inclusion. There is a clear distinction between “equality” (providing all residents the same opportunities regardless of their backgrounds) and “equity” (taking differing approaches to include under-represented residents).

More amenities that support people of all ages will be needed, including accessible, age friendly park and facility designs, as well as inclusive programming led by qualified instructors. The City must continue to adapt its spaces to serve people of all ages and backgrounds, setting priorities based on community input, demographics, utilization, and design factors.

### Key Tools and Practices for Inclusion

The size and range of under-represented groups in London is growing, along with their needs. Ensuring **equitable access to parks, recreation, and sport services** is a vital role for all municipalities. For the City of London, this means continuing to:

- Develop baseline policies that state the commitment of the City and staff to include all residents regardless of backgrounds;
- Ensure that the makeup of staff and volunteers reflect the community that they serve;
- Provide staff and volunteers with adequate training to understand the needs of under-represented populations and the barriers that they face;
- Provide staff and volunteers with adequate training to address emerging behavioural, emotional, and cognitive needs of children and residents of all ages;
- Guide staff in considering diverse populations in the development, delivery, and evaluation of all programs and services;
- Engage diverse populations as services are reviewed and new ones developed;
- Develop partnerships, sponsorships, and donation programs to maximize resources;
- Attract youth from diverse backgrounds to engage in leadership training to become employment-ready for parks, recreation, and sport opportunities;
- Execute visual audits of parks, facilities, and programs to ensure that participation is reflective of the community makeup;
- Develop internal staff inclusion and equity committees to ensure that there is the capacity and readiness to include under-represented populations;
- Provide programs to teach an introduction to traditional Canadian sports and opportunities; and,
- Work with diverse populations to offer their own traditional sports and activities to all residents.
Indigenous Peoples

Indigenous awareness, recognition, understanding, and reconciliation are addressed through a number of sectoral documents, such as Parks for All (2017 Parks Canada Agency on behalf of the Canadian Parks Council and the Canadian Parks and Recreation Association) and the calls to action identified by the Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada. All communities have a role to play in recognizing the importance of Indigenous voices in parks, recreation, and sport. For example, many municipalities are showcasing Indigenous history through public art and plaques in community centres and parks, along with exploring programs and events reflective of First Nations sports and culture.

Indigenous Peoples: Truth and Reconciliation

The following Calls to Action from the Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada (2015) relate to “Sports and Reconciliation” and are applicable to all levels of government:

87. We call upon all levels of government, in collaboration with Aboriginal peoples, sports halls of fame, and other relevant organizations, to provide public education that tells the national story of Aboriginal athletes in history.

88. We call upon all levels of government to take action to ensure long-term Aboriginal athlete development and growth, and continued support for the North American Indigenous Games, including funding to host the games and for provincial and territorial team preparation and travel.

Through this Master Plan and other initiatives (e.g., London’s Community Diversity and Inclusion Strategy), the City of London has shown leadership in reaching out to Indigenous peoples to better understand their needs and take concrete steps towards healing and reconciliation. These initiatives are in their initial stages and must demonstrate commitment over the long-term.
Persons with Disabilities

The 2012 Canadian Survey on Disability reported that approximately 3.8 million Canadians were living with a disability, equating to 13% of the population(1). Locally, the City estimates that approximately one in five residents have some form of disability(2), which would amount to over 80,000 residents.

The City of London is committed to providing quality goods, services, and facilities that are accessible to all and in a manner that respects the dignity and independence of persons with disabilities. The City is committed to working with the community to meet the needs of persons with disabilities by preventing and removing barriers to accessibility in customer service, information and communication, employment, the design of public spaces, and transportation. The City is also committed to meeting the requirements of applicable legislation, including the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA) and the Human Rights Code.

Specific to parks, recreation, and sport, the City offers a range of services to ensure that persons with disabilities can engage in leisure activities, with guidance from the Accessibility Advisory Committee. For example, staff work directly with residents with disabilities to integrate them into programs/camps and provide the needed supports. Greater prominence and information on inclusive services was suggested by stakeholders, such as listing this information within the initial pages of the Recreation Guide and advertising the accessible features at all parks and facilities. In addition, specific opportunities for persons with disabilities are offered (e.g., Wheelchair Tennis) and other opportunities are provided in partnership with organizations supporting residents with disabilities. Ensuring that trails and pathways are accessible to all is one of the goals expressed by residents through the Master Plan’s engagement program.

Note: Unless otherwise noted, matters relating to barrier-free accessibility and AODA built environment requirements are addressed in Section 7 “Supportive Environments”.

Residents with Low Income

The City of London recognizes that addressing affordability will likely increase participation in programs and services. Access to most outdoor spaces, parks, trails, and pathways is free, as are many programs and events. The City’s Financial Assistance Policy (“Play Your Way” fund) offers financial support to low income Londoners who wish to access recreation programs and activities offered by the City of London. A maximum of $300 per person is available for a 12-month period to eligible recipients (as of 2019). The City also offers a range of free and low-cost programs and services to ensure that there is universal access to parks, recreation, and sport opportunities. The City works with others such as the London Public Library system which provides information and assistance to allow residents to apply for recreation subsidies. With an annual contribution of over $1 million, the effectiveness of the Play Your Way fund should be reviewed to understand the participation rate of the number of residents with low income and work towards a participation target. The delivery of services at the neighbourhood level is also a successful model for serving low income residents and those with transportation barriers.

Newcomers

Approximately one-fifth (22%) of residents in London are immigrants and it is anticipated that the number of newcomers will increase. London’s Community Diversity and Inclusion Strategy demonstrates the City’s commitment to ensure that residents feel engaged and welcomed in the community.

Making newcomers feel welcome is paramount, as is delivering appropriate program types. Some residents from other countries not only participate in different sport and activities, but also use public space differently, often with a greater focus on socializing. The City strives to welcome all residents within its parks and facilities through comfortable public spaces and high quality customer service. Building relationships with diverse communities and cultural groups also pays dividends in promoting understanding, enhancing access, and improving participation.

LGBTQ2S+ and Gender

Recreation departments play a role in welcoming members of the LGBTQ2S+ community. Trained staff and safe spaces encourage members of this community to engage in leisure pursuits. Safe and positive spaces to gather and recreate can assist this community with respect to freedom of expression. Many municipalities include the LGBTQ2S+ community in developing programs and services of interest to ensure that programs and partnerships are meaningful.

Female participation in parks, recreation, and sport pursuits declines as girls reach adolescence. Uninterrupted engagement is important in ensuring that females can embrace active lifestyles through the life course, and becomes a way of life. Further, due to cultural values some females prefer “women-only” experiences. This barrier to participation has been addressed by the City of London and other providers through a variety of ways, such as leadership opportunities, sport leagues, and more. A review of participation may assist in ensuring that the number of females in recreation and sport pursuits is reflective of the percentage of the general population.

Homeless Prevention and Belonging

The City of London has shown leadership in setting out to prevent homelessness and providing supports for the homeless population. The City also facilitates sports leagues such as baseball and floor hockey for the homeless community. Parks, recreation, and sport also plays a supporting role to stakeholder groups who provide services to this community. Participation in recreation and sport provides homeless residents with encouragement, increased physical activity levels, and confidence through skill development. Promising practices in other municipalities include similar active pursuits, parks ambassador programs, and community garden plots for the homeless. London should continue to work with other service providers to augment supports through leisure activities that offer encouragement and positive outcomes. Thoughtful park and facility design - with consideration of CPTED (Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design) principles - also enhances comfort, safety, and security.
# Recommendations

## Inclusion and Access

8. As the City grows, continue to expand **low and no cost program initiatives**. Continued research and engagement at the neighbourhood level is necessary to identify areas that will benefit the most from these initiatives.

9. Reach out to **Indigenous people and organizations** to:
   - a) Undertake regular and meaningful engagement on matters of importance related to parks, recreation programs, sport services, and facilities;
   - b) Explore new partnerships for including Indigenous programming in the Recreation Guide;
   - c) Explore how to best ensure Indigenous peoples feel welcomed in programs and community centres;
   - d) Target casual staff recruitment efforts through Indigenous organizations to increase the diversity in London’s leadership staff; and,
   - e) Identify how parks, recreation, and sport can support the Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada Calls to Action.

10. Work with **under represented populations** to: identify participation rates in parks, recreation, and sport; remove barriers to participation; and, establish appropriate participation targets.

11. Expand our reach to **newcomer populations** by:
   - a) Focusing on staff recruitment efforts and leadership development to increase the diversity of the staff team;
   - b) Increasing the variety of recreational opportunities that are appropriate for various ethnocultural groups; and
   - c) Translating promotional materials into predominant languages.

12. Expand programs and services for the **special needs population**, with a focus on increasing physical activity options for school aged children with special needs.

13. Expand **staff training** around accessibility, including sensitivity training sessions.

14. Expand **gender diversity/LGBTQ2S+** inclusion by utilizing consistent signage at all centres and using the Ontario Human Rights Code and experts in the region to inform the staff training programs.

15. Evaluate the balance of **female participation** by age cohort in all direct, casual, community, and stakeholder-driven sport opportunities in London.

Note: Unless otherwise noted, matters relating to barrier-free accessibility and AODA built environment requirements are addressed in Section 7 “Supportive Environments”.
Section 6: CONNECTING PEOPLE AND NATURE

This section contains analysis and recommendations relating to connecting people with their neighbourhoods and with nature.

Goal 3: CONNECTING PEOPLE AND NATURE

We will strengthen residents’ connections with their neighbourhoods and nature. This will be achieved through public awareness, neighbourhood-driven activities and decision-making, and opportunities to animate and enjoy London’s outdoor spaces and places.

Strategic Directions:

a. Enhance awareness of community initiatives and promote the personal and community benefits of parks, recreation, and sport.
b. Support volunteerism and community engagement in the planning and delivery of services.
c. Continue to emphasize initiatives focused on strengthening neighbourhoods, animation of public spaces, and unstructured activities.
d. Collaborate with providers to exchange information and promote services and programs.
e. Use recreation to help people connect with nature and be stewards of the natural environment.
f. Apply effective designs and management strategies that support healthy and sustainable environments and sustain significant ecological features and functions.
g. Support efforts to expand active transportation networks, including trails and pathways within and connecting to, parks and natural park areas.

6.1 Connecting People and Neighbourhoods

The London Strengthening Neighbourhoods Strategy (LSNS)

Through strong resident engagement, the City of London has taken a proactive role in strengthening communications and access to services where people live. LSNS has shown leadership in better understanding the needs and make-up of neighbourhoods and building capacity to deliver meaningful programs and services. This approach assists in better understanding and addressing the unique nature of residents’ needs within each neighbourhood. LSNS provides for greater engagement and inclusion of all residents, local decision-making, increased neighbourhood activities and participation, and enhanced communications.

Some promising deliverables from LSNS include:

• The Neighbourhood Decision-Making program provides funding for infrastructure, local supports, and events. Residents propose ideas and vote for the various proposals. Approximately $250,000 per year was provided in 2017 and 2018 to neighbourhoods through this program.
• The City provides access to free assets such as tents, tables, chairs, and games to support neighbourhood events to residents and neighbourhood groups. A Neighbourhood Small Events Fund (up to $500) is available to residents and neighbourhood groups to support community events to engage and strengthen the community.
• Toolkits and “How to” resources are provided to neighbourhoods to assist with planning of local events and activities.
• Communications are enhanced through various online tools (like NeighbourGood London) and promotional materials.

With the anticipated increase in the population, staff will need to continue to encourage the delivery of recreational opportunities and neighbourhood activities to include more residents and through a
Online Communities

Effective communication and engagement with the community are paramount in not only promoting local opportunities, but educating the public on the benefits of participating in parks, recreation, and sport pursuits. The City of London utilizes an extensive communications program to promote its various special events, registration dates, trails, gardens, specialized facilities, and more. The City is committed to making it convenient for residents to learn about municipal services and make it even easier to access them. One way of supporting increased communications and engagement while reaching a broad audience is through the development and support of online communities. Building an online community for parks, recreation, and sport can serve to educate, inform, promote, engage, and seek opinions. Moving beyond the current “Play Your Way” online newsletter where residents are required to provide contact information to receive updates about upcoming events, program registrations, volunteer opportunities. The opportunity could be geared to one’s particular interests, with participants receiving relevant material on activities, volunteer opportunities, educational items, etc. The system could also offer the ability to respond to City-initiated polling questions to gather a quick response to specific items of interest or allow for cross-posting of events.

Engaging the community in self-governing parks, recreation, and sport activities also requires extending support to volunteers and service providers. For example, neighbourhood hubs such as senior satellites and community centres rely on local organizations and volunteers to promote their programs and opportunities. Staff regularly engage residents, businesses, like-minded organizations, and community groups to maximize investments that enhance local capacity and support accessible, responsive programming – particularly in neighbourhoods with higher numbers of vulnerable children, youth, and families. Meaningful programs and services cannot be provided without open dialogue with the people that the City serves.

The City of London is known for its approach to addressing social issues in the community through an integrated approach with other stakeholders with specific expertise. This approach has set an example for communities in that local issues are studied with a rounded view and a view toward providing coordinated and thoughtful solutions. Some examples of integrated decision-making processes include the London Strengthening Neighbourhoods Strategy, London’s Child and Youth Network, Age Friendly London Network, Homeless Prevention and Housing Plan. These are excellent illustrations of creating a vision as a collective to improve community outcomes, while allowing all stakeholders to play their individual part in achieving them. This is leading practise and should continue as community issues require attention.
16. Continue to **support community development and local decision making initiatives**, the London Strengthening Neighbourhoods Strategy, Child and Youth Agenda, partnerships, and other means of achieving equity in park, facility, and service delivery.

17. Continue to embed **public engagement as a required element** when making key decisions relating to parks, recreation, and sport services. Consider a variety of tactics (including community led and community designed engagement opportunities) that make it easy for people to participate, such as at non traditional locations and times.

18. Continue to support **Neighbourhood Hubs** by:
   a) Ensuring community centres are safe places where people can gather and connect, and promote this fact;
   b) Providing welcoming and inviting spaces;
   c) Using community centres as access points for information about other City of London services; and,
   d) Using appropriate facilities as warming/cooling centres when needed.

19. Continue to maximize program delivery in **existing places and spaces** by:
   a) Identifying location gaps for different program areas and develop strategies to fill these gaps; and,
   b) Sourcing out new program locations through formalizing usage of school facilities (all school boards), coordinating with Family Centres, planning ahead for when new school space becomes available, and identifying under-utilized public library spaces.

20. As part of a broader community engagement strategy that utilizes a blend of broad and targeted tactics, investigate the feasibility of developing an **online community portal and application** centred on parks, recreation, and sport in London.

21. Increase resident **awareness and marketing** of parks, recreation, and sport opportunities and information through:
   a) Leveraging new and emerging technologies that enhance the customer service experience (e.g., program registration and rentals);
   b) Including more information about features available at each location, including those accessible to persons with disabilities;
   c) Educating the public about service level standards, such as parks maintenance and naturalization initiatives;
   d) Establishing strategies for communicating with specific audiences, including under-represented groups;
   e) Expanding current initiatives such as the Play Your Way newsletter, NeighborhoodGood London, surveys, information centres, etc.;
   f) Developing generic neighbourhood based information by working with Family Centres, libraries, and schools; and,
   g) Increasing cross promotion on social media, utilizing relationships with neighbourhood groups, etc.

22. Continue to explore opportunities to publish key promotional material and provide language supports for participants in **multiple and predominant languages** with the goal of expanding the City’s reach and increasing participation amongst newcomer groups.
6.2 Connecting People and Nature

London’s parks and open space system – with many assets connected to the Thames River – has consistently been rated by the public as one of the city’s best features. Parks and open spaces enhance the vibrancy of our communities and keep individuals connected and engaged. Their impact on personal wellness is significant, including the many mental health and healing benefits associated with connections to nature.

Aligning with Provincial Policy

Connecting people and nature supports policy 1.5.1 of the Provincial Policy Statement (2014) that promotes:

- “planning and providing for a full range of publicly accessible, built and natural settings for recreation, including facilities, parklands, public spaces, open spaces areas, trails and linkages, and, where practical, water-based resources”; and,
- “providing opportunities for public access to shorelines”.

The National and Provincial direction to connect people and nature aligns with International standards for managing natural areas in urban centres. The International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) is the world’s authoritative resource for protected areas. In the IUCN’s Urban Protected Areas Profiles and Best Practice Guidelines (7) the number one best practice recommendation is to “provide access for all”.

Note: Additional recommendations on parks planning and design are contained in Section 7.4.

Recreational Trails and Pathways

In recent years, there has been more research into the benefits of nature for children and major efforts to provide opportunities in urban areas for all residents to interact with nature. These benefits are well documented and are recognized by Londoners, as they rank “hiking on nature trails” as their second most popular recreational activity, after walking on pathways. It is, therefore, a high priority to continue to provide residents with these valuable experiences. As more Londoners value and appreciate nature, they are more likely to support and advocate for the protection and management of natural areas.

London residents consistently identify walking, hiking, and cycling as favoured activities for all ages. These activities are often satisfied in local neighbourhoods through sidewalks and walking loops in parks. The multi-use Thames Valley Pathway (TVP) and London’s network of community trails and cycling routes also support these activities and help to connect neighbourhoods across the city. The City places a high priority on enhancing the recreational trail and pathway system.

Continued improvement and expansion of the recreational pathway and active transportation networks are key goals for the City. For example, the Age Friendly London Action Plan (2017-2020) recommends a guide for age friendly outdoor recreational trails and pathways in London. All trail and pathway development projects require site-specific analysis, including application of applicable policies and guidelines.

Making Recreational Trails and Pathways Accessible for All

The Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act requires that all new recreational trails and pathways be accessible, unless there is a strict technical reason why they cannot be – such as very steep slopes or significant negative impacts on natural features. For the last fifteen years, London has been a leader in Ontario and across Canada in making its parks and facilities more accessible and the City continues to strive to make its parks open to all Londoners.

Many of London’s parks and open spaces are part of a larger Natural Heritage System that includes Environmentally Significant Areas (ESAs). Currently, there are twelve large ESAs that the City contracts the Upper Thames River Conservation Authority to manage separately from the parks and open space system. While ESAs provide Londoners with great opportunities for recreational hiking, these areas have their own planning and management goals, guidelines, and processes that fall outside of the mandate of the Parks and Recreation Master Plan. The planning and management of ESAs resides with the City Planning service area.

Thames River

This Natural Heritage System also overlaps with the parks system in many places, the largest being the Thames Valley corridor. Two branches of the Thames River run through the city and form the backdrop to many of its largest parks – Springbank, Gibbons, Harris, Greenway, North London Athletic Fields, St. Julien, and Thames Parks. Through the development of the Thames Valley Corridor Plan, Londoners established a guiding vision for the corridor that is now part of the new London Plan:

_The Thames Corridor is London’s most important natural, cultural recreational, and aesthetic resource. The City and community partners will preserve and enhance the natural environment, Thames River health, vistas, beauty and cultural heritage while accommodating compatible infrastructure, accessibility and recreation._

- Vision Statement from Thames Valley Corridor Plan, 2011

The vision for the corridor through London is in keeping with the goals of the Canadian Heritage River designation – bestowed on the Thames River “for its outstanding natural and cultural contributions, quality recreational opportunities, and demonstration of a healthy river environment”. The important role of the river as a cultural and environmental feature for Indigenous populations is also recognized.

The Corridor Plan has several key objectives that implement this vision, which have been incorporated into the City’s ongoing management of the corridor. Specific sites along the river have been identified for improvement and the recent One River Environmental Assessment has studied how this may be accomplished in the area from the Forks, westward to Boler Road.

Access to the Thames River is important to Londoners and visitors, as is protecting and promoting its Heritage River status. Fishery health and ecosystem biodiversity in local waters are improving and interest in fishing and paddling are trending upward. Residents and stakeholders have requested enhanced access for water-based recreational pursuits and cultural ceremonies. Maintaining and increasing shoreline access promotes good health, water-based recreation, environmental awareness, and connections to nature for multiple user groups.

Consistent with the London Plan and Thames Valley Corridor Plan, the City will continue to seek opportunities to invest in and enhance access to London’s riverfront.

Environmental Health and Stewardship

Aside from the key recreational and social benefits to residents, London’s parks and open spaces also help the City achieve its goals and requirements relating to environmental health. It is increasingly evident that parks and open spaces fulfill a role in helping the City meet many of its environmental goals. Over the last twenty years, the City has purposefully naturalized approximately 15% of the river corridor parklands with meadows, shrubs, and trees. For years, this work has included specific projects that support pollinators like butterflies and bees. This work across the City has also resulted in the parks and open space system having over 40% tree canopy coverage – well above the average in the city of 24%.

The Master Plan recognizes the contributions of natural areas, the urban forest, and the Thames River to individual and community health and wellness. This includes consideration of the City’s role in environmental stewardship (e.g., programming, climate change mitigation, green technologies, etc.) and expanding support for community-driven initiatives that encourage environmentally-friendly behaviours. Many relevant directions and policies relating to these topics are contained in guiding documents such as the London Plan, Urban Forestry Strategy, and the City’s new Invasive Plant Species Management Strategy. The City will continue to support the protection and enhancement of the natural environment through appropriate means, including stewardship initiatives and community partnerships.

The City has both an obligation and an opportunity to be a leader in environmental sustainability. There are many things London can do to become a greener city. While this Master Plan is not intended to provide detailed direction on environmental management, many ideas were raised through the consultation program, such as the use of interpretive signage and community education, pollinator habitat and community gardens, solar and wind energy, shade, and naturalization guidelines, green technologies, low impact development approaches, materials purchasing, urban wildlife, and invasive species management.
Outdoor Play

Outdoor play is critical to children’s development; they need outdoor and unstructured play to master new skills, be active, learn, and find wonder in their natural environment. However, children are given fewer opportunities to engage in outdoor and “challenging” play compared to previous generations. Children spend less time outdoors due to a fear of accidents and more time spent indoors engaged in sedentary behaviours (such as increased screen time). As a result, Nature Deficit Disorder is a term coined to encourage parents to keep their children and families outside longer and more often to gain the benefits of being active. Guidelines to assist communities and individuals address this issue are beginning to emerge, offering practical ways to improve the physical, mental, and social health of children and residents of all ages.

The Value of Outdoor Play

“Access to active play in nature and outdoors – with its risks – is essential for healthy child development. We recommend increasing children’s opportunities for self-directed play outdoors in all settings—at home, at school, in child care, in the community, and in nature.”

- ParticipACTION 2015 position statement on active outdoor play

Several municipalities are engaged in researching and experimenting with the merits of challenging play and testing new approaches. Many communities are also placing larger toys (e.g., kitchens, trucks, workshops, tricycles, castles, etc.) in playgrounds, which has proven to keep children in playgrounds longer and immersed in imaginative play. To address concerns over risk mitigation, the Canadian Public Health Association is developing a policy toolkit to guide the development of challenging play opportunities and adventure play areas. The development of an Outdoor Activity Strategy is recommended to encourage Londoners of all ages to stay outdoors longer, enjoy natural settings, and enhance connections with nature. This strategy should consider programs and pilot projects that animate and energize London’s excellent parks system, unlocking their potential as “outdoor community centres”. For example, London’s Urban Agriculture Strategy includes several initiatives that promote the benefits of staying outdoors longer and leading more active lifestyles.
Recommendations

Connecting People with Nature / Thames River

23. Place a greater emphasis on helping people connect with nature through recreation by:
   a) Incorporating appreciation and exposure to nature through new program design;
   b) Improving the connection between community and seniors’ centres and their outdoor spaces; and,
   c) Enhancing shoreline access and gathering spaces by providing more amenities for trails/pathways and water-based recreational pursuits (e.g., fishing, paddling, etc.) adjacent to the Thames River, in keeping with best environmental practices.

24. To support education and nature appreciation, provide interpretive signage that highlights the significance of London’s Natural Heritage System.

Recreational Trails and Pathways

25. Continue to provide Londoners with trails that offer opportunities to be immersed in, experience, revere, and value nature.

26. Where ecologically appropriate, ensure that new trails are AODA compliant, so that all Londoners can experience nature.

27. Continue efforts to address gaps in the recreational trail and pathway system and extending the system into new growth areas. All trail and pathway development projects require site specific analysis, including application of applicable policies and guidelines.

28. Identify and consider opportunities to enhance the safety and convenience of the recreational pathway system through urban design, active transportation, and park renewal initiatives. Examples include (but are not limited to) installation of bike racks and amenities, signage clearly identifying access points, community education and awareness, separation of users in high traffic areas, and a winter maintenance program in select locations where significant features and functions are not put at risk.

29. Work with applicable approval agencies to develop a coordinated policy approach for recreational trail and pathway development within Woodland Parks and floodplains.

30. Align implementation of the Parks and Recreation Master Plan with the City’s Cycling Master Plan and promote and link with Provincial Cycling Routes (CycleON). Update technical standards to reflect Provincial planning guidelines, as revised from time to time.

Environmental Health and Stewardship

31. Identify resources to support the enhanced management of municipal woodlands (including Woodland Parks) and work collaboratively with internal and external stakeholders to achieve the desired service level standards.

32. Encourage stakeholder and resident roles in providing stewardship of parks, gardens, and other community resources. This may include encouraging the establishment of park foundations, conservancies, and other stewardship partnerships that enhance park sustainability.
33. Seek opportunities to improve **awareness and understanding** about the importance of the City’s Natural Heritage System and urban forest and their broader role within **Carolinian Canada**. Additional research should be conducted into best practices that build upon existing community partnerships and community education opportunities (e.g., programming and events, social media, educational signs, etc.).

34. Continue to promote **naturalization of appropriate municipal lands and beautification and greening efforts** led or sponsored by the City (e.g., planting programs, “adopt-a-” initiatives, community events, public art, and more) to meet multiple goals for habitats, pollinators, and tree coverage.

35. Continue to seek and implement strategies for the effective management of **urban wildlife and invasive species**.

**Outdoor Play**

36. Develop an **Outdoor Activity Strategy** to encourage residents of all ages to stay outdoors longer, enjoy outdoor settings, and enhance connections with nature. This strategy may also include policy direction on accommodating physical activity and community-based **commercial activities** in parks (e.g., group fitness classes, farmers’ markets, etc.).

37. Investigate new **challenging play opportunities** to keep children and families outdoors and active for longer periods of time.
Section 7: SUPPORTIVE ENVIRONMENTS

This section contains analysis and recommendations relating to capital planning/investment and recreation spaces/amenities.

Goal 4: SUPPORTIVE ENVIRONMENTS

We will invest strategically in parks, recreation, and sport infrastructure to support the Master Plan goals. This will be achieved by responding to demonstrated community needs through the thoughtful design, provision, and management of parks, facilities, and spaces.

Strategic Directions:

a. Ensure that public spaces are safe, welcoming, accessible, and maintained in a state of good repair through the implementation of contemporary design standards, and AODA requirements.

b. Renew, expand, and develop spaces, facilities, and amenities in appropriate locations to address existing gaps.

c. Strive to develop spaces, facilities, and amenities that are flexible, serve multiple users, function as neighbourhood hubs, and can be linked to broader strategies and initiatives.

d. Respond to changing participation patterns, demographics, and emerging activities by adapting public spaces and programs to fit evolving needs and expectations.

e. Employ effective and progressive maintenance and asset management practices.

f. Support inward and upward growth through proactive planning and innovative models that support future growth and an increasingly urbanized city.

g. Recognize the importance of placemaking through exceptional civic spaces and robust infrastructure.

h. Utilize a variety of acquisition and non-acquisition-based options to enhance the supply of parks and open spaces.
7.1 Capital Planning and Investment Planning for a Maturing City

Planning for a Maturing City

Local and Provincial policies support healthy, active communities and the balanced distribution of parks and open spaces within urban areas. One of London’s key goals is to build a mixed-use compact city that makes the best use of existing infrastructure and maintains natural and agricultural lands. Over time, this will be achieved by growing “inwards and upwards”, concentrating future population growth in existing built-up areas. The London Plan (currently under appeal) establishes strategies to target a minimum of 45% of new residential development within the built area boundary and 75% of all intensification within the Primary Transit Area (8).

Growth in the city’s existing neighbourhoods introduces new dynamics related to population density, land availability, critical mass, and diversity. Over time, intensification – characterized by mid- to high-rise residential buildings – will become more common in the downtown core and along major nodes and corridors within the Primary Transit Area. From experiences in larger urban centres, it can be anticipated that these areas will attract a wide range of residents, from single adults (many of whom will form families with young children) to retirees.

Advanced planning and creativity are needed to support growth and the city’s evolving urban form. Intensification means that it can be costly and challenging to find space for land-intensive uses such as community centres, sports parks, and more. Higher densities can also result in a loss of personal space and growing feelings of isolation, placing greater demands on public amenities. Enhanced access to green space, publicly-accessible spaces, complete streets, active transportation choices, neighbourhood hubs, and diverse activities will become more critical.

Although the City has recently approved several high-density residential buildings – many in downtown London – this shift towards intensification will be gradual. Between 2019 and 2039, the City forecasts that nearly four-fifths of London’s population growth will occur outside the Primary Transit Area. As a result, there are many neighbourhoods outside the built-area boundary that are growing and maturing, requiring the expansion and renewal of services, programs, and infrastructure. Planning for parks, recreation, and sport must consider the diverse needs and preferences of residents living across all of London.

As a large, maturing city, London is home to a diverse population. While the parks, recreation, and sport system has a role to play in achieving broader social objectives, it is also affected by emerging social trends, such as the aging population, multi-cultural diversity, obesity and physical inactivity, poverty, homelessness, and drug use, to name a few. The City offers inclusive services that can be accessed by all and, in the case of hard-to-reach populations, has fostered partnerships with other service providers to promote the benefits of parks, recreation, and sport.

The City has a social responsibility to support diverse groups. London’s Strengthening Neighbourhoods Strategy (2009) sees a future where our neighbourhoods are “empowered, sustainable, safe, and active”, where “we will care for and celebrate each other while encouraging diversity and inclusiveness”, and where “our neighbourhoods will be environmentally and socially responsible…”. A continued commitment to social engagement is required to achieve this.

For example, social issues can discourage some residents and user groups from using and enjoying London’s parks and green spaces. The City has responded by teaming up with social service agencies to develop a “coordinated informed response” aimed at reducing the health risks to individuals who are street-involved and addressing public concerns. Other examples include needle bin programs and designing parks that consider crime prevention principles. The City builds and maintains parks for all residents; however, some locations require stronger interventions to improve safety and access for all. Sustained efforts will be required to maximize current assets, explore new ways of doing things, and foster partnerships.

(8) City of London. 2016. The London Plan (Minister’s Modifications)
Guidelines for Planning and Priority-Setting

An investment in parks and facilities is a contribution toward the health and wellbeing of the community. Renewed and new infrastructure provide the places and spaces that the City and its partners require to deliver accessible, responsive programming, build capacity, and create spaces for people to gather. The equitable provision of parks, facilities, and services enables all residents to achieve positive outcomes for themselves and their communities.

Through a strengths-based delivery system, the City is committed to providing parks, recreation programs, and sport opportunities that meet the needs of all Londoners. It is necessary to establish priorities because the City cannot feasibly meet all community expectations placed on its parks, recreation, and sport system.

The City’s current level of service prioritizes facilities that support broad community access through traditional and universally-accessible amenities, including more urban amenities as the population within existing neighbourhood increases. Projects that extend beyond this level of service – including specialized and/or single-use facilities and spaces that are less accessible to the general public (e.g., soccer stadiums, indoor track and field venues, curling clubs, etc.) – would generally require outside funding, such as grants, donations, fundraising, user fees, or other forms of partnerships and external investment. This may apply to amenities that promote exclusive access or enhancements required to accommodate specialized activities or events.

Community-Initiated Facility Requests (see also Section 8.3 Partnerships)

As recommended in the 2009 Strategic Master Plan, the City should not be obligated to finance, construct, operate, or maintain any facility that does not:

- Directly serve a significant proportion of the city’s residents;
- Constitute a core service; or,
- Form part of an identified sport-tourism program or other Corporate initiative.

The City should maintain an “open door policy” for communication with organizations to allow them to communicate and gain information about facility availability. This direction continues to be supported.

The intended users influences the design, location, and management of facilities and services. The City supports a network of facility types that allows for local needs to be met through neighbourhood program sites (such as parks, neighbourhood community centres, or other partnered spaces such as Family Centres) and district or city-wide services to be delivered at destination facilities (such as larger multi-use centres and District Parks). A range of facility types and delivery strategies are necessary for creating strong, vibrant, and healthy communities.
### Table 7: Facility Classification Typology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neighbourhood Facilities</td>
<td>Neighbourhood facilities offer opportunities with broad appeal that respond to the specific needs of the surrounding area. Convenience is a major strength of neighbourhood level amenities, which will be within walking distance of many users. The scale of most neighbourhood facilities is modest so as to integrate within the surrounding area. Examples include neighbourhood community centres, outdoor basketball courts, community gardens, etc. Some amenities and spaces may exist at the sub-neighbourhood level (with a catchment area of less than 1-kilometre) to serve the needs of an immediate area, such as playgrounds, seating areas, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Facilities</td>
<td>District facilities typically serve a collection of neighbourhoods and offer a wide range of opportunities for activities, programs, rentals, and events. They are destinations and often require substantial off-road parking and support amenities. Examples include multi-use community centres, arenas, sports fields, and court complexes, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City-wide Facilities</td>
<td>City-wide facilities offer specialized opportunities intended to serve the entire city and possibly beyond, including major events. Where possible, these facilities also provide services that would typically be delivered in a community facility. Examples include the Canada Games Aquatic Centre (50-metre pool), Western Fair Sports Centre (quad pad arena), etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The parks system is guided by a separate classification system comprised of eight park types, as referenced in the London Plan - Parks and Environmental Policies sections. Further definitions are available in By-Law No. PR-2. A By-Law relating to use, protection and regulation of Public Parks and Recreation Areas in the City of London.

A glossary of terms is available at www.london.ca/residents/recreation
Developing, operating, and maintaining parks, recreation and sport infrastructure is a major responsibility. Prior to providing new facilities, the City should explore opportunities to optimize existing parks and facilities and be creative in doing so, including consideration of renewal, upgrades and non-traditional options. Upgrades to existing parks, trails, and facilities found strong support in the community consultation program (62% of survey respondents felt that the City does not provide enough support for maintaining and updating older parks, recreation, and sport facilities) – most residents prioritize renewal and upgrades over new amenities.

Principles for Infrastructure Investment

Decisions to invest in existing, new, and repurposed parks, recreation, and sport facilities should be supported by the following, at a minimum. Gaps and needs may be different across the city and provision should be based on community-specific requirements.

a. **Demonstrated demand**, as determined by
   i. Capacity of existing system to accommodate current and projected demand (e.g., facility utilization, participation trends, etc.);
   ii. Geographic distribution and the ability to serve high needs areas (e.g., consideration of underserved and vulnerable communities), recognizing that different facilities may have different catchment areas; and,
   iii. Population thresholds, particularly for growth-related requirements.

b. **Public and stakeholder support**.

c. **Partners** and consideration of alternate providers, where applicable.

d. Leading **asset management practices** and considerations of condition, functionality, and user experience (in the case of existing infrastructure)

e. **Financial feasibility** and the ability to leverage internal and/or external funding.

To aid in the assessment of demonstrated demand, targets (linked to distribution, population, specific age groups, or participants) have been developed to identify indoor and outdoor recreation and sport facility needs within this Master Plan. The targets from the previous Strategic Master Plan were reviewed and updated based on local demand factors and community input. These should be interpreted as general guidelines for determining facility needs. For more information, refer to Tables 9 (indoor facilities) and 10 (outdoor facilities).
Infrastructure Planning and Design Considerations

The planning and design of parks, recreation and sport facilities should consider the following:

a. Securing a suitable location, ideally well in advance of construction (i.e., at least five years prior to construction of major community facilities).

b. Maximizing accessibility, visibility, and safety, such as planning facilities on transit routes and pathways, as well as designs that meet or exceed universal guidelines.

c. Meeting a wide range of community needs through designs that are flexible, multi-functional, and multi-seasonal to the greatest degree possible.

d. Operating models that enhance community access and affordability.

e. Designs and technologies that are resilient, reduce environmental impacts, improve operational efficiency, and enhance connections with outdoor spaces.

f. Addressing the City’s emergency management requirements, where applicable.

g. Opportunities to allow for sport hosting capabilities, including designs that are competition-friendly, where appropriate.

As noted above, new facilities and activity spaces should be designed with multiple uses in mind. A continued shift away from smaller, single use facilities that are operated under exclusive use arrangements will assist the City in focussing its resources on spaces that promote public access and inclusive programming, as well as providing more efficient use of staff and other resources. Requests for dedicated spaces and single-use facilities may be considered, but will be lower priorities.

In terms of design, quality infrastructure and facility modernization allow municipalities to reduce the impact of extreme weather events and climate change. Flexible designs and contemporary construction techniques help parks and facilities to better adapt to shifting needs and meet a wider variety of uses over their lifecycles. Retrofits are sometimes required to meet modern performance targets in the areas of climate change, environmental sustainability, energy conservation, and facility and program accessibility. For example, energy efficiency (e.g., natural or high-efficiency lighting, automated building systems, etc.) and eco-friendly designs (e.g., building to LEED standards, etc.) are common objectives of most City of London building projects and this can be expected to continue. Preventative maintenance programs can also assist in ensuring that parks and facilities are able to respond to changing climatic conditions.

The City should continue to stay informed about emerging parks, recreation, and sport interests through regular communication, business intelligence tools, and monitoring of trends and community interest (including the collection of program, activity, and sport registration data). In cases where the City has decided to participate and/or invest (using the criteria identified above), they will seek innovative solutions and/or partnerships (using a standardized partnership framework – see Appendix B) that enhance access to residents.
### Recommendations

#### Planning for a Mature City

38. Consider **new service and facility delivery models** that reflect the realities of higher density residential communities, while ensuring convenient public access to needed spaces (e.g., public recreation amenities in highrise buildings).

39. In neighbourhoods planned for **residential intensification**, evaluate existing parks, green spaces, and other municipal properties for their potential to accommodate multi functional spaces and expanded social and recreational opportunities to serve diverse populations.

40. Evaluate **surplus school and other acquisition opportunities** based on the principles and targets advanced in this Master Plan, with a focus on geographic gap areas.

#### Guidelines for Planning and Priority-Setting

41. Facilitate a **balanced distribution and network** of parks, recreation programs, sport services, and facilities recognizing that different locations may serve different needs. This includes planning for **new program locations** (municipal and partnered) in gap and growth areas.

42. Utilize the planning and priority setting guidelines identified in this Master Plan (Section 7.1) for evaluating requests and opportunities to provide **new or enhanced infrastructure** and when planning and designing infrastructure.

43. Where possible, **acquire land well in advance** of development for higher order projects such as planned community centres. Consider options for co-locating community centres with District Park level sports fields and amenities.

44. Continue to make facilities and parks more accessible for persons with disabilities, in keeping with **AODA requirements**. Review the City’s **accessibility design standards** to ensure that all relevant parks, recreation and sport facilities are included.

45. Conduct **accessibility audits** on a regular basis to ensure that the City’s accessibility standards are being met at all parks, recreation and sport facilities. Give consideration to assistive technologies and adaptive equipment that facilitate access for persons with disabilities.

46. Develop a **standardized framework to evaluate requests** for facilities presently not part of the City’s core parks, recreation, and sport service mandate. At a minimum, the framework should consider the City’s role (or lack thereof) in providing the service in relation to demonstrated demand, alternate providers, cost factors, and economic sustainability.

47. Ensure that major retrofits and new construction projects adequately consider opportunities to address **climate change, environmental sustainability, and energy conservation**. At a minimum, this should include consideration of green technologies (e.g., green roofs, electric vehicle charging stations, battery-powered maintenance tools, refrigeration plants, etc.) and low-impact development practices (e.g., stormwater management, permeable surfaces, etc.) by building these items into City budgets.
7.2 Indoor Recreation Spaces

The City of London is committed to providing affordable, accessible, and quality community recreation facilities (e.g., community centres, arenas, etc.) for programming, rentals, community-based services and functions. Several of these facilities – initially built in the 1960s and 70s – have recently been revitalized to extend their service life.

Over time, more amenities that support people of all ages and abilities will be needed to respond to London's changing and growing population. Examples of in-demand features include accessible, age friendly facility designs, warm water pools, larger lobbies, social spaces, community kitchens, gymnasiaums, flexible spaces, walking loops, and more. Modern facilities are increasingly being designed as gathering spaces that respond to the ways in which people interact and how they access services, often in a drop-in manner.

Through the careful analysis of supply and demand factors (including community input, demographic and participation trends, alternate providers, etc.), as well as consideration of projects currently underway, facility needs for the next twenty years have been identified. These needs have been evaluated through an equity lens illustrated by the facility hierarchy identified in Section 7.1, offering service at the neighbourhood, district, and city-wide levels and beyond. Where gaps exist in the municipal inventory, the City may seek partnerships with other providers to improve access.

This Master Plan Identifies Needs at a High Level

A summary table illustrating existing inventories, recommended targets, and proposed strategies is contained at the end of this section. Mapping of indoor and outdoor recreation facilities is contained in Appendix A.

While the Master Plan identifies a long-range vision and guiding actions for the City’s consideration, further technical analysis (e.g., feasibility studies that examine location, design, programming, partners, etc.) is required when planning major infrastructure. This will occur throughout the Master Plan’s implementation phase with community engagement.

Community Centres

Community centres are inviting, inclusive, and accessible gathering places that allow people to engage in a variety of recreation programs, drop-in and social activities, and sport services. The City operates 24 community centres of varying sizes and models, including two large multi-use centres, five mid-size multi-use centres, sixteen neighbourhood centres, and one specialized facility.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification/Description</th>
<th>Municipal Facilities (2 locations)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Large Multi-Use Community Centre</strong>&lt;br&gt;Must contain at least:&lt;br&gt;• 1 gymnasium;&lt;br&gt;• 1 multi-purpose room;&lt;br&gt;• 1 indoor pool;&lt;br&gt;• Dedicated seniors and/or youth space; and,&lt;br&gt;• 1 other recreation facility (e.g., arena, library, fitness, etc.).</td>
<td><strong>2 Locations</strong>&lt;br&gt;Bostwick Community Centre, YMCA and Library*&lt;br&gt;Stoney Creek Community Centre, YMCA and Library*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mid-size Multi-Use Community Centre</strong>&lt;br&gt;Must contain at least:&lt;br&gt;• 1 gymnasium;&lt;br&gt;• 2 multi-purpose rooms; and,&lt;br&gt;• 1 other recreation facility (e.g., pool, arena, library, etc.).</td>
<td><strong>5 Locations</strong>&lt;br&gt;Carling Heights Optimist Community Centre&lt;br&gt;East Community Centre (2019)&lt;br&gt;Lambeth Community Centre&lt;br&gt;South London Community Centre&lt;br&gt;Stronach Community Recreation Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neighbourhood Community Centres</strong>&lt;br&gt;Includes stand-alone or multi-pad arenas, community rooms, small gym, etc.</td>
<td><strong>16 Locations</strong>&lt;br&gt;Argyle Arena&lt;br&gt;Boyle Memorial Community Centre&lt;br&gt;Byron Optimist Community Centre&lt;br&gt;Carling Recreation Centre&lt;br&gt;Civic Gardens Complex&lt;br&gt;Earl Nichols Recreation Centre&lt;br&gt;Farquharson Arena&lt;br&gt;Hamilton Road Seniors’ Centre &amp; Community Centre&lt;br&gt;Kinsmen Recreation Centre&lt;br&gt;Kiwanis Seniors’ Community Centre&lt;br&gt;Medway Community Centre&lt;br&gt;North London Optimist Community Centre&lt;br&gt;Oakridge Arena&lt;br&gt;Silverwood Arena (currently operating as a dry pad)&lt;br&gt;South London Community Pool&lt;br&gt;Springbank Gardens Community Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specialized Facility</strong>&lt;br&gt;Includes unique, City-wide facilities.</td>
<td><strong>1 Location</strong>&lt;br&gt;Canada Games Aquatic Centre</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Classification Source: 2009 Strategic Master Plan.<br>*Operated in partnership with a third party.<br>Note: Supply excludes Glen Cairn Arena, which has been removed from the inventory following the completion of the Bostwick Community Centre, YMCA and Library. Silverwood Arena is operating as a dry pad and is expected to remain in the inventory as a repurposed facility.
London has been proactive in ensuring that its community centres are positioned to meet the needs of both current and future residents across the city. In 2018, the City opened the Bostwick Community Centre, YMCA and Library (large multi-use centre). The East Community Centre (mid-size multi-use centre) is expected to be completed in 2019. Both facilities contain a variety of recreational and sport components that will address longstanding community needs.

Looking to the future, the Master Plan recommends the development of a mid-size multi-use community centre in Southeast London (including twin ice pads, large gymnasium, activity rooms, multi-use space, etc.). The acquisition of a suitable site is a critical first step in this process. Providing two new ice pads at this location would allow for Farquharson Arena to be decommissioned as an ice facility, consistent with previous studies and Council direction. Outdated and inefficient facilities – such as Silverwood Arena – may present opportunities to serve more contemporary roles within neighbourhoods should they be renovated or repurposed to include components such as activity space, multi-use community rooms, gymnasiums, and/or other in-demand spaces.

A gap in community centre distribution is emerging in Northwest London due to lack of capacity at existing facilities, combined with the area’s large and growing population base. A mid-size multi-use community centre is recommended (at a site to be determined), potentially consisting of an indoor pool, large gymnasium, and multi-use space. It is recognized that additional study is required to determine the preferred facility model in Northwest London, which could influence location(s), timing, and/or partners.

Population growth, distribution, and alternate providers are key considerations for the delivery of smaller neighbourhood community centres. Based on these criteria, the City should consider developing one neighbourhood centre in North London (first priority) and another in Central London (second priority) between 2024 and 2029. These neighbourhood centres may include large gymnasiums, community kitchens, multi-purpose spaces, and specialty/partnered spaces based on demonstrated needs. In the longer-term, one to two additional neighbourhood centres should be considered to address gap areas in South London.
Traditional models of facility provision may evolve as the city intensifies within the Primary Transit Area. The City will continue to adapt its facilities to serve people of all ages across London, setting priorities based on equity, demographics, utilization, and economic considerations. For example, community centres are increasingly including amenities and services such as child minding, flex space, sensory rooms, and therapeutic spaces, Wi-Fi, community kitchens, municipal information and services, emergency support, and other specialized community services in partnership with others. These and other considerations will be examined through the planning and design of new and renovated centres.

Furthermore, the public is supportive of collaborations with service providers such as schools, libraries, and non-profit agencies, including the development of neighbourhood hubs. Most residents support the co-location of community centres with other types of spaces and services. Opportunities to work with the London Public Library on future capital projects and with school boards on the reuse of former school sites should continue to be explored. To achieve the full potential of neighbourhood hubs, the City should continue to leverage its network of facilities to enhance awareness of and disseminate information on other City of London services.

Gymnasiums and activity spaces are common elements within many of London’s community centres. These flexible spaces can accommodate a wide range of activities, programs, events, and rentals and are in demand across the city. For example, pickleball lines have been painted on many gymnasium floors to accommodate this fast-growing activity. Most gymnasiums are at capacity and enhanced access to non-municipal facilities is critical to accommodating the City’s programs and the increasing needs of the sport community (e.g., volleyball, basketball, pickleball, badminton, etc.).

The creation of a Gymnasium Strategy is recommended to review access policies, other providers (schools, post secondary, non-profit, private, etc.), needs (rentals, community recreation, events, training, etc.), and provision strategies. Continued efforts to extend joint-use agreements remains a key objective, particularly where they can bolster neighbourhood-level access to community programs and rentals. Opportunities should also be sought to increase the supply of large municipal gymnasiums through the development of new community centres, as well as expansions to existing sites where practical (for a total of six more by 2039).
Some community centres contain specialized or unique spaces. For example, the City provides indoor courts (three tennis and two squash) and a dry floor pad at the North London Optimist Community Centre. Future community centres will be designed to include flexible multi-purpose spaces that can accommodate a variety of general interest, physical activity, age-specific, and fitness programming. Facilities such as child care centres, community services, and meeting rooms may also be clustered with indoor recreational facilities to encourage the creation of neighbourhood hubs.

London is an aging community. Trends and promising practices suggest a continued focus on accommodating seniors’ recreation activities within community centres, rather than developing additional single-use, dedicated spaces. Community interest was expressed for expanding the City’s senior satellite model to offer additional program hours within neighbourhoods experiencing high demand. The City should continue to seek opportunities to offer program models and activities that are convenient for older adults and seniors. It is a key objective to maximize the use of physical space and program offerings by supporting community interests.

Indoor Pools

The City’s Aquatics Services provide London families and individuals of all ages with opportunities to participate in unstructured aquatic activities and structured programs (including swimming lesson instruction, leadership development, fitness, and recreational swimming). Equally important are educational and outreach programs for drowning prevention and water safety. The City’s six indoor pools (including the new East Community Centre and two facilities that are operated in conjunction with the YMCA) play a large role in the delivery of these benefits to residents of London and surrounding municipalities. These facilities are supplemented by indoor pools operated by other providers, particularly non-profit organizations (e.g., YMCA, Boys and Girls Club, University, schools, etc.) that allow for community access.

The community has stated a desire for an improved distribution of indoor aquatics services to enable swimming activities closer to home. However, the magnitude of indoor aquatic centres is such that they cannot feasibly be provided in every community. New indoor pools must be properly justified and should be co-located with other community spaces.
The Master Plan seeks balance by proposing indoor pool developments in growing areas of the city, including a seventh community pool in **Northwest London**. Additional study is required to evaluate potential locations for the pool, such as within the proposed community centre for the area or as part of an existing facility. The consultation program found considerable interest for adding a 25-meter tank to the Canada Games Aquatic Centre in Northwest London; if located here, the additional tank could be used for warm-up/cool-down activities associated with meets, as well as swim programs.

**Long-term demand** for an eighth indoor pool location should be monitored and reassessed through the next Master Plan update, possibly in partnership with an alternate provider in Central London. Universal change rooms and barrier-free access are among the many design standards being recommended for modern aquatic facilities, both indoor and outdoor.

### Arenas

The City of London facilitates public access to 22 indoor ice pads at 11 facilities, including the four rinks at Western Fair Sports Centre that are operated through a third-party agreement. Budweiser Gardens (event venue), Thompson Recreation and Athletics Centre (Western University), and the London Sports Park (private provider) are excluded from the municipal supply, although it is recognized that these facilities help to alleviate pressures placed on London’s arenas.

Overall demand for indoor ice activities is not increasing as fast as London’s population and it is recommended that the City maintain a supply of 22 indoor ice pads until at least 2031. During this time, new arenas should only be provided as replacement facilities, typically through efficient multi-pad designs in conjunction with other community spaces.

Beyond 2031, a requirement for one additional ice pad is projected, which may be achieved through a multi-pad replacement and/or partnered project. In the interim, facility usage and registration trends should be monitored, as should capacities and capital plans in adjacent municipalities.

Consistent with the direction established in the 2017 Interim Update, the City will remove **Glen Cairn Arena** and repurpose **Silverwood Arena** into alternate uses now that the ice pads have been replaced through the arena at the Bostwick Community Centre. The future of Silverwood Arena will be guided by a feasibility study and community stakeholder engagement process.

**Farquharson Arena** is an aging, under-utilized facility with several functional shortcomings. As per previous studies and Council direction, Farquharson Arena is to be decommissioned once the ice pads can be replaced at the proposed Southeast Multipurpose Recreation Centre. Recognizing the potential to repurpose the site to other non-ice uses that strengthens the local community and offer responsive and accessible programming, additional discussions with the landowner (Thames Valley District School Board) are underway regarding the future of this facility.

It is acknowledged that the repurposing and/or removal of older single pad arenas requires site- and community-specific assessments. Several requests for the adaptive reuse of facilities were received through the Master Plan’s consultation phase, including (but not limited to):

- Dry pads for floor sports such as lacrosse, ball hockey, roller derby, or skateboarding (the City is currently testing this option at Silverwood Arena and also offers a dry floor at North London Optimist Community Centre);
- Community space (e.g., gymnasiuims, activity rooms, etc.); and,
- Specialized spaces (e.g., curling sheets, indoor track, etc.).

The City will continue to undertake renewal projects as required to update and modernize aging arenas. Where supported by community input and technical analysis, long-term consideration may be given to phasing out of the City’s remaining single pad arenas in favour of multi-pad and multi-use facilities.
Table 9: Municipal Indoor Recreation Facility Inventory & Future Development Strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Type</th>
<th>Municipality Supply (2019)</th>
<th>Changes to Supply since 2009 Plan</th>
<th>Updated Target</th>
<th>Additional Facilities Required by 2039</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Community Centres     | 7 large/mid-size multi-use centres: Large (2): Bostwick CC, Stoney Creek CC  
Mid-size (5): CHOCC, East CC, Lambeth CC, South London CC, Stronach CRC  
16 neighbourhood centres: Argyle Arena, Boyle Memorial CC, Byron Optimist CC, Carling Recreation Centre, Civic Gardens, Earl Nichols RC, Farquharson Arena, Hamilton Road Seniors CC, Kinsmen RC, Kiwanis Seniors CC, Medway CC, NLOCC, Oakridge Arena, Silverwood Arena, South London Community Pool, Springbank Gardens CC  
Note: Some centres contain specialized spaces, such as indoor courts | Three new large Multi-use Centres: Bostwick CC, East CC, Stoney Creek CC  
1 per 55,000 population (Multi-use CCs)  
1 per 25,000 population (Neighbourhood Centres) | 2 additional multi-use centres  
3 to 4 additional neighbourhood centres |
| Arenas                | 22 ice pads:  
Quad (4 total pads): Western Fair Sports Centre (agreement)  
Triple (3 total pads): Earl Nichols  
Twin (12 total pads): Argyle, Bostwick, Carling, Farquharson, Kinsmen, Stronach  
Single: (3 total pads): Lambeth, Medway, Oakridge | No change to supply. Bostwick CC (2 pads) replaced Glen Cairn and Silverwood Arenas | 1 per 425 organized youth participants | Up to 1 additional ice pad to meet long-term needs |
| Indoor Pools          | 6 locations:  
Olympic (1): Canada Games Aquatic Centre  
Competition (4): Bostwick, CHOCC, East CC, Stoney Creek Community (1): South London | Three new aquatic complexes: Bostwick CC, East CC, Stoney Creek CC | 1 per 65,000 population | 1 to 2 additional indoor pool locations |
| Fitness Centres       | 3 locations: Bostwick CC (YMCA), CHOCC (fitness room), Stoney Creek CC (YMCA) | Two new locations: Bostwick CC, Stoney Creek CC | None – not a core municipal service | Evaluate partnership opportunities |
| Gymnasiums            | 10 locations: Bostwick CC, Boyle CC, Byron Optimist CC, CHOCC, East CC, Lambeth CC, NLOCC, South London CC, Stoney Creek CC, Stronach CRC | Three new locations: Bostwick CC, East CC, Stoney Creek CC | 1 per 30,000 population | 6 additional locations |
| Older Adult Spaces    | 9 locations:  
Seniors’ Centres (2): Hamilton Road, Kiwanis  
Satellites (7): various | Seniors’ Satellites are new | No additional stand-alone centres | Expansion of senior satellite model on a case-by-case basis |
Recommendations

Community Centres

48. Develop a mid size, multi-use community centre in **Southeast London**, with an emphasis on securing an alternate site (considering the site selection criteria developed in 2010) in the short term. Proposed components (to be confirmed through community and partner consultation) include twin ice pads (as a replacement for Farquharson Arena), large gymnasium, activity rooms, and multi use space. Potential partnerships will be considered.

49. Develop a mid size multi use community centre in **Northwest London** (following the Southeast London project). Proposed components (to be confirmed through community and potential partner consultation) include an indoor pool, large gymnasium, activity rooms, and multi-use space. Potential partnerships will be considered. Additional study is required to determine the preferred facility provision model, which could influence location(s), timing, and/or potential partners.

50. Expand the network of **neighbourhood community centres** by establishing a facility in North London and another in Central London between 2024 and 2029. Neighbourhood centres would generally include large gymnasiums, community kitchens, multi-purpose spaces, and/or specialty/partnered spaces based on demonstrated needs. In the longer term, one to two additional neighbourhood centres should be considered to address gap areas in South London. Traditional models of provision may evolve as the city intensifies within the Primary Transit Area.

51. Build **gymnasiums and multi use activity space** as part of each proposed multi use and neighbourhood centre, for a total of six new gymnasiums by 2039. Consider opportunities to add gymnasiums to existing centres or repurposed facilities to assist in meeting this goal.

52. Prepare a **Gymnasium Strategy** to review current access policies, other providers, needs, and provision strategies, with a goal of enhancing access to large gymnasiums for programs, events, and rentals.

53. Establish a strategy to **expand the senior satellite model** in consultation with stakeholders, with a view toward coordinated service delivery at the neighbourhood-level. Considerations include:

   a) Adding a new satellite site in the short-term;

   b) Program expansion, low cost and/or unstructured options, sustainable multi site membership model, and expanded hours at locations that are experiencing high attendance and unmet demand;

   c) Establishing criteria for evaluating priorities and track performance over time; and,

   d) Working with Parks Planning to identify outdoor spaces that can be used to complement programming at seniors centres and satellites.

Indoor Pools

54. Work with local users to ensure that the **Canada Games Aquatic Centre** remains able to host competitions and meets, with consideration being given to pool depth, technical requirements, and support spaces.

55. Develop a new indoor 25 metre 6 lane pool for community use in **Northwest London** in the short-term. Further study is required to determine if the pool is best provided as part of the proposed large multi-use community centre or through an expansion to the Canada Games Aquatic Centre.

56. Reassess longer term demand for an **eighth municipal indoor pool location** through the next Master Plan update, possibly in partnership with an alternate provider in Central London.
Recommendations

Arenas

57. Maintain public access to 22 indoor ice pads until 2031, at which point planning may begin for **one additional ice pad** (as a multi pad replacement and/or partnered project). Long term consideration may be given to **phasing out single pad arenas** in favour of multi pad facilities with community space. To confirm these directions, facility usage and registration trends should be monitored, as should capacities and capital plans in adjacent municipalities.

58. Continue to examine and assess the need for **dry pads for floor sports** and community activities. Where supported by demonstrated demand, consider opportunities to repurpose under utilized spaces.

59. Repurpose **Silverwood Arena** to alternate community uses. Initiate a Request for Expression of Interest and/or Proposal process (with identified objectives and outcomes) and feasibility study (with community input) to guide the project.

60. Remove **Glen Cairn Arena** as a municipal capital asset as it is surplus to community needs.

61. Include two ice pads as part of the proposed multi use community centre in **Southeast London**. Upon opening, remove the ice pads at **Farquharson Arena** from the inventory. Continue discussions with the landowner (Thames Valley District School Board) regarding the future of Farquharson Arena.
7.3 Outdoor Recreation Amenities

This section examines outdoor recreation facilities and park amenities, such as outdoor aquatic facilities, sports fields, playgrounds, courts, skate and bike parks, golf courses, Storybook Gardens, off-leash dog parks, community gardens, and more – totalling nearly 600 recreational amenities. Responsibilities for the planning, development, maintenance, and operation of these amenities are shared by several City service areas. Recommendations for recreational trails and pathways are contained in Section 6.2 and recommendations for the planning and design of the city’s parks and open space network (including policy directions) are contained in Section 7.4.

The assessment of outdoor facility needs to 2039 is based on a mixture of inputs, including public and stakeholder input, demographics and growth, gaps, participation trends, alternative providers, parks observation audit, etc. Many recommendations are supported by targets that are specific to London’s unique demand factors, while others represent best practices that the City will work to achieve over time. In instances where the City has prepared recent policy or technical reports, the identified strategies have been updated and reflected within the Master Plan.

Outdoor Aquatics

Outdoor aquatic facilities are important community resources that provide opportunities for fun, recreation, and instruction within an outdoor setting. They appeal to children, families, and recreational swimmers (e.g., lane swimmers) and are especially well used on hot summer days and for day camps. Depending on the type of facility, residents are seeking a wide variety of features at each location, such as shade, washrooms, universal change rooms, heated water, beach entries, interactive components, ample deck space, etc. The City has recognized this through the recent rehabilitation of several outdoor pools (e.g., Byron, Northeast, Southcrest, and Westminster) and development of new spray pads.

London’s eleven outdoor swimming pools have capacity to accommodate additional usage. Due to variable demand, high operating costs, the short season, and susceptibility to changing weather, no additional outdoor pools are recommended. In addition, many of London’s outdoor and wading pools have exceeded their anticipated lifespan and offer limited appeal to the neighbourhoods they serve. Across London, outdoor pool usage declined by 19% between 2012 and 2017. The significant cost of renewal and redevelopment must be weighed against factors such as service levels, usage trends, and other recreation needs. As a result, an increase in the number of year-
round indoor pools is recommended to serve future growth.

There remains a need to rationalize the City’s long-term supply of outdoor pools and to pursue strategies to enhance use and efficiencies, such as the introduction of new activities. With the closure of Silverwood and Glen Cairn Arenas – and opening of the East Community Centre indoor pool – removal of the associated community pools should be considered, as well as the development of a spray pad and/or park space (in consultation with the community). The viability and usage of the two remaining older community pools within the inventory (Northridge and Oakridge) and their associated support buildings should be evaluated prior to undertaking major capital repairs.

It is recommended that the City develop a strategy to assess how its pools are used and to guide future programming and reinvestment priorities, such as adding shade, deck space, new features, universal change rooms, heaters/solar panels, etc. The strategy should also consider the sustainability and public accessibility of non-profit community pools. Opportunities to introduce new programming, accessible services, and modernization initiatives may assist the City in maintaining or increasing usage levels over time.

The City’s nine neighbourhood-based wading pools offer affordable access to unstructured activities for young children, allowing them to be introduced to shallow water. However, the outdoor aquatic experience is changing and the appeal of wading pools is limited compared to other amenities that are growing in popularity. Wading pool usage is significantly lower than that of outdoor swimming pools and many are serving neighbourhoods with declining child populations. Like outdoor pools, wading pools are also costly to operate due largely to the staffing requirements.

Based on direction from the 2009 Strategic Master Plan, the City has removed nine aging wading pools from the inventory. It is recommended that the City continue its practice of decommissioning park site wading pools or converting them to spray pads or other amenities based on low utilization and/or prior to undertaking major capital repairs. Potential candidates requiring further study and community consultation include: McMahen, Meredith, Murray, Silverwood, Smith, and University Heights. Criteria for wading pool decommissioning should be developed. No additional wading pools are recommended.

The City frequently receives requests for the installation of spray pads across London. It is recognized that they are not neighbourhood-level amenities due to their cost and level of use – many attract high levels of use that needs to be supported by off-street parking, washrooms, shade, and other recreational amenities (features frequently associated with indoor recreation facilities).

Based on a revised target and gap analysis, it is projected that five additional spray pads (for a total of 21) will be required by 2039. In the short-term, projects are planned within Foxfield Park and Riverbend Park. Over the longer-term, spray pads may be considered for North London (one spray pad) and Southwest (two spray pads). New spray pads should be provided through park development
projects or wading pool conversions that fill gaps in underserved areas, with an emphasis on district-level sites with washrooms, parking, and shade. Consideration may be given to different levels of spray pad design (e.g., basic and enhanced).

**Sports Fields**

The City allocates 130 rectangular sports fields for activities such as soccer, football, and rugby. With over 15,500 registered players in London, soccer is the predominant user of these fields. Any new demand is largely driven by a shift toward skill development and adult play as London’s youth registration figures are unchanged from ten years ago. Although participation in field sports other than soccer is increasing, they account for a much lower proportion of municipal field use. Requests were received for additional artificial turf fields as these accommodate the widest range of sports over an extended season.

The number of rectangular sports fields is adequate at the present time, but demand is expected to increase as the city grows. It is projected that up to 28 additional rectangular fields (unlit equivalents (9)) will be required by 2039 – a rate of approximately three fields every two years. The City will work with partners to achieve this.

Guided by previous Master Plans, the City has undertaken improvements at several ball diamonds (often in partnership with minor sport groups) and removed lower quality diamonds from the inventory. The City currently allocates 73 ball diamonds. Where once there was a surplus of fields, recent increases in participation are placing pressures on existing diamonds. Part of the demand is associated with the recent closure of the non-municipal Southwest London Baseball Complex (Dreamers). Fortunately, the City has been able to accommodate most active players within its inventory since this complex closed, aided by upgrades to existing diamonds.

The City’s primary focus is on meeting City-wide ball diamond needs for youth. Adult players are accommodated where scheduling allows (particularly on lit diamonds), but the development of an adult ball complex (e.g., a replacement for the Southwest London Baseball Complex) is not likely to be achieved without collaboration and outside funding. To serve existing users and accommodate future growth, there will be a need for approximately 12.5 additional ball diamonds by 2039 (unlit equivalents (10)).

**Cricket** is an emerging sport in the city and is presently served by one non-regulation size pitch at North London Athletic Fields. Interest in the sport is being driven by London’s diverse and growing population, though fields can be difficult to create due to their large land base. There is sufficient demand to develop a second full-size cricket pitch (potentially spanning two rectangular sports fields). Longer-term needs should be examined once the second pitch is fully operational.

To address current and future needs for rectangular fields, ball diamonds, and cricket pitches, the City will employ a variety of strategies, including:

- New park development, such as the proposed Killaly Fields and Foxfield District Park;
- Enhancements to the existing supply, such as grading, drainage, lighting, irrigation, expansion, etc.; and,
- Access to existing non-municipal fields, such as schools.

Regarding the latter strategy, there is presently no shared use agreement in place to manage public access to school sports fields, although some collaboration occurs on a site-specific basis. Given the large land base required for sports fields, opportunities to maximize community use of all public recreational lands – including schools and their sports fields – should continue to be pursued.

**Fieldhouses** are unstaffed, stand-alone, and seasonal structures containing washrooms, change rooms, concessions, storage, and/or meeting rooms. They are commonly provided in sports parks to support large sports field complexes. Requests were received from user groups for the expansion and development of additional fieldhouses, citing a need for more and larger structures to support their activities and events. Current funding levels are insufficient to address the full range of community requests; therefore, an updated approach to fieldhouse provision is required, with consideration of appropriate service levels, capital requirements, public access, and management responsibilities.

(9) Each lit natural and artificial turf field provides the equivalent capacity of 1.5 and 2.0 unlit natural fields during peak season, respectively.
(10) Each lit ball diamond provides the equivalent capacity of 2.0 unlit ball diamonds.
Playgrounds

Equity and geographic accessibility are vital to identifying playground needs, which are consistently identified among the most desirable local-level recreation amenities. To achieve this, the City has established a target of providing one playground generally within an 800m radius of every residential area (without crossing a major arterial road or physical barrier). Presently, there are 164 playground structures at 141 park sites across London. Over time, additional playgrounds will be required to resolve gaps (Medway and Central London) and serve greenfield growth areas.

Many of London’s playgrounds require replacement as they are approaching the end of their life. Current funding levels are insufficient to replace playgrounds in line with life expectancy and to address associated landscaping, site furniture, and supporting amenities. The design of playgrounds should continue to evolve (with a growing focus on accessible components, natural play areas, challenging/adventure play, etc.), which will also require increased internal and external funding.

For adults, municipalities are increasingly providing outdoor fitness equipment (adult playgrounds) that rely on body weight resistance. London currently has one such installation (located at Capulet Park in Northwest London) and another one to be built in Springbank Park in 2019. These amenities can improve balance, speed, and coordination, as well as reduce social isolation and associated behaviours.

To facilitate no-cost unstructured outdoor fitness opportunities, the City should consider adding adult fitness equipment to selected parks or trails on a case-by-case basis. Similarly, designated tai chi / exercise areas may also be identified. Conditions for success include safe locations, community support, instructions for use, adjacency to indoor spaces with access to washrooms, and proximity to older adult and populations that value outdoor recreation.
Outdoor Courts

The City provides 59 outdoor tennis courts throughout London. Interest in tennis is rising in Canada, leading to demand for higher quality courts. However, there is capacity for additional use at many London courts. While growth projections support up to eleven additional courts by 2039, a Tennis / Multi-use Court Strategy is needed to:

- Identify locations for future tennis and/or multi-use courts (e.g., tennis, basketball, pickleball, ball hockey, ice skating, etc.), with a focus on addressing gaps in distribution. New tennis courts should be concentrated at City-Wide or District Parks, in groups of two or more.
- Evaluate the condition of courts and establish a prioritized list for replacement or removal.
- Consider opportunities to repurpose underutilized courts to other recreation uses.
- Identify a funding strategy to support the construction and lifecycle renewal of courts.

Since the 2009 Strategic Master Plan was prepared, the City has also begun constructing outdoor pickleball courts and now has six courts at three sites. Pickleball is a new and fast-growing sport that is popular with older adults, although it can be played by all ages in an indoor or outdoor setting. Where supported by demonstrated demand, pickleball courts may be constructed in areas with demonstrated demand. Where possible, they should be co-located with tennis courts in groups of two or more to facilitate simultaneous play and be supported by shade, washrooms, and nearby parking. Opportunities to develop a complex of four or more pickleball courts should be explored further with potential partners/funders.

New outdoor basketball courts have been a key point of emphasis in park development projects since the 2009 Strategic Master Plan was approved. To enhance distribution, courts should be provided in key gap areas (Central London, Oakridge, Medway, Westmount/Highland, and Byron), supported by further study. Courts should continue to be considered in parks within growing areas; a minimum of nine additional hoops will be required over the master plan period to serve growth. Half courts have been a staple of recent park designs and may be considered within smaller park sites. For larger or higher-level parks, trends suggest a growing emphasis on multi-use courts that can accommodate multiple sports and activities, such as basketball, ball hockey, ice skating, tennis, etc.

The City has fourteen outdoor beach volleyball courts, all of which are at the North London Athletic Fields. Ten of these courts were completed in 2018 to support the Ontario Summer Games, funded by grants from the Ontario Volleyball Association and the Summer Games organization. The current supply is sufficient to meet foreseeable demands, although additional courts may be considered based on emerging requirements.
Skate and Bike Parks

Skateboarding and other action sports are mainstream pursuits (primarily for youth, but increasingly for adults). Municipalities and other providers are seeking safe and suitable locations to accommodate these sports; a non-municipal indoor skatepark recently opened in the city to serve year-round demand. Investing in unstructured activities responds to national trends of declining participation in organized sports.

There are 13 skate parks in London; each varies in size, design, and range of amenities such as ramps, railings, ledges and wedges, and more. The City’s Outdoor Skateboard Park Implementation Strategy has guided investment for several years and should be updated, including a review of skate park typologies, needs, and potential locations. Site selection criteria should be revisited, as well as design standards (such as lighting of City-wide skate parks, etc.).

In the interim, it is recommended that the City seek to develop district-level skate parks in Southwest and Southeast London, pending the identification of suitable sites. The siting of skateboard parks has been a challenge for the City due to perceived impacts; therefore, additional neighbourhood-level skate parks should only be pursued where there is demonstrated demand, a gap in service (e.g., Northwest and Southwest London), and a suitable location that is locally supported. Locations and designs should be confirmed through consultation with youth, the skateboarding community, and local neighbourhoods.

The City has been receiving requests for bicycle-based infrastructure in parks (e.g., dirt jumps, pump tracks, technical bike parks, and cross-country mountain biking facilities) dating back to the 2003 Strategic Master Plan. The City does not currently provide any dedicated BMX or mountain bike parks, although some non-municipal facilities permit these types of activities. To promote responsible riding outside of protected natural areas, it is recommended that the City support these activities through the development of an initial outdoor BMX/bike park, guided by a feasibility study. Longer-term supplies should be informed by a strategy that identifies capital projects and practices that can support the sport, as well as requirements for minimizing risk.
Other Outdoor Sites and Amenities

Off-leash dog parks provide pet owners with the opportunity to exercise and socialize with their dogs in a controlled area. These spaces are also beneficial for residents and community interaction, particularly for residents living in isolation. There are currently five off-leash dog parks in London. The establishment of new dog parks is a lower priority at this time; however, gaps will develop in Northwest and Southwest London as the city grows. A site selection exercise and community consultation should be completed should demand be demonstrated in these areas. To address growth in intensification areas, the City should develop a tiered model of dog park designs and work with developers to provide amenity space for dog owners.

The City provides refrigerated outdoor ice skating rinks in Victoria Park and Convent Garden Market and supports neighbourhood rinks (natural ice) maintained by volunteer groups. The number of neighbourhood-supported outdoor ice rinks can vary from year-to-year depending on requests, volunteer commitment, and weather conditions. Rinks are used for recreational skating and shinny. No new refrigerated rinks are anticipated during the life of this Master Plan; however, the design of new multi-use courts (including pads with boards), may provide opportunities to support additional community rinks and year-round use.

Community gardens are integral to building a healthy, green city with a strong, resilient food system. They form part of the City's Urban Agriculture Strategy and are guided by the London Plan and Community Gardens Strategic Plan. With 17 locations and over 450 plots, community gardens provide healthy and fresh food choices, enhance food security, bring residents together, and offer educational and stewardship opportunities. To encourage participation by all, the City continues to undertake accessibility improvements at existing community gardens and explore opportunities to provide new gardens in parks with sufficient space, support infrastructure, and accessible features. Growing interest has been expressed for the establishment of pollinator projects (a trend seen across parks systems throughout Canada). The City has been supporting these projects for over fifteen years; further opportunities to enable community projects should be explored.

The City of London has a long history of providing high quality, accessible, and affordable golf experiences for Londoners and visitors. Participation in golf encourages healthy outdoor activity and social opportunities for all ages and abilities. Through its Municipal Golf Business Plan, the City will continue to seek ways to improve playability, conditioning, and presentation of the golf courses in delivering an experience appropriate for its customers. This includes (but is not limited to) opportunities to extend year-round use and maximizing clubhouse facilities as community space. A review of the golf course service delivery model and standards will be undertaken during the life of this Plan.

Storybook Gardens is a unique, admission-based local learning and recreation facility that contributes to the diversity of recreation services in London. Serving over 135,000 visitors per year, Storybook Gardens provides a unique and memorable setting for people to come together, to connect and engage with each other, and to participate in special events and activities. The park's naturalized environment and various features encourage children to explore in an interactive way and for families to play and learn together. Attendance at Storybook Gardens has been rising in recent years, supported by an increased focus on year-round programs and events. The City will continue to support Storybook Gardens through investment and initiatives that meet the changing needs and expectations of visitors.

Several London parks, civic spaces, and facilities support special events ranging from neighbourhood gatherings to Canada Day festivities and everything in between. Cultural, sporting, and community events provide opportunities for Londoners to come together to participate in a safe, respectful, and engaging manner. London's event hosting capacity is well suited to accommodate a wide range of community events at well-known sites such as Victoria Park, Harris Park, Springbank Gardens, Greenway Park, and more. However, the number and complexity of events are continually increasing, placing added pressure on resources and infrastructure. Overuse and the staging of events in spaces not designed for intense use can have negative impacts on the parks system and surrounding area. New civic spaces and event areas (e.g., Dundas Place) are being developed to address these concerns and increase event
capacity. Continued investment in infrastructure to support special events (e.g., civic spaces, Victoria Park Kiwanis Memorial Bandshell, drive zones, and turf applications to reduce wear and tear, etc.) and assist organizers is vital. Additional parks and civic spaces that support events should be considered.

The City does not presently have a service level for seating areas. Traditionally provided along trails and pathways and near amenities such as playgrounds, there is a movement toward the thoughtful placement and incorporation of seating areas in a variety of settings. With the aging population and growing unstructured use of parks and civic spaces, demand for seating amenities is on the rise. Seating – such as benches and other forms of urban furniture – helps to create welcoming spaces that bring the community together. Benches give people a place to rest, meet with or be around others, and connect with nature in a communal and accessible setting. Seating is a critical element of placemaking and should be planned in areas where pedestrian movement is encouraged. A service standard for seating should be developed to support the City’s efforts related to park and civic space design, active transportation, and complete streets.

In addition to the amenities discussed above, there are several unique public spaces that are used for various parks, recreation programs, and sport services facilities, such as (but not limited to) Dundas Place flex street. These public spaces support opportunities to strengthen our community through passive recreation, gatherings, and events. They are key building blocks that bring people together and their contributions to enhancing the quality of life in London should be recognized through the Master Plan’s implementation.
Table 10: Municipal Outdoor Recreation Facility Inventory & Future Development Strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Type</th>
<th>Municipal Supply (2019)</th>
<th>Changes to Supply since 2009 Plan</th>
<th>Updated Provision Target</th>
<th>Additional Facilities Required by 2039</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outdoor Aquatics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Swimming and Wading Pools</td>
<td>11 swimming pool locations 9 wading pool locations</td>
<td>One fewer swimming pool (East Lions) and nine fewer wading pools (Byron, Doidge, East Lions, Fairmont, Kiwanis, Oakridge, Southcrest, Westminster, White Oaks)</td>
<td>No additional pools</td>
<td>No additional pools; some pools may be removed through attrition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spray Pads</td>
<td>16 locations (plus Storybook Gardens)</td>
<td>Seven additional spray pads (Constitution, Ed Blake, Meadowgate, Medway, Oakridge, Queens, South London)</td>
<td>1 spray pad per 4,000 children (ages 0-14)</td>
<td>5 additional spray pads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sports Fields</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectangular Sports Fields</td>
<td>130 fields (137 unlit equivalents) School fields excluded</td>
<td>35.5 additional fields (ULE)</td>
<td>1 per 2,000 residents age 0-54 years</td>
<td>Up to 28 additional fields (ULE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ball Diamonds</td>
<td>73 diamonds (80 unlit equivalents) School fields excluded</td>
<td>7.5 fewer diamonds (ULE)</td>
<td>1 per 75 youth participants or 150 adult participants</td>
<td>Up to 12.5 additional diamonds (ULE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cricket Pitches</td>
<td>1 pitch</td>
<td>Not inventoried</td>
<td>Based on demonstrated demand</td>
<td>1 additional cricket pitch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fieldhouses</td>
<td>33 with public washrooms</td>
<td>Not inventoried</td>
<td>Based on demonstrated demand</td>
<td>To coincide with major sport field development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Playgrounds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playgrounds</td>
<td>140 locations (163 play structures, plus additional free-standing swings and components)</td>
<td>29 new locations</td>
<td>1 per 800 metres within residential areas</td>
<td>Additional playgrounds to serve gap and growth areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Exercise Equipment</td>
<td>1 installation</td>
<td>One new installation</td>
<td>No target set</td>
<td>Site-specific analysis required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Type</td>
<td>Municipal Supply (2019)</td>
<td>Changes to Supply since 2009 Plan</td>
<td>Updated Provision Target</td>
<td>Additional Facilities Required by 2039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Courts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis Courts</td>
<td>59 courts (54 unlit and 5 lit)</td>
<td>Seven fewer tennis courts</td>
<td>1 per 7,000 population</td>
<td>11 additional tennis courts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pickleball Courts</td>
<td>6 courts</td>
<td>All pickleball courts are new</td>
<td>No target set; based on demonstrated demand</td>
<td>Site-specific analysis required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketball Courts</td>
<td>47 courts (30 full courts, 17 half courts, and 6 hoops at multi-use courts for a total of 83 hoops)</td>
<td>21 additional hoops (mostly via new full courts)</td>
<td>1 hoop per 750 youth (ages 10-19) applied to future growth</td>
<td>Minimum of 9 new hoops, plus others to fill gaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Use Courts</td>
<td>12 courts</td>
<td>Not inventoried</td>
<td>No target set</td>
<td>Site-specific analysis required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Volleyball Courts</td>
<td>4 courts</td>
<td>Not inventoried</td>
<td>No target set; based on demonstrated demand</td>
<td>Site-specific analysis required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Skate and Bike Parks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skate Parks</td>
<td>13 locations</td>
<td>Six new locations (Constitution, Kiwanis, Medway, Springbank, St. Julien, Stoney Creek)</td>
<td>Target based on geographic distribution</td>
<td>2 district-level skate parks; neighbourhood-level skate parks on a case-by-case basis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMX / Mountain Bike Parks</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1 to serve entire city</td>
<td>1 to serve entire city</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Outdoor Sites and Amenities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Ice Rinks</td>
<td>2 locations (refrigerated), plus park sites (natural)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>No target set</td>
<td>Site-specific analysis required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-Leash Dog Parks</td>
<td>5 locations</td>
<td>Two new off-leash dog areas (Campbell and Caesars)</td>
<td>No target set; based on demonstrated demand</td>
<td>Site-specific analysis required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Gardens</td>
<td>16 locations</td>
<td>Not inventoried</td>
<td>No target set</td>
<td>Site-specific analysis required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: Each lit rectangular sports field is equivalent to 1.5 unlit fields; each lit artificial turf field is equivalent to 2.0 unlit fields.
Note 2: Each lit ball diamond is equivalent to 2.0 unlit diamonds.
Note 3: Each half court is equivalent to 0.5 of a full basketball court.
Recommendations

Outdoor Aquatics

62. Develop five additional **spray pads** (for a total of 21) by 2039, with a focus on identified gap areas (Foxfield Park, Riverbend Park, one in North London, and two in Southwest London). New spray pads should be provided through park development projects or wading pool conversions, with an emphasis on district level sites with existing washrooms, parking, and shade. Consideration may be given to different levels of spray pad design (e.g., basic and enhanced), as well as options for recirculated/treated water systems.

63. Assess usage trends at **outdoor swimming pools** and develop a strategy to guide future programming and reinvestment priorities, including consideration of the City’s role in ensuring community access to non profit community pools. No additional outdoor swimming pools are recommended.

64. Continue to reduce the number of **wading pools** within City parks and develop criteria for wading pool decommissioning. Wading pools that are under utilized, in poor condition, serving aging communities, in close proximity to alternative aquatic services and/or are not associated with outdoor pools are likely candidates for removal. They may be replaced with spray pads or other in demand park amenities identified through community consultation.

Sports Fields

65. Develop up to 28 additional **rectangular sports fields** (unlit equivalents) by 2039 through new park development, improvements that create capacity (e.g., upgrades such as adding lights, expanding fields, etc.), and enhancing access to non municipal fields. Where possible, priority should be placed on multi field complexes with full size, lit, and irrigated fields (including artificial turf fields that extend the season and accommodate multiple sports).

66. Develop up to 12.5 additional **ball diamonds** (unlit equivalents) by 2039 through new park development, improvements that create capacity (e.g., adding lights), and enhancing access to non-municipal diamonds. Most of these diamonds are required in the short term to accommodate the recent increase in youth participation and loss of fields at the Southwest London Baseball Complex. Where possible, priority should be placed on multi field complexes with full size, lit diamonds.

67. Develop a second full size **cricket pitch** (potentially spanning two rectangular sports fields). Longer-term needs should be examined once the second pitch is fully operational and usage patterns can be assessed.

68. Continue to undertake **upgrades and improvements** to existing sports fields, supported by demonstrated demand and in cooperation with sports organizations. Examples include field dimensions, lighting, grading, irrigation, drainage, turf, infield improvements, fencing, benches, shelters, etc. Efforts should be made to add lights to fields prior to nearby residential construction taking place.

69. Continue to work with local **school boards** to improve the quality of school fields as demand grows. By enhancing public access to quality, non municipal fields, the City will be able to add capacity and reduce development costs. Options for improving the quality and maintenance of school fields should also be explored.

70. Update the **fieldhouse strategy** to confirm the preferred level of service and development and renewal needs.

71. Develop a **sports field allocation policy** and integrate emerging sports into existing allocation policies.
Recommendations

Playgrounds

72. Seek a balanced distribution of playgrounds by providing one play structure generally within an 800 metre radius of every residential area (without crossing a major arterial road or physical barrier).

73. Design new and redeveloped playgrounds for accessibility (including surfacing and components), as well as consideration of challenging/adventure and natural play areas. The standard for City Wide and District Parks should be fully accessible playgrounds with rubber surfacing. Playgrounds in Neighbourhood Parks should generally have engineered woodchip surfacing with consideration to partially accessible playground structures.

74. Develop a process and criteria to prioritize playground replacement, relocation, and/or removal to deal with the gap in replacement funding.

75. Consider adding adult fitness equipment to selected parks or pathways on a case by case basis. These opportunities should be supported by the local community and be in proximity to indoor spaces with access to washrooms.

Outdoor Courts

76. Prepare a Tennis / Multi-use Court Strategy to: validate future needs (up to eleven additional courts by 2039); identify gaps and potential locations; establish priorities for upgrades, replacement, removal, or repurposing; and, identify a business case and funding strategy to support court construction and renewal.

77. Evaluate outdoor pickleball court needs on a case by case basis, with a preference for locating them in areas with demonstrated demand. Opportunities to accommodate a pickleball complex of four or more courts (supported with amenities such as shade, washrooms, and nearby parking) should be explored further.

78. Resolve gaps in outdoor basketball court distribution (Central London, Oakridge, Medway, Westmount/Highland, and Byron) and consider basketball courts in parks within growing areas (a minimum of nine additional hoops will be required by 2039 to serve growth). Where appropriate, consideration should be given to multi use court designs that can accommodate multiple sports and activities, such as basketball, ball hockey, ice skating, etc.

79. Where feasible, continue to encourage the development and operation of neighbourhood outdoor ice rinks (natural ice) where supported by community requests and volunteer efforts. Consider opportunities on a case by case basis to develop boarded multi use pads that can be used for ball hockey and other activities in the summer and natural ice skating in the winter.

Skate and Bike Parks

80. Update the Outdoor Skateboard Park Implementation Strategy to reflect preferred skate park typologies, needs, design standards (including lighting of City-wide skate parks), site selection criteria, and potential locations.

81. Identify suitable sites for the development of two district level skate parks (Southwest London, Southeast London). Additional neighbourhood level skate parks may be considered where there is demonstrated demand, a gap in service, and a suitable location that is locally supported. Locations and designs should be confirmed through consultation with youth, the skateboarding community, and local neighbourhoods.
Recommendations

82. Initiate a feasibility study involving community engagement, site selection, and design processes to confirm the need expressed for a dedicated **BMX and/or mountain bike park**.

**Other Outdoor Sites and Amenities**

83. Provide a balanced distribution of **off leash dog parks**, including consideration of new parks in Northwest and Southwest London over the longer term. Site-specific analysis, community consultation, and partnerships are required as securing suitable locations can be a challenge.

84. Develop a **tiered model of dog park designs** to enable options at the neighbourhood level, particularly in areas of residential intensification. Opportunities to work with developers to provide amenity space for dog owners may also be considered.

85. Continue to support the **community garden program** and related initiatives (e.g., pollinator habitat, community kitchens, etc.) through strategies that encourage broad participation, as identified in the City’s Urban Agriculture Strategy and Community Gardens Strategic Plan, an emphasis should be placed on community garden development in neighbourhoods.

86. Undertake a review of the **golf service delivery model and standards**, with a focus on affordable and inclusive golf opportunities. The review should consider the potential expansion of services that would encourage year round use of clubhouse and/or courses.

87. Continue to update and implement the **Storybook Gardens Business Plan** to meet the changing needs and expectations of visitors, with the goal of supporting a unique programming environment that provides opportunities for children to build developmental assets and for families to foster connections.

88. Continue to refine practices and procedures that support the animation of parks and civic spaces through **special events**.

89. Develop a service standard for **seating areas** to support the City’s efforts related to park and civic space design, active transportation, and complete streets.
7.4 Parks Planning and Design

Great parks provide spaces for active and passive recreation and are an essential part of modern, vibrant cities. Londoners are proud of the quality and variety of the city’s parks system.

Demands for both organized (e.g., team sports) and unstructured (e.g., casual play) recreational activities are high in the City of London. The diversity of the population and a general movement towards unscheduled recreation will gradually create additional demand for unstructured activities, although not necessarily at the expense of organized play. As the city grows and changes, a focus will continue to be placed on improving existing parks to reflect community values, connecting people to parks and open spaces, and creating new opportunities to serve growth and emerging needs. Maintenance service levels should be reviewed regularly to ensure that funding is able to keep pace with community needs and growth.

This section identifies recommendations for parks planning, design, development, and management activities.

Parkland Supply and Acquisition

Parkland – By the Numbers

The amount of parkland that is available to residents is an important consideration as it represents the land base that contains the City’s outdoor recreation and sport facilities. Parkland is also commonly acquired through the development process, purchase, or agreement; thus, an assessment of system-wide needs is necessary to set future directions. Formal acquisition targets are not set for “open space” as these lands require site-specific analyses.

The City presently manages 909 hectares (2,247 acres) of “parkland”, including sites categorized as City-Wide Parks, District Parks, Neighbourhood Parks, Sports Parks, Urban Parks, Civic Spaces, and Facility Parks. In addition, 1,856 hectares (4,585 acres) are considered “open space”, including sites categorized as Open Spaces, Environmentally Significant Areas, Golf Courses, and Woodlands. There continues to be a need for these park categories, which are further described in the London Plan and City of London Design Specification Manual.

The City currently owns approximately 220 parks and 200 open space parcels. Since the 2009 Strategic Master Plan was prepared, the City of London has expanded the size of its parks and open space network by more than 20%. London’s municipal parkland and open space system – much of which is within the Thames River floodplain – accounts for 7% of the city’s total land area.
The City's 909 hectares of municipal parkland represents a service level of 2.2 hectares per 1,000 residents. Like many communities, the city's per capita park supply is declining due to changes to the form of development, land supply, increased complexity and cost of acquisition, and competing funding priorities. A variety of strategies and tools are needed to build the parks system to sustain opportunities for outdoor leisure, recreation activities, sport, events, and community building.

On a city-wide basis, the current parkland supply is responsive to the needs of the public and stakeholders. Additional parks and outdoor recreation amenities will be required to serve London's growth, including parks in both developing neighbourhoods and intensification areas. To maintain the City's municipal parkland service level of 2.2 hectares per 1,000 residents, an additional 168 hectares of parkland will be required by 2039. This represents an average of about 8.5 hectares (21 acres) each year.

On its own, the legislated parkland dedication requirements of the Planning Act may not provide sufficient land to accommodate the full range of park requirements. To help achieve this target, the City should continue its approach toward parkland dedication and cash-in-lieu, in tandem with various acquisition tools and non-acquisition-based strategies. A mixture of new park development, park expansion and optimization, and partnerships will be required to maintain an increasingly diverse parks system.

As noted in Section 7.1, acquisition of opportunistic locations (e.g., surplus schools) offers promise for parkland deficient areas. In areas of intensification, policies and practices that support on-site parkland dedication and encourage front-end acquisition of parkland should be encouraged.

In addition to parkland dedication and cash-in-lieu, examples of contemporary parkland funding and securement tools include Section 37 (Planning Act) community benefits, land owner agreements, strata agreements, leases, land exchanges, conservation easements and land trusts, and privately-owned publicly accessible spaces. Non-traditional public spaces will play a greater future role in ensuring continued public access to green spaces and park-like places in higher density urban areas such as the Primary Transit Area.

While new subdivisions have traditionally been the source of new parks, these opportunities will be reduced over time as the focus of London's growth shifts inward. An emphasis should be placed on maximizing geographic accessibility across the city and achieving balanced provision of neighbourhood-level park types across all communities. The City's recent research on the impacts of intensification will be a resource in this regard.

Implementing the Vision for Parks

The London Plan (currently under appeal) has introduced a number of new parks and open space policies that reflect current regulations and respond to the city's evolving context. A process is also underway to update the City's parkland dedication by-law and other practices, procedures, and protocols. These policies and tools will be relied upon to guide the acquisition of parks and open space.
**Park and Public Space Design**

Access to quality parks is an important aspect of complete communities and allows people to spend more time in their neighbourhoods. While there will continue to be demand for traditional forms of parkland for recreation and sport, public spaces are increasingly being recognized for their contributions toward healthy communities, community greening, urban renewal, placemaking, public art and expression, and more. The City understands that a wide range of public spaces are needed to fill a variety of community roles and has responded to this through a robust parkland classification system and greater diversity in park designs and amenities.

The public consultation program identified a growing need to incorporate more amenities (e.g., seating, shade, washrooms, pathways, picnic areas, community gardens, pollinator habitat, etc.) that increase the usability of parks for older adults and residents of different ethnic backgrounds. London’s Age Friendly London Action Plan contains several recommendations that will improve the park experience for people of all ages. Relatively modest investments can have dramatic improvements on parks and encourage participation and physical activity for everyone, regardless of age, gender, or income. For example, walking is the most popular activity and looped trails and pathways draw people of all ages into parks – including women and men, children, and older adults – which help to increase a sense of safety and community. These findings were supported by the City’s 2018 Parks Observation Audit, which documented and measured usage with the parks system.

**Neighbourhood parks** are the most convenient park type in the city, accounting for more than one-third of London’s municipal parkland. They are a critical resource for building a sense of community and social belonging, but many are underused because they may not contain the amenities sought by residents of all ages. Neighbourhood parks are best situated to accommodate growing demand for unstructured activities and casual uses, subject to available budget resources. A renewed focus on neighbourhood park design is recommended, in tandem with a thoughtful strategy to enhance comfort amenities, outdoor programming, and supervised activities to help people make use of the space. Small parks can make a big difference.

The renewal of existing parks and park infrastructure will become a greater concern over time and the allocation of resources needs to be examined in this light. In addition, opportunities to generate efficiencies through the use of new technologies, maintenance strategies, and community partnerships should be sought. For example, it is a goal of the City’s 2014 Corporate Energy Conservation and Demand Management Plan to reduce energy consumption in City of London parks through a review of lighting standards and technologies. The repurposing of redundant spaces can also bring new life to a park; however, some park features (e.g., off-leash dog parks, skateboard parks, trails, etc.) can be contentious due to perceived negative impacts or conflicting uses. This can create delays in site selection and park development, frustrating stakeholders and park users. The City should continue to engage residents early in the planning process and work with stakeholders to mitigate concerns.
Design Considerations for Parks and Public Spaces

Based on the foregoing, the City should continue to consider the following principles (at a minimum) in designing new and redeveloped parks and public spaces:

a. Incorporate a blend of active and passive spaces and amenities encouraging physical activity, wellness, and informal use opportunities for people of all ages and backgrounds;

b. Consider the needs of a diverse and aging population through washrooms and access to potable water, seating (including benches with arms), shade (trees, shelters, shade sails, etc.), pathways, picnic areas and pavilions, bicycle racks, and needle bins in appropriate locations (note: some amenities may not be appropriate for all park types);

c. Preserve and emphasize cultural and heritage environments, including interpretive content;

d. Follow accessibility legislation (AODA) and guidelines to accommodate persons with disabilities;

e. Apply CPTED (Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design) principles for enhanced safety and security;

f. Apply winter city design principles to encourage usage throughout the year (e.g., maximizing exposure to sunshine, incorporating designs that block wind, appropriate use of lighting and colour, including infrastructure and comfort amenities that can be used during the winter, programming for winter activities, etc.);

g. Promote designs that encourage sustainable maintenance practices;

h. Incorporate native and drought resistant vegetative features that are biologically robust;

i. Utilize materials that are robust, durable, and mindful of future maintenance requirements;

j. Apply consistent signage and information about park contents, accessibility, etc.;

k. Seek innovative and engaging initiatives that encourage naturalization and environmental stewardship, including opportunities for public education and access;

l. Encourage public art and spaces for cultural expression; and,

m. Promote active transportation connections and a linked open space system.
High quality and robust civic spaces – such as public squares, flex streets, and privately-owned public spaces – will also be required to sustain higher levels of use and provide space for events, gatherings, and activities. The City’s Downtown Plan (2015) directs London to “create civic spaces and park spaces, such as children’s play areas and urban dog parks, that provide neighbourhood amenities and appeal to a variety of age groups, lifestyles, and household compositions.” One way in which this is being achieved is through the creation of Dundas Place, a flex street that will be shared by pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists between Wellington Street and the Thames River.

To respond to growth that is increasingly inward and upward, the City must be creative with existing spaces and seek new ways of doing things as it has done with the Dundas Place flex street and Forks of the Thames. Some future opportunities may include (but will not be limited to):

- Promoting innovation and excellence in design;
- Enhancing or renewing existing parks, public spaces, and streetscapes (e.g., complete streets);
- Developing parks with urban qualities (civic parks, squares, etc.) that are built to higher design standards and that integrate multifunctional spaces;
- Improving connections (e.g., linear parks) and access to parks and facilities in nearby neighbourhoods;
- Making use of unconventional spaces (e.g., streets, cemeteries, greyfield sites, etc.) such as through partnerships, strata parks, shared streets, etc.;
- Utilization of the proposed community benefits charge (funding through Section 37 of the Planning Act);
- Integrating privately-owned publicly accessible spaces (POPS); and,
- Considering other forms of credits through negotiation with developers, such as land swaps or public realm improvements.
## Recommendations

### Parkland Acquisition

90. When planning for new parks have regard to the policies for parkland suitability, dedication, acquisition, and design contained in the London Plan and Parkland Conveyance and Levy By-law. Procedures and fee schedules should be reviewed on a regular basis.

91. Continue to acquire active parkland at the maximum applicable rate as permitted by the Planning Act, via the City’s implementing policy documents. Seek to maintain the current city-wide target of **2.2 hectares of municipal parkland per 1,000 residents**. Levels of supply will vary across the city; however, efforts should be made to balance the distribution of neighbourhood level park types across all communities.

92. Continue to evaluate the acquisition of open space lands (e.g., woodlands, natural areas, etc.) on a case by case basis using criteria in the City’s guiding documents. Hazard or open space lands will only be accepted as part of parkland dedication requirements at the City’s discretion (at a substantially reduced rate in keeping with the Parkland Conveyance and Levy By-law), with the goal of supporting their long term protection and management.

93. Employ a variety of acquisition and non acquisition based strategies to achieve the objectives of this Plan with a focus on growth areas and other areas of need.

### Park and Public Space Design

94. Review and revise the City’s park design guidelines, having regard to the design considerations identified in the Master Plan such as age friendly applications. Update standards relating (but not limited) to on and off street parking, general park lighting, washrooms, and gateway features provided by developers. Not all amenities will be appropriate for all park types.

95. Allocate a portion of space in appropriate park types for passive recreation to encourage park use by residents of all ages. Design and manage the interface between active and passive park areas to allow for sufficient separation.

96. Develop a strategy for the renewal of Neighbourhood Parks across the city, including funding amounts and sources. Emphasize projects that promote usage by people of all ages, such as the introduction of shade, seating, pathways, unprogrammed space, etc. (note: washrooms are not a viable service level in most Neighbourhood Parks).

97. Recognize the space surrounding stormwater management (SWM) ponds as community assets, where appropriate. In areas with parkland deficiencies, design and maintain the areas surrounding SWM ponds to allow for greater community use.

98. Continue to seek opportunities through the subdivision approval process to accelerate park development, including the use of developer built parks (under the direction and to the satisfaction of the City).

99. Continue to encourage community stakeholders and partners to invest in “value-added” improvements within the parks system. Projects must address neighbourhood and/or city wide priorities and must conform to City standards.
# Recommendations

100. Create **well designed parks and public spaces** that are age friendly and embed opportunities for residents and visitors to play, learn, and connect.

101. Develop an implementation strategy for the establishment and management of **private owned publicly accessible spaces** (POPS). Created by the development industry within higher density urban areas, POPS offer an opportunity to enhance the public realm through effective design and programming. They are not considered a replacement for municipal parkland or dedication requirements.

102. Engage in the **coordinated informed response** and other integrated responses and strategies aimed at improving the use, public safety, activities, and access of parks.
Section 8: RECREATION CAPACITY

This section contains analysis and recommendations relating to the following topics service excellence, sport services, and partnerships.

Goal 5: RECREATION CAPACITY

We will deliver exceptional parks, recreation, and sport services. This will be achieved through the use of effective and responsive practices, partnerships, innovation, leadership, and accountability at all levels.

Strategic Directions:

a. Demonstrate leadership and service excellence in the management of quality parks, facilities, programs, and services.
b. Adopt evidenced-based continuous improvement models in the delivery of service.
c. Respond to a changing community through continued professional development and training.
d. Seek out partnership and community relationship opportunities that maximize benefits to Londoners.
e. Work with community partners to create a sustainable sport development model.
f. Promote alignment between the Master Plan and other community strategies and initiatives.
8.1 Leading in Public Service

The City of London staff in Parks and Recreation and Neighbourhood, Children, and Fire Services have historically been leaders in striving for service excellence. This involves making a commitment to providing services at a higher standard through an integrated approach.

![Figure 8: Service Excellence in the Delivery of Service](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leading in Public Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Culture of Innovation and Service Excellence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Developing a Culture of Innovation and Service Excellence: One way to signal to an organization that a positive culture is important and that staff play a significant role in building a high performing public service is to define service excellence in a local context and continually build on its application.

Resident-Driven Services: The needs of residents are central to the success of the parks, recreation, and sport service delivery model in London. The development of this Master Plan has engaged the voice of residents – as do all ongoing efforts to develop and refine programs and services. The analysis of participation rates, satisfaction levels, and ad hoc groups to study key issues and under-represented resident populations are methods utilized to keep services nimble and reflective of current trends and the needs of all residents.

Staff Excellence: Engagement and empowerment allow staff to thrive in a supportive and innovative culture. Guiding principles and a strong departmental culture require discussion and clarification. While actions to support staff excellence are generally implied, occasional conversations with staff are necessary to provide opportunities to clarify expectations, identify needed training, and recognition of exceptional public service. A large portion of the staff who deliver these parks, recreation, and sport programs and services are casual or part-time and there is a challenge in continually engaging and supporting them as their terms and hours vary. Efforts are made during training and meeting opportunities to strengthen input and react to identified issues.

Quality Assurance Frameworks: Most of Ontario’s municipalities offer or enable parks, recreation, and sport opportunities through direct and indirect programming models. Residents should be able to anticipate an emphasis on quality assurance in service delivery. Providing services to hundreds of thousands of users requires standard policies and practices that not only ensure that legislative requirements are met, but also a level of assurance that customer service and quality standards are key service delivery drivers.

The two key phases of the quality assurance framework centre on: HIGH FIVE Quest 1 (to train relevant staff and volunteers and test policies, procedures, communications, and adherence to practices); and Quest 2 (a program assessment and improvement tool). London is involved in the HIGH FIVE quality assurance program, which ensures that children’s programs are age-appropriate, meet safety and supervisory needs, are enjoyable, and offer some skill mastery. This quality assurance program has recently been extended to older adult programming (Active Aging).

High Utilization and Satisfaction Levels: Measuring excellence in the delivery of service is generally assessed by examining satisfaction levels and use of services, which are intentional approaches assessing effectiveness and gaps. Quantified data can support defining refined approaches based on satisfaction levels and/or utilization and participation rates in each program and service. Practitioners apply these quality standards as part of their regular attention to duty and consider new approaches as appropriate. The City of London takes a proactive approach in evaluating programs and services through program evaluations and conducting surveys to identify
strengths and gaps. Improving quality of service delivery continues to be required as the population changes and an annual review can place emphasis on areas where service improvements are needed.

**Performance Measures:** Measuring performance allows an organization to quantify various elements of service delivery to demonstrate if progress is being made toward intended outcomes. In a municipal setting there is a need to demonstrate to the public that the investment of tax dollars is allocated toward worthwhile ends and that these investments are utilized efficiently and effectively. This data provides the information needed to complete an analysis and to ensure that program decisions are knowledge-based. At a higher level (department or program) performance is measured by collecting data on inputs, outputs, efficiencies, and effectiveness. These data can also be utilized to compare levels of service year-to-year and develop initiatives to demonstrate continued improvement. To assist with comparisons to other large municipalities, the City of London is a member of MBN Canada.

**Costing and Pricing of Programs and Services:** The Master Plan survey indicated that 80% of respondents feel that City programs are affordable. This is a positive indication that the City has been mindful of their costs and recovering a reasonable percentage of costs levied through user fees. Offering a balance of no fee/low fee programs enables universal access. The use of the Play Your Way financial assistance program ensures that all London residents can participate in recreation programs and pursuits without financial barriers. Nevertheless, a review of the both fee/rates and the Play Your Way program are appropriate to make sure that participation is maximized and that financial barriers are addressed.
Recommendations

Leading in Public Service

103. To inform program and service provision, increase collaborative efforts with community groups and volunteers by:
   a. Continuing to collect feedback from neighbourhood groups about programs and services they want to see and use this feedback to inform program decisions;
   b. Working with new and partner organizations to fill gaps using a strength based delivery approach (organizations that focus on different abilities, markets, etc.);
   c. Identifying populations and neighbourhoods not currently accessing services and forming new program delivery relationships to jointly address those needs (e.g., targeted Leader in Training options, Indigenous program opportunities, etc.);
   d. Building leadership capacity within the community to support local initiatives and create strong neighbourhoods;
   e. Hosting regular forums with service providers and funders to identify and address potential improvements to customer service practices, the built environment, etc.; and,
   f. Regularly communicating the Master Plan priorities to internal and external partners to improve coordination, alignment, and implementation.

104. Undertake a review of the effectiveness of the Play Your Way financial assistance program and “Policy for waiving or reducing fees for use of city owned community centres and recreation facilities”, including opportunities to simplify the process to register for programs and apply for subsidy.
8.2 Sport Services

London has a thriving sport community made up of self-governing sport organizations, the City, Tourism London, and the London Sport Council. The sport system works collaboratively to ensure that infrastructure and allocation of space can adequately meet the current and future needs of sport participants.

The London Sport Council has specific responsibilities to oversee the fundraising efforts for KidSport and to allocate these funds to enable sport participation of children from low-income backgrounds. Further, the Sport Council organizes and hosts the Sports Hall of Fame dinner to recognize sport excellence and volunteer recognition in London. Tourism London works with the City and other partners to attract and retain sport competitions. The City plays a distinct role in sport development in the community, including the development and maintenance of sport facility infrastructure, allocation of space to groups, and the promotion of physical activity and sport participation. Several requests for additional support to the sport sector have emerged since the last Parks and Recreation Master Plan was developed. There is a need to develop a Sport Policy and Plan that articulates the City’s role in supporting this sector and that outlines strategies to increase participation in sport through the a safe, supportive, and inclusive sport environments.

A strong local community sport system relies on role clarity, a league of volunteers and sport groups, promotion and communications, a continuum of sport opportunities, sound infrastructure, coordination, and celebration. London has been building a strong sport system over decades and continues to see the impressive benefit to residents, families, and the community.

London Sport Policy and Plan

The development of a successful Sport Policy and Plan relies on gaining an understanding of the needs, strengths, and challenges and mapping out a realistic and achievable course for the future. Creating performance measures and annual reporting around the goals of the Sport Policy and Plan will ensure that stakeholders and partners keep priorities in focus and continue to work cohesively toward the vision by each playing their part.
The Municipal Role in Sport Delivery

Municipalities are one of the largest investors in Canada’s physical activity and sport infrastructure and are the owner/operators of most pools, quality sport fields, arenas, trail and bike systems, parks, and recreation centres. Parks and recreation departments provide or facilitate the introduction and delivery of sport programs for all age groups. The significance of the municipal role becomes even clearer when sport groups work together to define their contributions to the sport delivery system.

Local sport volunteer organizations look to municipalities as a primary partner along with provincial and national sport governing bodies. Municipalities are positioned to:

• Encourage active lifestyles through proactive introductory programming and indoor and outdoor facilities and open spaces;
• Develop policies and programs that emphasize accessibility to services whatever the age, gender, ability, or economic circumstances of the targeted participants;
• Facilitate discussions regarding safe, athlete-centred, and quality sport experiences;
• Bring partner organizations together to address community needs, assess the state of sport delivery, and monitor participation levels;
• Work directly with school boards and other institutions on joint venture development and common use of facilities agreements;
• Employ a variety of communication vehicles that promote active lifestyles, ensure a broad reach, and the ability to influence the general public; and,
• Measure the impact and outcomes that sport has on a community.

By placing a greater focus on promoting sport participation and active lifestyles, the City and stakeholders will enhance broader community goals around health and wellness. Bringing attention to the importance of sport participation and other healthy behaviours allows community partners to plan more effectively and carry out actions that have an even greater impact on the community at large.

Sport Tourism and Economic Impact

The economic impact of sporting events is usually one of the drivers of municipal engagement in sport tourism as municipalities typically ensure the coordination and execution of funding, bid development, and facility allocation. London is no different with the development of bids through Tourism London and the involvement of the City regarding logistics and other supports. The City seeks to find balance in its commitment to develop community-serving multi-purpose facilities and the requirement for specialized facilities to meet the needs of elite-level sport tourism.

Tourism Spending

In a recent report (September 2018) issued by the Canadian Sport Tourism Alliance (CSTA), it was stated that in 2017 the Canadian sport tourism industry reached $6.8 billion in total consumer spending an increase of 0.5% from 2016.

“Canada has a stellar reputation for our expertise in hosting summer and winter sports, with excellent facilities, organizational abilities, welcoming volunteers and strong hosting program financial support from both federal and provincial levels” said Rick Traer, CSTA CEO. “The data reflects the importance of sport tourism as a grassroots economic development initiative in communities across Canada and supports our #2 ranking as a Host Nation internationally.” Ontario received the highest visitation share in Canada at 37%.

Recommendations

Sport Services

105. Host a forum with all sport providers and stakeholder groups to discuss the merits of developing a London Sport Agreement as a commitment to engage collectively to develop a Sport Policy and Sport Plan for the City of London.
8.3 Partnerships

Achieving More by Working Together

The City of London seeks partnerships to advance services and initiatives in the most effective manner. A partnership in a municipal setting can be described as an arrangement to where the costs, resources and risks of providing services are shared between the City and an alternate provider or stakeholder group. Working together to advance an objective allows the sharing of ideas, funding, and human resources.

Partnerships are key to creating a versatile range of parks, recreation, and sport services in London. They allow the City to leverage outside resources and maximize public funds, while meeting gaps and needs that are not traditionally within the City’s mandate. Londoners have expressed a strong desire for more multi-sectoral partnerships that leverage resources, avoid duplication, accelerate innovation, and enhance user convenience.

The advantages of partnerships are many including cost containment, reduction of capital investments, specialized knowledge and possible initial start up investments. These advantages must be weighed against the ownership that the City sees as part of its core responsibilities. The risks must also be clear. All partnerships must be well structured and lead to efficiencies and effectiveness within the delivery of service. The same must be said of arrangements to work with other stakeholder groups to address key social issues within a City. While the risks are not as significant, the advantages of sharing resources offer more efficient means to the preferred outcome.

Partnerships have Helped London Create Community Destinations

The City actively supports opportunities to work with community groups, service providers, schools, and other levels of government to help fund parks, recreation, and sport projects with measurable impact. The Stoney Creek and Bostwick Community Centres are successful examples of this. Both facilities were built and managed in partnership with the City of London, YMCA of Southwestern Ontario, and London Public Libraries. They are municipal capital assets and serve as multi-functional community hubs that provide recreation and fitness programming through the YMCA, in addition to public library services. In neighbourhoods where the gathering places and programming space at City-owned sites are not achievable, stronger partnerships with the school boards, non-profit associations, private clubs, and other providers should be considered. Co-located facilities will be encouraged.

Another form of collaboration is the sponsorship, advertising, and naming rights program which is offered by the City to London. The programs provide an enhanced level of service through alternate funding mechanisms. The City has developed these programs and offers opportunities to the business community where there are likely benefits to residents in offering accessible programs and services and increasing visibility to businesses. Two such examples include the sponsorship of the Leader in Training Program by the Libro Credit Union and the sponsorship of free swim and skating opportunities by Tim Hortons. The opportunity to expand these programs will serve to provide greater parks, recreation, and sport activities for Londoners.
Working as a Collective with Other Providers and Municipalities

Communities in Ontario are building stronger relationships with other providers of parks, recreation, and sport services within their jurisdiction. This approach is imperative in a climate of increasing costs and declining resources. Results have proven to work toward common goals and address current social issues as well as to reduce duplication and share resources. “Integrated Service Delivery” is a term used to describe all related groups embracing a common vision and working together to realize better outcomes for the community.

Furthermore, approximately 78% of the London Census Metropolitan Area (which includes selected municipalities within the counties of Middlesex and Elgin) lives in the City of London. Many non-residents use parks, recreation, and sport services within the City of London, while some residents benefit from opportunities outside London. The proximity of other municipalities within the region provides an opportunity for partnerships in the delivery of parks, recreation, and sport.

While London does have relationships with many related providers and adjacent municipalities, more can be done to strengthen cohesiveness and positive community outcomes. Joint planning and coordination of assets provides residents with the best value for their tax dollars.
Recommendations

Partnerships

106. Expand and/or realign **strategic partnership opportunities** to further the directions of the Parks and Recreation Master Plan including (but not limited to) increasing physical activity, enhancing access for under represented and diverse populations, increasing outdoor play duration, strengthening diversity and inclusion, and increasing capacity for older adults and youth. Be proactive in partnership development through regular communication and establishment of a **standardized framework and/or criteria** to simplify and expedite partnership outcomes (see Appendix B).

107. Utilize the **sponsorship, advertising, and naming rights program** to capture an increased level of alternate funding to enhance parks, recreation and sport facilities, programs, and services.

108. Collaborate with **school boards** to identify opportunities to maximize community access to existing sites and future park/school campuses.

109. Collaborate with **post secondary institutions** to identify opportunities to maximize community access to existing and future sport facilities. Encourage opportunities to work together on the research and evaluation of community based approaches to prevailing issues in service delivery.

110. Support **regional, provincial, and national initiatives** that increase support for information sharing, research, and data collection.
Section 9: IMPLEMENTATION & FINANCIAL PLANNING

Activation of the Parks and Recreation Master Plan is critical to its success. This requires coordinated efforts and a commitment from Council, staff, stakeholders, and the public. It is a strategic direction of this Master Plan to pursue a variety of funding options to implement the Plan. This section provides guidance on the Master Plan’s implementation and high-level financial considerations.

9.1 Resourcing the Master Plan

The City’s 2016-2019 Multi-Year Budget contains considerable detail regarding capital projects to be undertaken during this period, as well as expected future year projects (subject to change based on needs/priorities, funding availability, etc.). Development of the next multi-year budget was initiated in 2019 and will be linked to City Council’s new Strategic Plan.

London’s Multi-Year Budget

The current Multi-Year Budget contains approved capital spending of $99.1 million for Parks, Recreation & Neighbourhood Services between 2016 and 2019, representing an average of $24.8 million per year. Of this amount, 67% was attributed to growth-related projects, 35% to lifecycle projects, and 8% to service improvements. While the next multi-year budget exercise may result in different ratios, it is noted that the majority of the capital recommendations in this Master Plan are fully or partially growth-related.

London’s parks, recreation, and sport capital projects are primarily funded from the following sources, some of which are held in reserve funds:

a. Capital Levy and Debt Funding - Municipal taxation and other forms of debt funding accounts for the largest portion of the capital budget and are relatively stable and secure sources of funding as they are tax supported. The capital levy is primarily used for lifecycle renewal. Debt and/or the capital levy are primary used for projects that cannot be fully funded by growth-related sources, such as facility replacements and the current 10% share of Development Charge funded projects. The City has instituted an internal debt financing cap through its financial planning.

b. Development Charges - The Development Charges Act, 1997, currently allows the City to require payments from developers to help cover up to 90% of the growth-related parks and recreation infrastructure costs associated with development. This money can be used for parks/facility development and improvements, as well as the purchase of land for recreation facilities. It cannot be used for parkland acquisition.

Note: Through Bill 108, the Province is proposing significant changes to the Development Charges Act that will, among other items, render parks and recreation infrastructure ineligible for development charge funding. These growth-related costs will be addressed through a proposed community benefits charge to be implemented under Section 37 of the Planning Act.

c. Special Levies (Municipal Accommodation Tax) - In 2018, the City instituted a municipal accommodation tax. Revenues are to be shared with Tourism London. While the use of the City’s portion of revenue is unrestricted, it is intended to fund new and improved tourism infrastructure that will generate additional economic impact for London without impacting the City’s tax levy. Some of the projects recommended in this Master Plan may be eligible for this funding, such as the incremental capital to “upsize” or enhance a recreational facility to make that facility viable for hosting a major event. Tourism London’s portion of revenue will be used for tourism promotion and development, such as bidding on major sport, convention, music and culture events, funding, and developing festivals and events.

d. Planning Act Section 42 (Cash-in-lieu) and Section 37/45 - Section 42 of the Planning Act allows the City to secure land and/or monies for park purposes from residential (5%) and commercial/industrial (2%) development projects. Where lands are unsuitable for parks, the City will collect cash-in-lieu that can be applied to parkland acquisition and development. Section 37 of the Planning Act allows increased density and height in a zoning bylaw in exchange for community benefits.
This Master Plan is a Resource for Financial Planning

This Plan identifies the parks, recreation, and sport facilities and land (capital) needs of the City of London for the next ten years. The Plan should be used as a resource in developing the City's annual and multi-year budget documents, secondary plans, and related studies. Through implementation of the Plan, the City will take into consideration available capital and operating funding via available sources to identify potential budget shortfalls or overruns and areas for adjustment. On an annual basis, the City should reconcile the recommendations with its fiscal capacity and focus on the highest priority items.

The City will lean on its creativity and leadership to enable full implementation of this Plan. Financial processes and practices will support the maximization of available funds and be supplemented by other approaches, where possible. Approaches that are financially sustainable will be encouraged, such as partnerships that leverage assets and resources to provide the best value to residents, building cost-effective multi-use facilities rather than those designed for a single use, seeking senior government grants and fundraising, etc.

Over time, infrastructure will age and service expectations will rise, resulting in increased pressures on available funding. The City has readied itself to respond to a large portion of these needs by establishing proactive measures such as a disciplined reinvestment strategy supported by a robust asset management program, application of reserves and special levies (i.e., municipal accommodation tax). However, it has been noted that block funds for the renewal and replacement of some amenities (e.g., playgrounds, sport courts, etc.) are insufficient to keep pace with lifecycle requirements. As the greatest challenges will be those non-growth-related projects that protect the City’s infrastructure, a continued commitment to sustainable and predictable funding is required.

e. Grants and External Contributions - Funding from Federal/Provincial governments and external contributions (e.g., donations, etc.) account for a small portion of the capital budget. These sources are unpredictable and variable.

Operating funds for programs, services, and facilities (existing or recommended under this Plan) are derived from several sources, including (but not limited to) municipal taxation and user fees. Parks, Recreation & Neighbourhood Services is the greatest contributor to user fee revenue in the City. An analysis of operating budget implications and partnership options should be undertaken prior to approving major capital projects and that sufficient annual operating funds would be allocated to any approved project.

Note: Through Bill 108, the Province is proposing significant changes to the Planning Act that will, among other items, consolidate cash-in-lieu, bonusing provisions, and development charges under a proposed community benefits charge to be implemented under Section 37 of the Planning Act.

through negotiations with developers. Section 45 of the Planning Act is similar, except that it deals with minor variances to the zoning bylaw through a Committee of Adjustment. These tools are designed to help address the impact of high-density residential development, but have been applied sparingly in London to date.
Recommendations

Funding Tools and Practices

111. **Maximize available funding sources** through effective financial processes and practices. Where appropriate and consistent with municipal policies and priorities, consider **alternative funding and cost sharing approaches** to achieve capital and operating cost recovery targets, such as (but not limited to) surcharges, fundraising, grants, sponsorships and naming rights, and various forms of collaboration to provide the best value to residents. Where appropriate, additional funding capacity may be used to **advance projects** from the list of unfunded items supported by this Master Plan.

112. Assess **operating budget implications** and partnership options prior to approving major capital projects.

113. Provide high priority and sufficient funding for **ongoing park and facility renewal and lifecycle requirements**, including but not limited to **bolstering block funds** for park amenities (e.g., playgrounds, sport courts, comfort amenities, etc.).

114. Use this Master Plan as a **resource** in developing the City’s annual and multi year budget documents, growth related funding strategies, secondary plans, and related studies.
9.2 Informing our Priorities

This section examines the priority of major capital projects recommended by the Master Plan (with “timing” generally being synonymous with “priority”, meaning that projects identified in earlier years should generally be higher priorities for the City). Decision-making frameworks and criteria identified in this Master Plan support informed and consistent facility planning over the long-term. Through implementation, the City will reconcile the Plan’s recommendations with its fiscal capacity and align growth-related needs with the development cycle.

**Influencing Factors**

Factors that may impact priorities to be adjusted include (in no particular order):

- Capital lifecycle and considerations of safety;
- Legislation and mandated requirements;
- Changes to service standards;
- Public input and community interests;
- Emerging trends and changes in participation rates;
- Availability of alternate providers; and,
- Socio-demographic changes and growth.

This Master Plan supports continued investment to existing lines of business that demonstrate positive short- and long-term demand profiles. The goal is to work within the City’s Multi-Year Budget by implementing capital recommendations in a timeframe consistent with population growth and expected funding sources. Should additional funding become available, either sooner or in greater amounts, the guidelines in this section should be used to guide decisions about the use of this funding, with an intent to advance projects already identified or respond to growth-related needs. The introduction of new types of facilities that extend beyond traditional services would require further study.

- **Community Development and Recreation Programs, Leadership, and Services:** Community Investments and Planning, Programs, Sports Services, and Special Events;
- **Recreation and Sport Facility Development:** Indoor Facilities, Aquatics, Golf, and Storybook Gardens; and,
- **Parks Development:** Parks Planning and Design, and Outdoor Facilities.

The capital and operating requirements of each of these streams varies. For example, Recreation and Sport Facility Development requires funding for large projects such as community centres. By comparison, the capital funding needs of Community Development are smaller and more difficult to forecast as this area deals with initiatives such as capacity building that rely heavily on partner and volunteer resources. In addition, the majority of London’s parks encourage unstructured use by all and operating costs cannot be subsided through user fees.

Investment across the full spectrum of capital requirements is required to achieve maximum benefit and to meet the widest range of community needs. However, when dealing with limited resources and deciding between competing projects, difficult decisions are necessary. The following tables identify the general priority order for major capital investments. These priorities have been created through an understanding of:

a. Community needs (influenced by public and stakeholder input, current supplies, capacity, trends and best practices, alternate providers, etc.);

b. Service outcomes (e.g., access, equity, participation, affordability, etc.);

c. Cost impacts (operating and capital costs, partnership potential, etc.); and,

d. Alignment with the strategic framework of this Master Plan (e.g., vision, goals, and strategic directions).

As priorities may change with time, it is important to re-evaluate on a regular basis and/or when new information becomes available.

**Facility Planning is a Long-term Process**

The Master Plan’s 20-year timeframe reflects the time needed to plan and execute major capital projects, a multi-year process that typically involves securing funding, engaging residents, acquiring land, developing partnerships, and meeting regulatory requirements, as well as park/facility design and construction. The implementation of specific capital projects recommended will require more detailed planning, which will include further public engagement and partnership development.
Major Capital Parks Projects (2020-2039)

Note: Excludes planned lifecycle projects.

**RECREATION AND SPORT FACILITY DEVELOPMENT**

### Major Capital Projects that *can* be achieved within the current capital forecast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOUTHEAST MULTI-USE COMMUNITY CENTRE</strong></td>
<td>Capital budget allocated in 2019-2020. Site selection and acquisition required. Proposed components include twin ice pads (as a replacement for Farquharson Arena), large gymnasium, activity rooms, and multi-use space.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NORTHWEST MULTI-USE COMMUNITY CENTRE</strong></td>
<td>Draft Development Charges Background Study identifies capital in 2023. Site selection and acquisition required. Proposed components include an indoor pool, large gymnasium, activity rooms, and multi-use space.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEIGHBOURHOOD COMMUNITY CENTRES</strong></td>
<td>Draft Development Charges Background Study identifies capital in 2025 for North London and in 2027 for Central London. Proposed components include large gymnasiums, activity rooms, and multi-use space. Two additional growth-related centres are recommended between 2030-2039.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARENA REPURPOSING</strong></td>
<td>Capital budget allocated in 2018 and 2019/20 for Silverwood and Farquharson Arenas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Funds are allocated to these projects, however, additional study is required to confirm through the MultiYear Budget Process.*

### Major Capital Projects that *cannot* be achieved within the current capital forecast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMMUNITY CENTRE / FACILITY RENEWAL AND UPGRADES</strong></td>
<td>Additional funds may be required to address major facility upgrades to enable full implementation of the Master Plan, such as the creation of welcoming and active community spaces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CANADA GAMES AQUATIC CENTRE UPGRADES</strong></td>
<td>Upgrades to Canada Games Aquatic Centre have been proposed to improve the facility’s ability to host provincial, national, and/or international events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMMUNITY PROPOSALS</strong></td>
<td>Unsolicited proposals for major capital projects – such as a curling facility and indoor track/field venue – are currently unfunded. Additional evaluation is required via the recommended partnership framework.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Capital budget allocated in 2020/21 and 2024/25 to address additional links in the Thames Valley Parkway. Additional funding for pathway and trail expansion is allocated within the major open space network budget.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Capital Projects that can be achieved within the current capital forecast</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PATHWAY AND TRAIL SYSTEM EXPANSION AND UPGRADES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yearly capital funding identified for park upgrades at existing service levels for items such as benches and pathways in parks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New neighbourhood and district park development budgets are funding through the development process. Many amenities are funded through these budgets and are not individually identified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Amenities and Features:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New neighbourhood and district park development budgets are funding through the development process. Many amenities are funded through these budgets and are not individually identified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPORTS FIELDS AND FIELDHOUSES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Sports Field development will emphasize high quality ball diamonds, rectangular fields (including multi-sport artificial turf fields), cricket pitches, and fieldhouses in line with growth-related community needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Playgrounds are required in Medway and Central London, as well as growth areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PLAYGROUNDS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Spray pads (5) are required in Foxfield Park, Riverbend Park, North London, and Southwest London (2).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- District-level Skate Parks (2) are required in Southwest and Southeast London; neighbourhood-level parks will be assessed on a case-by-case basis. One City-wide BMX Park is recommended (guided by further study).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Tennis, Pickleball, and Multi-use/Basketball Court development and redevelopment funds are available within existing capital budgets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Off-leash Dog Parks (2) are required in Northwest and Southwest London; the capital budget includes funding for one dog park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OUTDOOR COURTS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital budget allocated for multi-use pavilion and an additional feature (2022).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OFF-LEASH DOG PARKS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STORYBOOK GARDENS ENHANCEMENT</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Major Capital Projects that **cannot** be achieved within the current capital forecast

URBAN CIVIC SPACES

A service standard for civic spaces is required to determine potential capital requirements.

PARK AMENITIES AND FEATURES - RENEWAL

Playground Renewal budgets are insufficient for the replacement of playgrounds in some City-wide and District Parks. Further budget is needed for park upgrades such as a pickleball complex and second recommended off-leash dog park.

NEIGHBOURHOOD PARK RENEWAL

Neighbourhood Parks may require additional funds to address renewal and upgrades needed to meet new service level standards.

COMMUNITY PROPOSALS

Unsolicited proposals for major capital projects – such as a soccer stadium – are currently unfunded. Additional evaluation is required via the recommended partnership framework.

STORYBOOK GARDENS

New attractions or enhancements to existing amenities.

ADDITIONAL PATHWAY AND TRAIL PROJECTS

Additional pathway and trail expansion budget is needed to address further gaps in the Thames Valley Parkway.
Major capital projects that are expected to be achieved within the funding levels anticipated by the City’s capital forecast are identified and should be generally pursued prior to undertaking those projects that are “below the line” (i.e., that are currently unfunded and that may require non-traditional funding approaches). Exceptions may be made where “unfunded” projects would not unduly affect the implementation and timing of necessary projects that are “above the line” (i.e., those that are anticipated to be funded within existing budget envelopes).

### Recommendations

#### Informing our Choices

115. Ensure that planning for major capital projects includes meaningful **community engagement**, **feasibility studies** that validate building program and service requirements (informed by demographic and socio economic data, local needs, recreation trends and preferences, etc.), and consideration of **potential partnerships**.

116. To assist in the evaluation of **unsolicited proposals** that propose new parks, recreation, or sport services/facilities that have not traditionally been supported by the City, the City should require proponents to submit **business cases** (acceptable to the City). Initiatives that fully recover their direct and indirect costs may proceed more quickly if they are supported by the Master Plan.
9.3 Implementing the Master Plan

Implementation of this Master Plan will require leadership, commitment, resources, and sustained efforts. Success will also be dependent upon a collaborative effort led by the City and involving a variety of dedicated partners and service providers. Full implementation will require the pursuit of alternative funding and the establishment of various arrangements with community organizations, schools, developers, and other partners. It is also critical that the City regularly monitor and report progress on the Plan and its recommendations.

Capital and service planning can be a long-term process. The 20-year timeframe for this Master Plan reflects the time needed to plan and execute facility projects, a process that typically involves securing funding, engaging residents, acquiring land, developing partnerships and meeting regulatory requirements, as well as facility design and construction. Achieving the specific capital projects recommended within this Plan will require more detailed planning, including further public engagement and partnership exploration.

Evidence-based assessment tools and guidelines – such as improved database management (permitting and registration data, prime and non-prime usage, etc.) and business intelligence tools (e.g., user profiles, quality of service checks, etc.) – are also needed to support new or enhanced projects and service levels.

Regular monitoring, reporting, and updating of the Master Plan and its recommendations is critical to ensuring that it remains community-responsive and fiscally-achievable. More formal updates are recommended approximately every five years (aligned with growth-related planning and funding strategies and/or the Multi-Year Budget). During the next Master Plan update, consideration may be given to working jointly with the London Public Library to evaluate and coordinate capital planning.
Recommendations

Implementing the Master Plan

117. Implement a system for the regular monitoring of the Master Plan, including the use of evidence based assessment tools and guidelines to improve performance measurement and business intelligence. Opportunities to link the Master Plan to Council’s Strategic Plan should also be sought.

118. Develop a communications plan following approval of the Master Plan to create awareness about its key messages and recommendations amongst residents and stakeholders. Implement a system for the regular reporting of the Master Plan, including an annual update to the community (e.g., report card).

119. Reassess the direction, priorities, and accomplishments of the Master Plan at approximately five-year intervals to inform growth related planning and funding strategies and/or Multi-Year Budget.
Appendix A – Facility Mapping

Figure 9: Location of Municipal Parkland and Open Space, City of London (2019)

*Parkland includes City-Wide Parks, District Parks, Neighbourhood Parks, Sports Parks, Urban Parks, Civic Spaces, and Facility Parks.
**Open Space includes Open Spaces, Environmentally Significant Areas, Golf Courses, Heritage Parks, and Woodlots.
Data provided by the City of London.
Figure 10: Location of Municipal Community Centres, City of London (2019)

Supply excludes Glen Cairn Arena as it has been identified to be removed from the arena inventory following the completion of the Bostwick Community Centre, YMCA and Library.

Data provided by the City of London.
Figure 12: Location of Municipal Arenas, City of London (2019)

Supply excludes Glen Cairn Arena as it has been identified to be removed from the arena inventory following the completion of the Bostwick Community Centre, YMCA and Library.

Data provided by the City of London.
Figure 13: Location of Municipal Gymnasiums, City of London (2019)
Figure 14: Location of Municipal Outdoor Aquatic Facilities, City of London (2019)

Data provided by the City of London
Figure 15: Location of Municipal Rectangular Sports Fields, City of London (2019)
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Data provided by the City of London
Figure 16: Location of Municipal Ball Diamonds, City of London (2019)

Data provided by the City of London
Figure 17: Location of Municipal Playgrounds, City of London (2019)
Figure 19: Location of Municipal Basketball Courts and Multi-use Pads, City of London (2019)
Figure 20: Location of Municipal Skate Parks, City of London (2019)
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Data provided by the City of London
Figure 21: Location of Municipal Off-Leash Dog Areas, City of London (2019)

Data provided by the City of London
Figure 22: Location of Municipal Community Gardens, City of London (2019)

Data provided by the City of London
Many forms of partnerships may be considered by the City of London for managing services. The most prevalent is partnerships that advance specific social issues and broader goals, such as capital arrangement regarding facility development. Using the appropriate partnership arrangement or combination of options should be an informed choice.

Partnership examples in a parks, recreation, and sport context include:

a. Public/Public Partnerships – Service delivery and/or the joint work to address social issues with other publicly funded organizations.
b. Public/Not for Profit Partnerships – Joint arrangements with non-profit organizations.
c. Public/Private Partnerships – Joint arrangement where costs and risks are shared.
d. Joint Service Delivery – A service provided by two parties, each contributing some resources.
e. Service Contracts – The service provider manages a particular aspect of a municipal services. The requirements are specific and service levels are articulated in a service contract often predicated through a competitive process.
f. Management Contract – The provider is accountable to manage all aspects of a municipal services. This may or may not include capital investment and is administered through a competitive process and a contract arrangement.
g. Lease – A lease arrangement turns the responsibility and accountability for a municipal asset to service provider. A rental agreement is put in place with deliverables and compliance issues in exchange for payment to the municipality.

To be effective, partnerships must be strategic and work toward specific goals in the delivery of service. Specific to parks, recreation, and sport, partnerships are a way of including more residents in active and outdoor pursuits and expanding service delivery affordably.

Partnerships are currently assessed on a case-by-case basis and projects that increase access to public services and space for all are encouraged. Guidance is needed to establish a consistent and uniform municipal response to potential relationships with those wishing to partner with the City on facility development or program delivery.

A standardized partnership framework could set out why and how the City plans to work with others in fulfilling its mandate and the parameters for these relationships. The standardized partnership framework on the following page may be adapted by the City to evaluate potential partnerships and/or unsolicited proposals.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the initiative consistent with the municipal mandate and service</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Do not consider municipal involvement in the project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>philosophy?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a municipal role to play in providing the program or service?</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Do not consider municipal involvement in the project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the proposed service or initiative conform to municipal priorities</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Do not consider municipal involvement in the project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and is it in operating and capital budget forecasts?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can requirements ensure compliance with the department’s vision,</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Either do not consider municipal involvement in the project or consider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mandate, values, strategic priorities and service standards?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>alternate forms of capital financing or ongoing funding sources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can financial risks be reasonably mitigated through an arrangement</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Consider providing the service using a traditional municipal self-man</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with the group(s)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>aged approach (direct delivery).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there suitably equipped and properly qualified organizations who</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Consider providing the service using a traditional municipal self-man</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>can contribute or provide the service or program?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>aged approach (direct delivery).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can responsibility of the delivery of the service or program be</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Consider providing the service using a traditional municipal self-man</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>assigned to another organization while mitigating all risks to the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>aged approach (direct delivery).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organization?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there consensus regarding the terms, conditions, standards of</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Issue a request for proposal or other procurement process specified by</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delivery and accountabilities?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>purchasing policies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish a relationship with an outside entity to develop the facility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Negotiate a mutually acceptable operating agreement and monitoring of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or delivery the program or service and adopt a mutually agreeable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>performance standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monitoring system.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>